School Performance Framework 2013

School: WITT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9678

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	's Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(15.6 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	78.6%	(39.3 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.7%	(15.4 out of 25 points)	
³ Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2 2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fr
Turnaround	below 37%	³ S

Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

9%	TOTAL 7	0.3% (70.3 out of 100 points)		
9%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator	due to insuf	ficient numbers of students.	n these cases, the points are remc	ved
7%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted				

Turnaround below 37% ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (lementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Academic Growth Gaps.

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	-	-	99.7%	Meets	-	-	Meets	572	-	_	572	574	-	-	574
Mathematics	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	573	-	-	573	574	-	-	574
Writing	99.8%	-	-	99.8%	Meets	-	-	Meets	573	-	-	573	574	-	-	574
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	147	-	-	147	147	-	-	147
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-

Level: E

District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: WITT ELEMENTARY SCHO	DOL - 9678					C	District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	550	78.73	66	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	550	67.09	43	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	550	51.64	43	
Science	3	4		Meets	141	51.06	58	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	397	49	30	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	399	55	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	398	49	48	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	34	68	-	-
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets	/•	Growth refcentite	Glowin rercentile	Growth
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	/0/6	Meets	151	49	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	117	53	33	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Approaching	27	49	71	No
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	33	53	45	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	97	55	62	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching	57	50	02	NO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00/0	Meets	153	59	69	No
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	117	59	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4 4		Does Not Meet	28	39	84	No
English Learners	3	4 4		Meets	33	61	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	127	52	80	No
Writing	11	20	55%	Approaching	127	51		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	33%	Approaching	152	46	58	No
Minority Students	2	4 4		Approaching	132	48 49	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4 4		Does Not Meet	27	32	84	No
English Learners	4	4 4		Exceeds	33	63	59	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4		Approaching	224	49	63	No
statents needing to taten up	4	Ŧ		, thhi origining	227		05	110

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	noot Performance Framewo	гк керогс				Tatal Daarik la Dainta nan	F
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per	Framework
		-			EMH Level	Points		
	· · · · · ·	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			10	CAP		
Academic	 at or above the 90th percentile of 	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assign	chool Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adva	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading			Math		Writing		Science				
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dan san				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1