School Performance Framework 2013

School: PEAKVIEW SCHOOL - 0063

Level: EM

District: HUERFANO RE-1 - 1390 (1 Year')

Priority Improvement

Performance Indicators

Entering Year 4* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	(11.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(20.9 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	43.8%	(11.0 out of 25 points)	
³ Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

(42.9 out of 100 points)

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned			
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL		
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² Schools r		
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	from the		
Turnaround	below 37%	³ Schools of		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

42.9%

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2014

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.0%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	112	95	-	207	112	96	-	208
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	112	96	-	208	112	96	-	208
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	112	96	-	208	112	96	-	208
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	27	35	-	62	27	35	-	62
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rating

Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
School: PEAKVIEW SCHOOL - 006							District: HUERFANO RE	-1 - 1390 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	109	53.21	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	109	55.05	21	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	109	37.61	22	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	19.23	14	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	13	23	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	5	33	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	15	29	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	49	14	32	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	14	28	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	4	37	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	5	39	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	12	38	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	14	35	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	15	65	No
Total	7	28	25%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: PEAKVIEW SCHOOL - 000	63						District: HUERFANO RE	-1 - 1390 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	89	64.04	31	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	90	30	15	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	90	48.89	30	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	21.21	11	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
	3	4	<i>70 F UITLS</i>	Meets	83	57	37	Yes
Reading Mathematics	2	4 4		Approaching	83	42	84	No
Writing	2	4 4		Approaching	83	42 42	56	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		Approaching	N<20	- 42	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	11-20		-	-
And win Crowth Com	Deinte Come d		(C) D = i = t =		Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	66	59 47		Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	60	60	50	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	35	55	65	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	67	43	84	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	61	41	85	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	51	44	95	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	66	42	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	61	40	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	36	75	No
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the S	chool Performance Framew	vork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide					t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	Approaching		2	subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math Writing					Science			
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1