School: FLORENCE HIGH SCHOOL - 3002 District: FREMONT RE-2 - 1150 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

				 (5
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.1%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	70.0%	(24.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate	,		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

60.2%

(60.2 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stud	dents Tested			Participa	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	767	767	-	-	775	775
Mathematics	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	771	771	-	-	776	776
Writing	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	767	767	-	-	775	775
Science	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	378	378	-	-	381	381
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	317	317	-	-	318	318

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: FLORENCE HIGH SCHOOL - 3	002						District: FREMONT RE-	2 - 1150 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	723	64.04	29	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	726	22.87	32	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	723	42.05	33	
Science	2	4		Approaching	354	46.33	42	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	677	51	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	653	47	97	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	677	54	70	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	313	49	42	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	114	47	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	62	52	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	255	49	78	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	45.070	Approaching	301	42	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	109	44	94	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	37	99	No
English Learners	0	0		- Does Not week	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	453	46	99	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching	733	70	33	140
			30%		212	40	02	NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	313	48	82	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	114	50	66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	62	43	99	No
English Learners	0	0		- 1:	N<20			-
Students needing to catch up	2 25	48 48	52.1%	Approaching	387	52	92	No
Total				Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	50	07/387/256/ 125	83/84.8/86.7/ <i>89.6</i> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	3	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		20/169/116/ <i>61</i>	81.8/84/84.5/ 90.2 %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		95/77/48/ 23	86.3/87/89.6/ <i>100</i> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		50/41/ <i>30</i> /16	66/63.4/ <i>66.7</i> /62.5%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		1861	2.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		317	18.4	20.1
Total	10.5	15	70%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	88	88.8	89.6	89.6
Anticipated Year	2010	83.7	83.8	84	
of Graduation	2011	77.5	81.8		
	2012	83.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	87.9	88.1	89.8	90.2
Anticipated Year	2010	83	83	78.9	
of Graduation	2011	73.1	80.7		
	2012	82.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	95.7	95.7	100	100
Anticipated Year	2010	79.2	80	80	
of Graduation	2011	80	86.2		
	2012	94.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	62.5
Anticipated Year	2010	56.3	56.3	62.5	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16		·	

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	88	88.8	89.6	89.6
Anticipated Year	2010	83.7	83.8	84	
of Graduation	2011	77.5	81.8		
	2012	83.5			
	Aggregated	83	84.8	86.7	89.6

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	87.9	88.1	89.8	90.2
Anticipated Year	2010	83	83	78.9	
of Graduation	2011	73.1	80.7		
	2012	82.5			
	Aggregated	81.8	84	84.5	90.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	95.7	95.7	100	100
Anticipated Year	2010	79.2	80	80	
of Graduation	2011	80	86.2		
	2012	94.4			
	Aggregated	86.3	87	89.6	100

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	62.5
Anticipated Year	2010	56.3	56.3	62.5	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	66	63.4	66.7	62.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: H

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points		
	The school's percentage of student	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all scl	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all scl	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all:	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	at or above 60.	Exceeds	4		60			
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	elow 60 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 55.				3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	l Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropou	t rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average bu	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the state of	Approaching	2					
	• above 10%.	• above 10%.				1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Th							
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4			
	at or above the state average bu	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
		te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

							<u> </u>					
	Reading			Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1