School Performance Framework 2013

School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COMMUNITY SCHOOL - 6162

Performance Indicators

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

est Participation Rates

	Academic Achievement	Approaching	59.4%	(14.9 out of 25 points)	
ed to adopt and ool Performance ype based on the	Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(35.7 out of 50 points)	
official year. The ed to the scoring dditionally, failing	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	71.9%	(18.0 out of 25 points)	
est participation	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL				(68.69	6	(68.6 o	ut of 1	00 poin	ts)			
2	 	 											

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

rest Participation Rates	3															
	% of Students Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	138	190	-	328	138	190	-	328
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	138	190	-	328	138	190	-	328
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	138	190	-	328	138	190	-	328
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	_	Meets	41	54	-	95	41	54	-	95
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rating

Level: EM

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COM	MUNITY SCHO	OL - 6162					District: HARRISON	2 - 0980 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	131	62.6	31	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	131	67.94	43	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	131	44.27	31	
Science	2	4		Approaching	40	32.5	29	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	74	47	33	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	74	38	54	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	74	53	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	81	63	-	_
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets	/•	Growth refcentite	Growin reicentite	Growth
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	02.5%	Meets	62	45	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	57	50	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Meets	N<20	-		-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	36	46	51	 No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	29	50	62	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching	25	50	02	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	-J.0/8	Does Not Meet	62	39	57	No
Minority Students	2	4 4		Approaching	58	42	56	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	36	49	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	25	47	80	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets		•		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	0.1070	Meets	62	48	44	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	57	56	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	36	62	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	39	61	62	No
	30	48	62.5%			-		-

Performance Indicators		01 (1(2)					District: LLA DDICOL	Level: Middle
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COM			~ .	- <i>d</i>			District: HARRISOI	N 2 - 0980 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u>N</u>	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	183	71.04	49	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	183	61.75	69	
Writing	3	4		Meets	183	69.95	74	
Science	3	4		Meets	52	61.54	72	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	180	58	33	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	181	61	65	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	180	68	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	36	59	-	-
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	16	68.8%	Meets		rereentite	<i>Crowin refeelding</i>	0.0111.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.0%	Meets	157	58	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	157	56	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		_ Meets	65	57	43	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4 4			46	50	65	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Approaching	40	50	65	110
			/ 5%	Meets	150			NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	<u>158</u> 152	62	66	No
Minority Students	-	4		Meets	-	63	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0 4		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners				Meets	66	64	66	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	68	86	No
Writing	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	157	69	47	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	151	69	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	65	77	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	68	76	73	Yes
Total	39	48	81.3%	Meets				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the S	chool Performance Framew	ork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced was	÷		Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	Exceeds	4		16		
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance				
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement				
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement				
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround				

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 🛛	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1
	51,438