School Performance Framework 2013

School: MOUNTAIN VISTA HIGH SCHOOL - 6165

Level: H

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (3 Year')

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	_
Performance	at or above 60%	Tes
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	TO
Turnaround	below 33%	² Sch

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	93.8%	(14.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	63.3%	(9.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	100.0%	(35.0 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	leets 95% Participation Pate			

%	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
%					
%	TOTAL		84.9%	(84.9 out of 100 points)	
%	-				

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Stud	dents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3067	3067	-	-	3145	3145
Mathematics	-	-	97.6%	97.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3072	3072	-	-	3147	3147
Writing	-	-	97.6%	97.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	3069	3069	-	-	3145	3145
Science	-	-	96.6%	96.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1515	1515	-	-	1569	1569
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.7%	99.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1459	1459	-	-	1463	1463

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA HIGH SCH	IOOL - 6165					Dis	trict: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE	1 - 0900 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	3012	87.88	92	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	3016	55.57	92	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	3013	75.04	95	
Science	3	4		Meets	1481	68.74	87	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2872	50	6	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2880	47	44	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	2876	53	19	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	35	59	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	7570	Meets	176	53	20	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	547	54	7	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	136	46	72	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	81	69	28	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	349	55	66	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	515			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	177	43	89	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	545	50	53	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	138	38	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	81	63	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	927	48	97	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets	52,		5,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	0.70	Approaching	176	50	55	No
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	547	53	24	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4 4		Approaching	137	45	94	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	81	62	66	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	663	57	81	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets		5.		
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	186	50/1403/ 931 /463	94.7/96.2/ <i>96.9</i> /96.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	4	4	100%	Exceeds		~		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds		93/60/ 34 /17	83.9/90/ <i>94.1</i> /94.1%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds		24/217/130/ 74	91.7/95.4/96.2/ 97.3 %	80%
Students with Disabilities	1	1		Exceeds		113/88/54/ 30	81.4/87.5/94.4/ <i>96.7</i> %	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds		<i>5</i> /28/16/N<16	94.3 /92.9/93.8/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	-	6761	0.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		1459	23.2	20.1
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds			·	

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.1	95.3	95.9	96.3
Anticipated Year	2010	95.3	97.2	97.9	
of Graduation	2011	93.9	96.2		
	2012	96.7			

					_
		4-year	5-year	6-year	7
	2009	93.1	95.3	95.9	
Anticipated Year	2010	95.3	97.2	97.9	
of Graduation	2011	93.9	96.2		
	2012	96.7			

7-year 96.3

96.3

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	94.1
Anticipated Year	2010	90	100	100	
of Graduation	2011	90.5	85.2		
	2012	86.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	88.2	93.2	93.3	97.3
Anticipated Year	2010	98.1	100	100	
of Graduation	2011	90	94.3		
	2012	92.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	71.9	86.7	90	96.7
Anticipated Year	2010	87.5	91.7	100	
of Graduation	2011	82.4	85.3		
	2012	87			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	94.1
Anticipated Year	2010	90	100	100	
of Graduation	2011	90.5	85.2		
	2012	86.5			
	Aggregated	83.9	90	<i>94.1</i>	94.1

94.7

96.2

96.9

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	88.2	93.2	93.3	97.3
Anticipated Year	2010	98.1	100	100	
of Graduation	2011	90	94.3		
	2012	92.4			
	Aggregated	91.7	95.4	96.2	97.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	71.9	86.7	90	96.7
Anticipated Year	2010	87.5	91.7	100	
of Graduation	2011	82.4	85.3		
	2012	87			
	Aggregated	81.4	87.5	94.4	<i>96.7</i>

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	94.3	92.9	93.8	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the Sc	hool Performance Framewo	ork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				ТСАР			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile o	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	ation rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	rate was:			-	Overall	Disaggi.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	rate was:		1	T		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but	above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the sta	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:	-				
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
		below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
		e average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perform	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adva	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dan san		_		

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1