# Turnaround (Revised)

**Entering Year 2\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround** 

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	31.3%	( 7.8 out of 25 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	( 25.0 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	45.8%	( 11.5 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	44.3%	( 44.3 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested						Participati	on Rating		Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.4%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	216	164	-	380	216	165	-	381
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	216	165	-	381	216	165	-	381
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	216	165	-	381	216	165	-	381
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	63	52	-	115	63	52	-	115
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup> on July 1, 2014

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTE	R ELEMENTARY	SCHOOL - 973	39				District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	210	39.52	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	210	43.33	10	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	210	25.71	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	14.75	10	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	129	40	52	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	130	35	62	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	27	63	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	170	63	-	-
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	60	40	59	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	39	51	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	59	42	46	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	40	69	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	30	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	35	62	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	35	56	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	73	29	82	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	34	68	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	27	61	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	59	29	54	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	29	69	No
Total	15	48	31.3%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTE	R ELEMENTARY	Y SCHOOL - 973	39				District: DENVER COUNTY	/ 1 - 0880 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	38.89	5	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	163	33.74	19	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	163	34.97	15	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	17.31	8	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	156	51	60	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	155	47	85	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	157	62	73	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	59	60	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	74	40	62	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	156	51	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	92	49	60	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	99	56	71	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	74	52	88	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	155	47	85	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	<del>-</del>
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	90	47	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	108	50	96	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	74	62	75	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	157	62	73	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	92	64	74	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	107	66	83	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide Level: EM

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	oring Guide				: Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced was	s:		TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30. • b	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

								00: -0::	•				
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of School	ls	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percent	ile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percent	ile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percent	ile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1