School Performance Framework 2013

School: STRIVE PREP - SMART ACADEMY - 9639

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year¹)

Level: F

Im	pro	vem	ent
	P		

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Act Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Test
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	тот
Turnaround	below 33%	² Scho

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3% (8.7 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	53.6% (18.8 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.1% (7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	-	- (0 out of 0 points)	

Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate
TOTAL	54.3% (35.3 out of 65 points)
² Schools may not be aligible for all possible poi	nte an an indicator due to incufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participa	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	162	162	-	-	163	163
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	163	163	-	-	163	163
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	163	163	-	-	163	163
Science	-	-	0.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	0
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: STRIVE PREP - SMART ACADE	<u> 9639 - 9639</u>						District: DENVER COUNTY	<u>I - 0880 (</u> 1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	161	65.22	29	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	162	48.15	81	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	162	45.06	37	
Science	0	0		-	N<16	-	-	
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequat
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	161	58	28	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	162	45	64	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	38	48	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	40	60	-	-
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Pating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
			81.3%	Rating	/\	Growin rencemble	Glowin Fercentile	Growin
Reading	13 3	<u> </u>	01.3%	Meets	143	F0	20	Ver
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	143	59 59	28 28	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Meets	N<20	59	-	Yes -
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	127	62	30	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	68	57	70	No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching	00	57	/0	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	43.0%	Approaching	144	45	63	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	161	45	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0			N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	128	47	65	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	35	95	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	51.570	Approaching	144	40	52	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	161	39	47	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	128	38	49	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	71	26	85	No
Total	25	48	52.1%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	romo Lamed	. on to Ligible	<i><i>N</i> I O<i>I</i>IG</i>	Naung		-/-/-		80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate			%				, , , , ,	50%
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-		-/-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students				-		-/-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities				-		-/-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate							%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score								20.0

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

				_
	4-year	5-year	6-year	
2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
2011	N<16	N<16		
	2010	2009 N<16 2010 N<16	2009 N<16	2009 N<16

7-year N<16

N<16

N<16

N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

2012

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

N<16

N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				TCAP				
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TC	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	luation rate/disaggregated graduation	7	Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	ut rate was:					16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-		
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)	•	Meets		3	indicator)		
	• at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T	he school's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:						
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4				
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3				
	• at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.			

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1