School Performance Framework 2013

School: OMAR D BLAIR CHARTER SCHOOL - 6508

Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	ľ
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	f
Turnaround	below 37%	3

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Rating % of Points Earned out of Points Eligible² Performance Indicators Academic Achievement 62.5% (15.6 out of 25 points) Meets Academic Growth 89.3% Exceeds (44.7 out of 50 points) Academic Growth Gaps Exceeds 89.6% (22.4 out of 25 points) Test Participation³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	82.7%	(82.7 out of 100 points)						
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed								
from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.								

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studen	ts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.2%	99.6%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	253	251	-	504	255	252	-	507
Mathematics	100.0%	99.6%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	256	251	-	507	256	252	-	508
Writing	100.0%	99.2%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	256	250	-	506	256	252	-	508
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	84	85	-	169	84	85	-	169
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: OMAR D BLAIR CHARTER	R SCHOOL - 650	08					District: DENVER COUNTY	′ 1 - 0880 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	250	72	50	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	252	71.43	50	
Writing	3	4		Meets	252	56.35	55	
Science	3	4		Meets	82	53.66	61	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	162	56	31	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	162	69	52	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	162	68	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	104	83	-	-
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds	,,	, creentile	eronan ereenae	0.0111.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	07.570	Meets	102	59	36	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	146	55	31	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	58	62	31	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	46	64	62	Yes
Mathematics	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	102	68	55	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	146	68	53	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	58	79	42	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	65	74	No
Writing	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	102	69	51	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	146	68	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	58	71	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	86	67	63	Yes
Total	45	48	93.8%	Exceeds				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: OMAR D BLAIR CHARTER	R SCHOOL - 650	08					District: DENVER COUNT	<u>(</u> 1 - 0880 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	_
Reading	2	4		Approaching	237	61.18	25	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	237	51.05	47	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	236	53.39	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	79	36.71	30	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	224	58	44	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	225	63	76	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	224	62	55	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	52	75	-	-
Total	12	14	85.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	150	59	50	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	205	60	47	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	91	68	52	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	89	57	72	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	151	64	78	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	206	62	76	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	92	58	77	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	112	64	95	No
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	151	65	62	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	205	62	55	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	91	70	60	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	114	65	80	No
Total	41	48	85.4%	Meets				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Perfe	ormance Indicators on the S	chool Performance Framew	ork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		TC	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	0th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but a	elow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Does Not Meet	1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)		
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math				Writing		Science			
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1