School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHOOL - 6350 District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year')

Priority Improvement (Revised) Entering Year 2* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2014

			District. DEIA	TVER COOKITY O	000 (1 1001
Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points			
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)		
Academic Growth	Approaching	55.4%	(19.4 out of 35 points)		
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.2%	(8.1 out of 15 points)		
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	80.0%	(28.0 out of 35 points)		
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate				
TOTAL		59.3%	(59.3 out of 100 points)		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested			Participation Rating		Students Tested				Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.9%	99.6%	99.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	432	243	675	-	437	244	681
Mathematics	-	99.5%	100.0%	99.7%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	435	244	679	-	437	244	681
Writing	-	99.3%	100.0%	99.6%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	434	244	678	-	437	244	681
Science	-	99.4%	99.1%	99.3%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	154	111	265	-	155	112	267
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	102	102	-	-	102	102

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHO	OOL - 6350						District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	407	29.98	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	409	20.29	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	409	25.43	6	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	145	6.9	1	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	379	47	69	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	382	32	88	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	383	51	79	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	183	40	-	-
Total	6	14	42.9%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	362	47	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	376	46	69	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	38	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	251	49	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	257	46	80	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	365	32	89	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	379	32	89	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	77	29	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	32	84	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	268	35	97	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	366	51	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	380	51	80	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	79	48	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	251	53	77	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	282	54	88	No
Total	24	60	40%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: BRUCE RANDOLPH SCHOOL	- 6350						District: DENVER COUNTY 1	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	239	37.24	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	239	7.95	4	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	239	21.34	7	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	109	20.18	7	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	236	56	78	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	236	46	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	236	64	95	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	147	58	-	-
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	,	Meets	223	56	76	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	232	56	79	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	59	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	185	56	79	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	162	59	90	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	223	47	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	232	46	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	64	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	185	46	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	205	49	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	223	64	94	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	232	64	95	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	68	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	185	63	95	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	200	64	96	No
Total	41	60	68.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	94	4/ 81 /86/N<16	85.1/ <i>97.5</i> /87.2/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	3	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	9:	3/ 80 /82/N<16	86/ <i>97.5</i> /87.8/-%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	93	3/ 80 /84/N<16	86/ <i>98.8</i> /86.9/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/	N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	1	1		Exceeds	3	I/ <i>16</i> /22/N<16	80.6/ <i>100</i> /77.3/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		594	0.7%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		102	15.2	20.0
Total	12	15	80%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	85.9	88.2	87.2	
of Graduation	2011	87.1	97.5		
	2012	85.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	85.4	87.8	87.8	
of Graduation	2011	86.9	97.5		
	2012	86			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	85.5	88	86.9	
of Graduation	2011	88.1	98.8		
	2012	86			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	77.8	77.8	77.3	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	100		
	2012	80.6			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	85.9	88.2	87.2	
of Graduation	2011	87.1	97.5		
	2012	85.1			
	Aggregated	86	92.8	87.2	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	85.4	87.8	87.8	
of Graduation	2011	86.9	97.5		
	2012	86			
	Aggregated	86.1	92.6	87.8	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	85.5	88	86.9	
of Graduation	2011	88.1	98.8		
	2012	86			
	Aggregated	86.5	93.3	86.9	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	76.2	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	77.8	77.8	77.3	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	100		
	2012	80.6			
	Aggregated	80.6	88.2	77.3	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: MH

ormance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewort Points			
	The school's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced was	s:		TO	CAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	luation rate/disaggregated graduation	1	Overall	Disaggr.			
	at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%.	•		Meets	3	0.75			
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5			
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropo	ut rate was:		,			16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average b	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: T.	he school's average Colorado ACT co	mposite score was:						
	at or above 22.			Exceeds		4			
	at or above the state average b	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	,	Meets		3			
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).	•	Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indic		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

			,									
		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1
-		