District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

School: KIPP SUNSHINE PEAK ACADEMY - 4732

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>
Academic Achievement	Approaching	53.1%	( 13.3 out of 25 points )
Academic Growth	Exceeds	87.5%	( 43.8 out of 50 points )
Academic Growth Gaps	Exceeds	87.5%	( 21.9 out of 25 points )

Test Participation <sup>3</sup> Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	79.0%	( 79.0 out of 100 points )	

2Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed
 from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	_	Meets	195	174	-	369	195	174	-	369
Mathematics	97.9%	98.3%	-	98.1%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	191	171	-	362	195	174	-	369
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	195	174	-	369	195	174	-	369
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	_	Meets	98	87	_	185	98	87	-	185
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: KIPP SUNSHINE PEAK AC	ADEMY - 4732						District: DENVER COUNTY	/ 1 - 0880 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	195	45.13	12	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	191	62.83	34	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	195	42.56	28	
Science	3	4		Meets	98	56.12	64	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	188	53	57	No
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	187	78	66	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	189	59	65	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	148	62	-	_
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	127	55	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	186	53	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	172	54	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	115	51	71	No
Mathematics	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	125	78	68	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	186	78	67	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	172	77	65	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	78	81	88	No
Writing	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	127	56	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	187	59	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	173	59	65	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	132	59	73	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: KIPP SUNSHINE PEAK AC							District: DENVER COUNTY	<u>/ 1 - 0880 (1 Yeai</u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	48.85	13	,
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	171	66.67	76	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	174	54.02	40	
Science	3	4		Meets	87	62.07	73	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	166	68	55	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	165	87	77	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	166	70	64	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	100	67	-	-
Total	14	14	100%	Exceeds				,
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	107	67	53	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	166	68	55	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	157	68	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	76	69	72	No
Mathematics	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	106	81	76	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	165	87	77	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	155	86	76	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	64	84	95	No
Writing	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	108	71	66	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	166	70	64	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	- -	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	156	71	63	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	83	76	84	No
Total	47	48	97.9%	Exceeds		-		-

Scoring Guide Level: EM

Scoring Guide for Peri	formance Indicators on the S	chool Performance Framev	vork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating Point Value			Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced wa	ns:		Т	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling	ie).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but a	it or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	

## Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

								<del>-                                    </del>					
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of School	ls	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percent	ile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percent	ile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percent	ile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

# Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1