School Performance Framework 2013

School: BRYANT WEBSTER K-8 SCHOOL - 1106

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

to meet test administration assurances will result in a lower	and/or test participation	Test Participatio
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² Schools may not I
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	
Turnaround	below 37%	³ Schools do not re

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible² Performance Indicators Rating Academic Achievement Approaching 43.8% (11.0 out of 25 points) Academic Growth Meets 71.4% (35.7 out of 50 points) Academic Growth Gaps 68.3% (17.1 out of 25 points) Meets on³ **Meets 95% Participation Rate**

9%	TOTAL	63.8%	(63.8 out of 100 points)		
9%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicate	or due to insi	ufficient numbers of students. Ir	these cases, the points are re	moved
	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.				

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studen	nts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	97.9%	100.0%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	382	387	-	769	390	387	-	777
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	390	387	-	777	390	387	-	777
Writing	97.9%	100.0%	-	99.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	382	387	-	769	390	387	-	777
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	125	133	-	258	125	133	-	258
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year')

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: BRYANT WEBSTER K-8 SC	CHOOL - 1106						District: DENVER COUNTY	′ 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	381	43.31	9	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	381	52.49	18	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	381	34.65	17	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	17.89	12	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	187	49	58	No
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	247	69	67	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	187	46	60	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	420	52	-	-
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	175	48	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	184	49	58	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	23	58	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	107	51	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	118	53	70	No
Mathematics	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	235	70	68	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	244	70	68	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	28	66	87	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	165	70	63	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	138	67	80	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	175	44	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	184	46	61	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	44	74	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	107	47	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	144	47	67	No
Total	39	60	65%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: BRYANT WEBSTER K-8 SC	CHOOL - 1106						District: DENVER COUNTY	(1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	382	53.93	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	382	39.27	24	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	382	43.98	22	
Science	2	4		Approaching	133	27.07	17	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	375	60	53	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	375	51	77	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	375	56	61	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	140	63	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	350	62	55	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	370	60	53	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	33	60	89	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	257	62	54	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	176	61	75	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	350	52	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	370	52	78	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	33	53	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	257	53	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	201	53	93	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	350	57	65	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	370	56	61	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	33	62	93	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	257	56	61	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	208	64	83	No
Total	43	60	71.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	noot Performance Framewo	огк керогс					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
							EMH Level	Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Approaching 2					content area)		
below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	:	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assigr	chool Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99		
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64		
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49		
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34		

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1