School Performance Framework 2013

School: NEDERLAND MIDDLE-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 6212

District: BOULDER VALLEY RE 2 - 0480 (3 Year')

Level: MH

-	~			
	rto	rm	n	CO
гс	rfo		an	LC.

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

est Participation Pate

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	79.2%	(27.7 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.6%	(9.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	86.7%	(30.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL		79.1%	(79.1 out of 100 points)	
2	 	 		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

rest rardcipation Rates																
	% of Students Tested Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.3%	95.2%	97.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	405	258	663	-	412	271	683
Mathematics	-	98.5%	96.7%	97.8%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	406	262	668	-	412	271	683
Writing	-	98.3%	95.6%	97.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	405	259	664	-	412	271	683
Science	-	97.2%	94.1%	95.7%	-	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	-	138	128	266	-	142	136	278
Colorado ACT	-	-	97.0%	97.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	129	129	-	-	133	133

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: NEDERLAND MIDDLE-SEI	NIOR HIGH SCH	HOOL - 6212					District: BOULDER VALLEY RE	2 - 0480 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	381	78.48	71	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	382	60.99	70	
Writing	3	4		Meets	381	61.42	56	
Science	3	4		Meets	130	54.62	63	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	361	48	23	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	363	55	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	362	40	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Madian	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Doints	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					//	Growin Percentile	Growin Percentile	Growun
Reading	10	16	62.5%	Meets	02			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	92 62	46 51	33 28	Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	2	4		Meets	50	51	72	Yes No
English Learners	0	0		Approaching	N<20	52	12	INU
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	67	50	72	 No
Mathematics	7	16	43.8%	Approaching	07		12	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	43.0%	Approaching	93	40	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	62	52	72	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	37	96	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	118	44	92	No
Writing	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	93	31	52	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	62	44	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	29	85	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	36	74	No
Total	22	48	45.8%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: NEDERLAND MIDDLE-SENIO	R HIGH SCHOO	L - 6212				D	District: BOULDER VALLEY RE	2 - 0480 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	244	82.38	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	248	42.34	74	
Writing	3	4		Meets	245	59.59	72	
Science	3	4		Meets	120	68.33	87	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	228	61	11	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	234	60	79	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	229	64	46	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	16	16	100%	Exceeds		Growan creentale	<i>Crowin Creenine</i>	0,0,0,0,0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	100/0	Exceeds	58	66	29	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	34	71	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	35	70	58	Yes
English Learners	0	0		LACEEUS	N<20	70	30	165
Students needing to catch up	4	4		- Exceeds	51	71	73	No
			75%		51	/1	/3	INO
Mathematics	<u>12</u> 3	16	/ 3/6	Meets	<u> </u>		04	Na
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	61	62	94	No
Minority Students	-	4		Meets	33	62	96	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	37	68	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20 114	63	- 99	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4	04.20/	Meets	114	03	99	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	59	64	73	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	34	80	69	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	36	55	96	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up Total	3 41	4 48	85.4%	Meets Meets	94	59	93	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating			Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4		<i>N</i> I UIIIS	Exceeds	-	226/167/114/ <i>62</i>	88.9/89.8/89.5/ 90.3 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	3	66.7%	Meets	2	220/10//114/ 02	0.50 0.50 07.50 10.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50 /0.50	00//0
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1	00.770	Meets		<i>68</i> /45/31/16	82.4 /80/80.6/75%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1			21/	N<16/N<16/N<16	<i>62.4</i> /80/80.6/75% <i>76.2</i> /-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	<u> </u>		Approaching Meets		N<16/N<16/N<16 29/25/ 21 /N<16	79.3/84/ <i>85.7</i> /-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	0		ivieets		5/N<16/N<16/N<16		80%
	4	4		Evcoods	11410		-/-/-% 1 %	
Dropout Rate Colorado ACT Composite Score	3			Exceeds		721 129	1%	3.9%
		4	00 70	Meets		123	21.3	20.1
Total	13	15	86.7%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84.4	87.3	88.7	90.3
Anticipated Year	2010	81.5	85.2	90.4	
of Graduation	2011	98	<i>98</i>		
	2012	93.2			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84.4	87.3	88.7	90.3
Anticipated Year	2010	81.5	85.2	90.4	
of Graduation	2011	98	98		
	2012	93.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	62.5	68.8	N<16	75
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	81.3	87.5	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	<i>92</i>			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	62.5	68.8	N<16	75
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	81.3	87.5	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	92			
	Aggregated	82.4	80	80.6	75

88.9

89.8

89.5

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	76.2	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	79.3	84	85.7	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

90.3

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Scoring Guide			Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of student	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	l Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropou	t rate was:		-	1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average bu	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Th	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average bu	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	• at or above 17 but below the sta	te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assigr	School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing		Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1