District: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50 JT - 0220 (3 Year¹)

School: PAGOSA SPRINGS MIDDLE SCHOOL - 6657

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Academic Achievement Meets 68.8% (17.2 out of 25 points)	
Academic Achievement ivideds 60.0% (17.2 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth Approaching 59.6% (29.8 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps Approaching 53.3% (13.3 out of 25 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

|--|

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	<u>!</u> S															
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	99.7%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	652	624	-	1276	655	626	-	1281
Mathematics	99.2%	99.8%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	651	627	-	1278	656	628	-	1284
Writing	99.5%	99.7%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	652	624	-	1276	655	626	-	1281
Science	99.4%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	323	324	-	647	325	324	-	649
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: PAGOSA SPRINGS MIDD	LE SCHOOL - 66	557				Distr	ict: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50	JT - 0220 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	622	72.67	51	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	620	55	21	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	622	51.77	44	
Science	3	4		Meets	314	51.27	58	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	573	50	32	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	572	39	59	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	574	43	44	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0.5	2		Does Not Meet	42	21	-	-
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	336	47	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4	111	Meets	146	51	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	41	41	83	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	69	53	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	179	46	59	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	335	38	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	37	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	42	49	92	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	69	39	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	194	50	80	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	337	39	50	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	146	43	54	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	42	36	84	No
English Learners	2	4	-	Approaching	69	43	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	271	40	65	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				

Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth Reading 3 4 Meets 560 55 27 Yes Mathematics 3 4 Meets 560 56 49 Yes English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 0 0 - N-20 - - - - Total 9 12 75% Meets Subgroup Subgroup Median Growth Gaps Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Fercentile Made Adea Adea Adea Adea Adea Adea Adea A	Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
Reading 3	School: PAGOSA SPRINGS MIDDI	LE SCHOOL - 60	657				Dist	rict: ARCHULETA COUNTY 50	JT - 0220 (3 Year
Mathematics 3	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Method M	Reading	3	4		Meets	603	72.31	52	
Total 12 16 75% Meets 313 60.38 74 74 74 76 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	Mathematics	3	4		Meets	607	57.66	63	
Total 12 16 75% Meets Meets Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Made Adequate Growth Made Adequate Growth Meets 560 55 27 Yes Mathematics 3 4 Meets 560 55 27 Yes Mathematics 3 4 Meets 560 56 49 Yes Meets Meet	Writing	3	4		Meets	603	60.53	53	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Median Adequate Growth Made Adea Adea Adea Adea Adea Adea Adea A	Science	3	4		Meets	313	60.38	74	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth Reading 3 4 Meets 560 55 27 Yes Mathematics 3 4 Meets 560 56 49 Yes English language Proficiency (ACCESS) 0 0 - NC20 - - - - Total 79 12 75% Meets SUbgroup Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adea Academic Growth Gaps Points Eligible % Points Eligible % Points Eligible % Points Eligible % Points Eligible Weets Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adea Reading 13 20 65% Meets 275 48 40 Yes Minority Students 3 4 Meets 275 48 40 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44	Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Reading 3	Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Median Growth Percentile	•	Made Adequate Growth?
Mathematics 3 4 Meets 563 67 70 No Writing 3 4 Meets 560 56 49 Yes English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 0 0 - N -						560			
Writing 3 4 Meets 560 56 49 Yes Engish Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 0 0 - N-20 - 0-2 - - - Total - - N-20 - - - - - - N-20 - N-20 - <			4						
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		3	4						
Name		0	0		-				
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Reading 13 20 65% Meets 275 48 40 Yes Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 140 57 46 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 45 85 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 49 54 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 131 58 62 No Mathematics 14 20 70% Meets		9	12	75%	Meets				
Reading 13 20 65% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 275 48 40 Yes Minority Students 3 4 Meets 140 57 46 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 45 85 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 49 54 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 131 58 62 No Mathematics 14 20 70% Meets 131 58 62 No Mathematics 14 20 70% Meets 131 58 62 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 276 66 79 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No Students with Disabilities	Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• .	Made Adequate Growth?
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 275 48 40 Yes Minority Students 3 4 Meets 140 57 46 Yes Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 45 85 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 49 54 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 131 58 62 No Mathermatics 14 20 70% Meets 131 58 62 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 276 66 79 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 139 68 79 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No Students in Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No	Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Students with Disabilities 2	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	275	48	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 45 85 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 49 54 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 131 58 62 No Mathematics 14 20 70% Meets	Minority Students	3	4		Meets	140	57	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 131 58 62 No Mathematics 14 20 70% Meets 276 66 79 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 276 66 79 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 139 68 79 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 275 50 60 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 N	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	45	85	No
Mathematics 14 20 70% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 276 66 79 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 139 68 79 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 275 50 60 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 <td>English Learners</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td>Approaching</td> <td>44</td> <td>49</td> <td>54</td> <td>No</td>	English Learners	2	4		Approaching	44	49	54	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 276 66 79 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 139 68 79 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 275 50 60 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	131	58	62	No
Minority Students 3 4 Meets 139 68 79 No Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 275 50 60 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Students with Disabilities 2 4 Approaching 44 50 99 No English Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 275 50 60 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	276	66	79	No
English Learners 3 4 Meets 44 64 88 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching 275 50 60 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	Minority Students	3	4		Meets	139	68	79	No
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 242 65 92 No Writing 9 20 45% Approaching Company of the property of the propert	Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	50	99	No
Writing 9 20 45% Approaching Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 275 50 60 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	English Learners	3	4		Meets	44	64	88	No
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching No Approaching 275 50 60 No Mo No	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	242	65	92	No
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 140 54 64 No Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Students with Disabilities 1 4 Does Not Meet 44 39 94 No English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	275	50	60	No
English Learners 2 4 Approaching 44 54 74 No Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No		2	4		Approaching	140	54	64	No
Students needing to catch up 2 4 Approaching 227 50 76 No	•	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	39	94	No
	English Learners	2	4		Approaching	44	54	74	No
Total 36 60 60% Approaching	Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	227	50	76	No
		36	60	60%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide Level: EM

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of student	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all:	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4		60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1