District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

School: AURORA WEST COLLEGE PREPARATORY ACADEMY - 9396

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	37.5%	( 5.6 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	76.8%	( 26.9 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	73.3%	( 11.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		69.8%	( 69.8 out of 100 points )	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Particip	ation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.7%	100.0%	98.9%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	2394	384	2778	-	2425	384	2809
Mathematics	-	99.0%	100.0%	99.1%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	2402	384	2786	-	2427	384	2811
Writing	-	98.8%	99.7%	98.9%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	2398	383	2781	-	2427	384	2811
Science	-	98.7%	100.0%	98.9%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	745	182	927	-	755	182	937
Colorado ACT	=	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	84	84	-	-	85	85

Performance Indicators	DDEDADATON	VACADEMY O	200				Sightwise ADALICE ADADALICE	Level: Middle
School: AURORA WEST COLLEGE Academic Achievement		Points Eligible		Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	vistrict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2 School's Percentile	8) - 0180 (3 Year
Reading	1	4	% PUIIIS	Does Not Meet	2171	35.74	School's Percentile  4	
Mathematics	1	4			2171			
Writing	I	4	-	Does Not Meet  Does Not Meet	2176	24.45 29.37	<u>8</u>	
	1	4 4		Does Not Meet  Does Not Meet	677	14.92	6	
Science	1	16	25%		677	14.92	0	
Total	4	10	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1988	53	65	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2006	51	90	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1995	57	79	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	1035	53	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching		<u>Growth rerections</u>	Growen's Creenine	Olowan:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	Δ		Approaching	1916	53	66	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1901		66	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	248	43	92	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1617	54	67	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-	Approaching	1266	52	80	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching	1200	32		140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30%	Approaching	1932	51	90	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1918	51	90	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	252	53	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	1627	52	90	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	1408	53	96	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	1923	57		No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1908	57	79	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	250	53	96	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	1620	59	80	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	1398	59	88	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators	DARATORY	ADELAY COOK					List ADALIC ADADASS	Level: High
School: AURORA WEST COLLEGE PRE			~~~	- ··	• •		strict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28	<u>3J - 0180 (3 Yea</u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned		% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	382	66.49	35	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	382	30.37	49	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	381	45.67	39	_
Science	2	4		Approaching	181	37.02	25	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	381	70	35	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	381	68	95	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	380	72	80	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	119	74	-	-
Total	13	14	92.9%	Exceeds	113	,,		
Total	13	17	32.370	LACCEUS				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	19	20	95%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	360	70	34	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	356	71	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	62	98	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	330	71	41	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	167	70	89	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	70.0	Meets	360	68	96	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	356	68	96	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	31	58	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	330	68	96	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	229	65	99	No
Writing	20	20	100%	Exceeds	223		33	110
			100/6		350	72	20	NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	359	72	80	No No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	356	72	81	No
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	31	72	99	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	329	72	81	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	242	74	94	No
Total	54	60	90%	Exceeds				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr						-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate			%					
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students		-		-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		226	0.4%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		84	17.7	20.1
1 10000	6	8	75%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

### **Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates**

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

#### Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: MH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all scl	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:					16	
ostsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the star	te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assigr	ichool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
<b>Priority Improvement Plan</b>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math Writing				Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

### Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1