School Performance Framework 2013

School: MURPHY CREEK K-8 SCHOOL - 6189

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	76.9%	(38.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	69.8%	(17.5 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² S
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fre
Turnaround	below 37%	³ S

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of

points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on

all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Academic Growth Gaps.

		% of Stude	nts Testea	1		Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	99.4%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	206	180	-	386	207	181	-	388
Mathematics	99.5%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	206	181	-	387	207	181	-	388
Writing	99.5%	99.4%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	206	180	-	386	207	181	-	388
Science	98.3%	100.0%	-	99.1%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	59	52	-	111	60	52	-	112
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: MURPHY CREEK K-8 SCH	OOL - 6189						District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	8J - 0180 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	196	60.71	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	196	62.76	34	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	196	50.51	43	
Science	2	4		Approaching	55	32.73	29	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	125	48	35	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	127	49	56	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	126	49	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	39	45	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching			<i>Clothan creentile</i>	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	36	40	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	75	42	35	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	28	36	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	46	43	60	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	52	54	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	77	54	58	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	29	43	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	45	40	79	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	51	42	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	76	52	42	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	28	42	46	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	64	44	60	No
Total	24	48	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: MURPHY CREEK K-8 SCH	OOL - 6189						District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	170	73.53	53	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	172	50.58	47	
Writing	3	4		Meets	170	60.59	55	
Science	2	4		Approaching	50	28	19	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	162	65	32	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	162	58	70	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	163	72	47	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
•		T			N	reicentite	Growin Percentile	Growun
Reading Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	<u>15</u> 4	<u>16</u>	93.8%	Exceeds	53	69	57	Vac
	4	4 4		Exceeds	113			Yes
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	4	<u> </u>		Exceeds	N<20	69		- 105
English Learners	4	4		- Exceeds	44	- 79	35	- Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4 4		Meets	57	64	71	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets	57	04	/1	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	/ 5/0	Meets	54	64	82	No
Minority Students	3	4 4		Meets	113	62	73	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	43	65	73	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	75	58	89	No
Writing	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	100/0	Exceeds	54	79	76	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	114	72	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	44	78	46	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	81	82	80	Yes
Total	43	48	89.6%	Exceeds				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Perf	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report										
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Coring Guide					Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of studen	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:									
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Exceeds		4	16				
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					(4 for each	25			
	below the 50th percentile but a	low the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					subject area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1						
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14				
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60				
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3				
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)				

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1