# School Performance Framework 2013

# School: KENTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 4646

Level: E

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Improvem	ent
----------	-----

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance 4 Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2 2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fr
Turnaround	below 37%	<sup>3</sup> S

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	of Points Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>						
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	( 6.3 out of 25 points )						
Academic Growth	Approaching	60.7%	( 30.4 out of 50 points )						
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	58.3%	(14.6 out of 25 points)						
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate								

<u>a</u> %	TOTAL	51.3%	( 51.3 out of 100 points )		
%	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	tor due to in	sufficient numbers of students. Ir	these cases, the points are remove	d
%	from the points eligible so scores are not negatively impacted				

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Studen	ts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	247	-	-	247	248	-	-	248
Mathematics	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	249	-	-	249	250	-	-	250
Writing	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	247	-	-	247	248	-	-	248
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	85	-	-	85	85	-	-	85
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: KENTON ELEMENTARY S	CHOOL - 4646					D	District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	8J - 0180 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	225	31.11	2	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	225	36.44	4	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	225	19.56	3	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	18.67	14	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	148	49	58	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	148	63	72	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	149	45	67	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	246	60	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	135	49	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	144	49	61	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	118	49	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	101	51	73	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	135	62	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	144	62	73	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	117	62	73	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	91	59	84	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	135	44	67	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	145	44	69	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	117	44	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	120	48	75	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				

# **Scoring Guide**

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report												
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points				
	The school's percentage of studen	he school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:										
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16					
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25				
	below the 50th percentile but a	elow the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					subject area)					
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1								
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS						
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14					
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 50 but at or above 35.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English					
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP						
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60					
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	25				
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		2		2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)					

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 37% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

### Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 🛛	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1