School: FULTON ACADEMY OF EXCELLENCE - 3272

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(34.0 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.8%	(17.7 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	58.0%	(58.0 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rate	<u>!</u> S															
		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	222	-	-	222	222	-	-	222
Mathematics	99.1%	-	-	99.1%	Meets	-	-	Meets	221	-	-	221	223	-	-	223
Writing	99.5%	-	-	99.5%	Meets	-	-	Meets	221	-	_	221	222	-	-	222
Science	98.6%	-	-	98.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	72	-	-	72	73	-	-	73
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

School: FULTON ACADEMY OF EXCELLENCE - 3272 Academic Achievement	Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
Reading	School: FULTON ACADEMY OF E	XCELLENCE - 32	272				D	Pistrict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	8J - 0180 (1 Year
Mathematics	Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Minority Students 1	Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	205	35.61	5	
Total 1	Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	205	40.49	6	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible X Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth Rademic Growth Percentile Percentile Reading 3	Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	204	27.94	10	
Academic Growth Points Earned Points Eligible & Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Percentile Growth? Reading 3 4 Meets 127 59 64 No Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 127 52 69 10 No Writing 3 4 Meets 125 59 64 No Writing 3 4 Meets 125 59 64 No Reading 127 52 60 10 No Writing 3 4 Meets 125 59 64 No No Reading 12	Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	67	10.45	5	
Reading Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Median Growth Percentile Percentile Growth? Reading 3 4 Meets 127 59 64 No Writing 3 4 Meets 125 59 64 No Brights Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets 232 62 - - - Total 9,5 14 67.9% Meets -	Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Reading 3									•
Mathematics 2 4 Approaching 127 52 69 No Writing Inglish Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets 125 59 64 No Freglish Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets 232 62 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - No - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - No - - No -				% Points					
Writing 3 4 Meets 125 59 64 No English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets 232 62 - - Cotal 9.5 14 67.9% Meets - - - Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible & Points Eligible	Reading		4		Meets				No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) 1.5 2 Meets 2.32 6.2	Mathematics				Approaching				No
Name Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Alequate Made Adequate Reademic Growth Gaps Points Eligible % Points Rating N Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Alequate Growth Percentile Growth Per		3	<u>_</u>		Meets			64	No
Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Subgroup Median Adequate Made Adequate Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible X Points Rating N Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Growth?	English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)				Meets	232	62	-	_
Academic Growth Gaps Points Earned Points Eligible % Points Rating N Growth Percentile Growth Percentile Growth? Reading 12 16 75% Meets 115 59 64 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 121 59 65 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 92 58 66 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 83 57 78 No Mathematics 10 16 62.5% Meets 83 57 78 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 115 52 70 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 12 52 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
Reading 12 16 75% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 115 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 121 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	Academic Grouth Gans	Points Farned	Points Eligible	& Points	Pating		· ·	- ·	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 115 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 121 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20						, v	Growth rescentile	Growth rescentile	GIOWLII:
Minority Students 3 4 Meets 121 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20				/3%		115	F0	C A	Ne
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - <th< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	<u> </u>								
English Learners 3 4 Meets 92 58 66 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 83 57 78 No Mathematics 10 16 62.5% Meets Students	-							65	
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 83 57 78 No Mathematics 10 16 62.5% Meets Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary Secondary No No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 121 52 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20								-	1
Mathematics 10 16 62.5% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 115 52 70 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 121 52 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20									
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 2 4 Approaching 115 52 70 No Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 121 52 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 92 57 71 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 77 57 84 No Writing 12 16 75% Meets 113 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 91 61 65 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No			•	C2 F0/		83	5/	78	INO
Minority Students 2 4 Approaching 121 52 70 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20				02.5%		115		70	NI-
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 92 57 71 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 77 57 84 No Writing 12 16 75% Meets 113 59 64 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 91 61 65 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No	-		<u>_</u>		- 11				
English Learners 3 4 Meets 92 57 71 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 77 57 84 No Writing 12 16 75% Meets 113 59 64 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 113 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -	•								
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 77 57 84 No Writing 12 16 75% Meets 13 59 64 No Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 113 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 91 61 65 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No									
Writing 12 16 75% Meets Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 113 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20	<u> </u>		<u>_</u>						
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible 3 4 Meets 113 59 64 No Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 91 61 65 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No			· .	750		//	3/	04	INU
Minority Students 3 4 Meets 120 59 65 No Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 -				/5%		113	F2		
Students with Disabilities 0 0 - N<20 - - - - English Learners 3 4 Meets 91 61 65 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No	•		·						
English Learners 3 4 Meets 91 61 65 No Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No									
Students needing to catch up 3 4 Meets 95 59 72 No									
	•								_
	Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	95	59	72	No

Scoring Guide Level: E

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	: Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	nts scoring proficient or advanced was	s:		TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	at or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but a	at or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate	or.		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assign	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

							- y						
			Reading Math			Writing			Science				
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of School	ls	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percent	ile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percent	ile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percent	ile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1
-		