School: MOUNTAIN RANGE HIGH SCHOOL - 6060

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	62.5%	(9.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	71.4%	(25.0 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	61.7%	(9.3 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	67.2%	(23.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

67.2%

(67.2 out of 100 points)

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stu	dents Tested			Participa	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total :	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.6%	98.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1019	1019	-	-	1033	1033
Mathematics	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1025	1025	-	-	1033	1033
Writing	-	-	98.4%	98.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1016	1016	-	-	1033	1033
Science	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	506	506	-	-	509	509
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	431	431	-	-	431	431

arned	Points Eligible 4 4 4 4 16 Points Eligible 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20 4	62.5% % Points 71.4% % Points	Rating Approaching Approaching Meets Meets Meets Rating Meets Approaching Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets Rating	N 986 992 984 489 N 937 944 937 45 Subgroup	District: AD % Proficient/Advanced 67.85 32.26 50.61 50.51 Median Growth Percentile 49 41 53 79 Subgroup Median	AMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOL School's Percentile 35 48 51 51 51 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 16 88 50 - Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate Growth? Yes No Yes
arned	4 4 4 16 Points Eligible 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	62.5% % Points 71.4% % Points	Approaching Approaching Meets Meets Meets Rating Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	986 992 984 489 N 937 944 937 45	67.85 32.26 50.61 50.51 <i>Median Growth Percentile</i> 49 41 53 79	35 48 51 51 51 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
arned	4 4 4 16 Points Eligible 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	62.5% % Points 71.4% % Points	Approaching Approaching Meets Meets Meets Rating Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	992 984 489 N 937 944 937 45	67.85 32.26 50.61 50.51 <i>Median Growth Percentile</i> 49 41 53 79	35 48 51 51 51 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
	4 4 16 Points Eligible 4 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	% Points 71.4% % Points	Meets Meets Meets Rating Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	984 489 N 937 944 937 45	50.61 50.51 Median Growth Percentile 49 41 53 79	51 51 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
	4 16 Points Eligible 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	% Points 71.4% % Points	Meets Rating Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	984 489 N 937 944 937 45	50.61 50.51 Median Growth Percentile 49 41 53 79	51 Median Adequate Growth Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
	Points Eligible 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	% Points 71.4% % Points	Meets Rating Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	N 937 944 937 45	Median Growth Percentile 49 41 53 79	Median Adequate Growth Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
	Points Eligible 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	% Points 71.4% % Points	Rating Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	937 944 937 45	49 41 53 79	Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
	4 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	71.4% % Points	Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	937 944 937 45	49 41 53 79	Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
	4 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	71.4% % Points	Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	937 944 937 45	49 41 53 79	Percentile 16 88 50	Growth? Yes No Yes -
arned	4 4 4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	71.4% % Points	Meets Approaching Meets Exceeds Meets	944 937 45	41 53 79	88 50 -	No Yes -
arned	4 2 14 Points Eligible 20	% Points	Meets Exceeds Meets	937 45	53 79	50 -	Yes -
arned	2 14 Points Eligible 20	% Points	Meets Exceeds Meets	937 45	53 79	-	-
arned	14 Points Eligible 20	% Points	Meets		79		-
arned	Points Eligible	% Points		Subgroup		Cubaraua Madian Adagusta	Made Ad
arned	20		Rating	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Modian Adoquata	Adada Ad
arned	20		Rating	Subgroup	JUDE OUIT MECHALI		ARTON ANNOUNTS
	20		Mating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
		70%	Meets		<u> </u>	Growan Creenine	Growan.
		7070	Meets	269	49	39	Yes
	4		Meets	316	58	28	Yes
	4		Approaching	73	49	97	No
	4		Exceeds	105	60	28	Yes
	4		Approaching	301	49	77	No
	20	55%	Approaching	301		,,	110
	4	3370	Approaching	270	45	98	No
	4		Approaching	321	42	95	No
	4		Meets	73	56	99	No
	4		Approaching	107	43	93	No
							No
		60%	11	330	47	33	140
		00/6		260	EA	91	No
							No
							No
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						No
							No
	•	61.7%	11	442	- 54	32	INO
arned					N	Rate/Score	Expectation
,,,,cu		N I OIIIG		Δ			80%
		68.8%			JUI 1 (7) JE 71 JEE	03.17 00.37 03.3 7 7 4.370	
	1					78 3/78 8/ <i>82 6</i> /62 2%	80%
	<u>'</u> 1						80%
	<u>'</u> 1						80%
	<u>'</u> 1						80%
							3.6%
							20.0
		67.29			- I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I	15.7	20.0
5 5	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	4 4 4 4 60 Farned Points Eligible 4 5 1 5 1 6 1 6 1	20 60% 4 4 4 4 4 60 61.7% Farned Points Eligible % Points 4 5 4 68.8% 5 1 5 1 6 1 7 4 4	20 60% Approaching 4 Approaching 4 Approaching 4 Meets 4 Meets 4 Approaching 60 61.7% Approaching 60 61.7% Approaching 60 61.7% Approaching 65 4 68.8% Meets 65 1 Meets 65 1 Meets 65 1 Meets 66 1 Approaching 67 Meets 68 Meets 69 Meets 69 Meets 69 Meets 60 Meets	20 60% Approaching 4 Approaching 269 4 Approaching 316 4 Meets 73 4 Meets 105 4 Approaching 442 60 61.7% Approaching Farned Points Eligible % Points Rating 4 Meets 4 65 4 68.8% Meets 5 1 Meets 7 Approaching 5 1 Approaching 5 1 Approaching 6 4 Meets 7 Approaching 6 Approaching 7 Approachin	20 60% Approaching 269 54 4	20 60% Approaching 269 54 81 4

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	69.6	72.7	73.7	74.5
Anticipated Year	2010	82.9	88.8	89.5	
of Graduation	2011	82.1	88.5		
	2012	89.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.9	60.7	60.7	62.2
Anticipated Year	2010	71.1	82.1	82.6	
of Graduation	2011	73	78.8		
	2012	78.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	67.3	73.8	76.7	77.5
Anticipated Year	2010	74	81.6	84.1	
of Graduation	2011	70.6	78.4		
	2012	<i>85</i>			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	57.1	52	53.8	52
Anticipated Year	2010	36.7	59.3	57.1	
of Graduation	2011	64.3	65.5		
	2012	73.9			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	73.9	78.3	81.8	81.8
Anticipated Year	2010	68.6	84.4	87.5	
of Graduation	2011	77.3	85.7		
	2012	80			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	69.6	72.7	73.7	74.5
Anticipated Year	2010	82.9	88.8	89.5	
of Graduation	2011	82.1	88.5		
	2012	89.1			
	Aggregated	81.7	84.6	83.4	74.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.9	60.7	60.7	62.2
Anticipated Year	2010	71.1	82.1	82.6	
of Graduation	2011	73	78.8		
	2012	78.3			
	Aggregated	70.4	75.4	73.8	62.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	67.3	73.8	76.7	77.5
Anticipated Year	2010	74	81.6	84.1	
of Graduation	2011	70.6	78.4		
	2012	85			
	Aggregated	74.6	78.4	81	77.5

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	57.1	52	53.8	52
Anticipated Year	2010	36.7	59.3	57.1	
of Graduation	2011	64.3	65.5		
	2012	73.9			
	Aggregated	56.9	<i>59.3</i>	55.6	52

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	73.9	78.3	81.8	81.8
Anticipated Year	2010	68.6	84.4	87.5	
of Graduation	2011	77.3	85.7		
	2012	80			
	Aggregated	74.8	83.5	<i>85.2</i>	81.8

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: H

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points		
	The school's percentage of studen	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:						
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 60. • at or above 70. Exceeds 4		4	60			
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	w 60 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
·	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate rate was:	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	luation rate/disaggregated graduation	n	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	ut rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4		(4 for each sub-	35
Vorkforce Readiness	at or below the state average by	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)	
• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).				Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ti	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:						
	• at or above 22.					4		
	at or above the state average by	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	,	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).	•	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indic	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading				Math			Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
L	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								
	Reading		Math		Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1