School Performance Framework 2013

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - 0263

Level: EMH

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (1 Year¹)

-	-	-	ve	100	-	-
r 1 I						
 		U	V L		<u> </u>	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	_
Performance	at or above 60%	Tes
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	то
Turnaround	below 33%	² Sch

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	51.2%	(17.9 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.1%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	51.7%	(18.1 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		47.6%	(47.6 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	ates															
% of Students Tested Participation Rating						Students Tested					Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	98.2%	99.4%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	98	132	112	342	98	132	114	344
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	96.5%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	98	132	110	340	98	132	114	344
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	97.4%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	98	132	111	341	98	132	114	344
Science	100.0%	94.3%	100.0%	97.7%	Meets	Does Not Meet	Meets	Meets	34	50	46	130	34	53	46	133
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	26	26	-	-	26	26

Official plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report

Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP AC	ADEMY - 0263						District: MAPLETON	<u>1 - 0010 (1 Yea</u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	97	41.24	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	45.92	11	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	97	24.74	7	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	11.76	6	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	31	42	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	54	46	63	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	38	51	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	96	40	-	-
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	33	44	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	33	44	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	29	45	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	24	33	60	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	51	46	64	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	49	46	62	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	42	48	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	26	47	83	No
Writing	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	39	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	48	41	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	41	41	51	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	33	68	No
Total	18	48	37.5%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP AC							District: MAPLETON	1 - 0010 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	29.27	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	124	20.16	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	123	19.51	2	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	4.26	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
				1			Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	115	52	68	No
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	116	60	95	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	115	46	81	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	57	47	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	104	54	68	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	106	53	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	80	57	69	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	81	50	76	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	105	59	95	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	107	61	95	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	81	66	95	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	93	57	97	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	104	45	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	106	45	80	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	80	46	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	89	47	86	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADI	EMY - 0263						District: MAPLETON	I - 0010 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	· · ·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	105	38.1	5	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	105	6.67	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	104	24.04	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	17.39	6	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	94	61	82	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	96	50	99	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	93	49	95	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	56	60	_	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	16	75%	Meets				erentan.
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	/ 5/0	Meets	90	61	82	No
Minority Students	3	4			85	59	82	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Meets	N<20	59	82	INU
	3	4		- Meets	63	- 64	- 84	 No
English Learners	3	4			70	61	92	No
Students needing to catch up	-		E0%	Meets	70	01	92	INO
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching	02	50		N1
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	92	50	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	87	48	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		- A	N<20		-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	65	48	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	83	50	99	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	89	52	95	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	84	49	95	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	62	49	97	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	48	98	No
Total	28	48	58.3%	Approaching				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching		19/ <i>30/32/23</i>	68.4/ <i>70</i> /59.4/56.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	3	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching		6 /27/26/N<16	75 /74.1/61.5/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		19/ <i>28</i> /26/17	68.4/ 71.4 /65.4/52.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		/N<16/N<16/	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	N<	16/ <i>20</i> /19/N<16	-/ 80 /57.9/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		191	2.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		26	15.7	20.0
	7.75	15	51.7%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.2	56.5	56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year	2010	48.6	57.6	59.4	
of Graduation	2011	54.8	70		
	2012	68.4			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-y
	2009	52.2	56.5	56.5	56
Anticipated Year	2010	48.6	57.6	59.4	
of Graduation	2011	54.8	70		
	2012	68.4			

5.5

56.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	50	58.3	61.5	
of Graduation	2011	61.5	74.1		
	2012	75			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.1	52.9	52.9	52.9
Anticipated Year	2010	51.7	63	65.4	
of Graduation	2011	55.2	71.4		
	2012	68.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

2009 N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year 2010 52.6 57.9 57.9	
of Graduation 2011 60 80	
2012 N<16	

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	50	58.3	61.5	
of Graduation	2011	61.5	74.1		
	2012	75			
	Aggregated	60.5	66.2	62.5	N<16

54.6

61.6

58.2

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.1	52.9	52.9	52.9
Anticipated Year	2010	51.7	63	65.4	
of Graduation	2011	55.2	71.4		
	2012	68.4			
	Aggregated	55.3	63.9	60.5	52.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	52.6	57.9	57.9	
of Graduation	2011	60	80		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	62.5	69.4	62.1	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide		Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced wa	75.		TC	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseling	ne).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	Meets	3		(4 for each	15	
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			ТС	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	duation rate/disaggregated graduation	7	Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	ut rate was:		1		1	16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but	ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the s	state average (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Ti	he school's average Colorado ACT c	omposite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfo	Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 🛛	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1