# School Performance Framework 2013

### School: HIGHLAND HIGH SCHOOL - 3962

### District: AULT-HIGHLAND RE-9 - 3145 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: F

	c	rm		
~ ~	*^	rm	<b>~ ~</b>	$\sim \sim$

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance / Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the – overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing – to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Framework Points Earned	
at or above 60%	Test Par
at or above 47% - below 60%	
at or above 33% - below 47%	TOTAL
below 33%	<sup>2</sup> Schools r
	at or above 60% at or above 47% - below 60%

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	( 8.4 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(22.5 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.7%	(8.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	( 23.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

62.4%

( 62.4 out of 100 points )

#### points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

		% of Stu	dents Tested			Particip	ation Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	360	360	-	-	360	360
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	360	360	-	-	360	360
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	360	360	-	-	360	360
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	169	169	-	-	169	169
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.4%	99.4%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	157	157	-	-	158	158

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: HIGHLAND HIGH SCHOOL - 3	3962					Γ	District: AULT-HIGHLAND RE-	0
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	- % Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	5 51 15 (5 1 Cul
Reading	2	4		Approaching	344	67.73	39	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	344	35.47	60	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	344	49.13	48	
Science	2	4		Approaching	159	49.06	47	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	331	50	21	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	332	49	81	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	331	50	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	38	45	_	_
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets	/•	oroman rerectinae	<u> </u>	Growan
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	05/0	Meets	146	52	33	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	140	50	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	34	46	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	50	57	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	108	49	87	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching	100	<u>_</u>		110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	33%	Approaching	146	51	97	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	140	50	98	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	35	62	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	50	50	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	162	54	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	102			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	0	Approaching	146	46	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	140	53		No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	38	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	50	55	96	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	162	54	90	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching	102			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	29	93/232/ <b>150</b> /76	80.5/80.6/ <i>81.3</i> /78.9%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	1	<b>55</b> /119/75/41	<b>78.7</b> /76.5/77.3/78%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		103/80/47/ <i>23</i>	76.7/75/78.7/ <b>82.6</b> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		9/ <b>29</b> /20/N<16	61.5/ <i>65.5</i> /60/-%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets		/25/N<16/N<16	<b>83.9</b> /80/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		926	3.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		157	17.9	20.1
	10.5	16	65.6%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# **Graduation Rates**

## Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

**Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)** 

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	74	74.7	78.9	78.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79.5	82.7	83.8	
of Graduation	2011	78.5	84.6		
	2012	<i>93.2</i>			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	74	74.7	78.9	78.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79.5	82.7	83.8	
of Graduation	2011	78.5	84.6		
	2012	93.2			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	75	73.8	80	78
Anticipated Year	2010	71.4	78.1	74.3	
of Graduation	2011	74.4	77.8		
	2012	94.6			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	73.9	72	82.6	82.6
Anticipated Year	2010	66.7	75	75	
of Graduation	2011	71	77.4		
	2012	100			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	75	73.8	80	78
Anticipated Year	2010	71.4	78.1	74.3	
of Graduation	2011	74.4	77.8		
	2012	94.6			
	Aggregated	78.7	76.5	77.3	78

80.5

80.6

81.3

78.9

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	73.9	72	82.6	82.6
Anticipated Year	2010	66.7	75	75	
of Graduation	2011	71	77.4		
	2012	100			
	Aggregated	76.7	75	78.7	82.6

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	61.5	65.5	60	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	83.9	80	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# **Scoring Guide**

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the Sc	hool Performance Framewo	ork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile o	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds	4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but at	Meets		3	(4 for each	15		
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching		2	content area)	
				Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	<ul> <li>below 65 but at or above 50.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	<ul> <li>below 50 but at or above 35.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	ation rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	rate was:			-	Overall	Disaggi.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	rate was:		1	T		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the sta	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:	-				
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
		below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
		e average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perform</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 60%</li> </ul>	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description					
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of				
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five				
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the				
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.				

### Reference

### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing	ing Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

### State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

#### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1