Level: EMH

School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850 District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(8.4 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.4%	(18.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	46.7%	(7.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	90.0%	(31.5 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		65.2%	(65.2 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating Students Te.			ts Tested	ed Total Students							
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	99.5%	99.6%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	734	1044	711	2489	738	1049	714	2501
Mathematics	99.9%	99.7%	99.7%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	737	1046	712	2495	738	1049	714	2501
Writing	99.6%	99.4%	99.6%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	734	1043	711	2488	737	1049	714	2500
Science	100.0%	99.4%	99.7%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	278	343	337	958	278	345	338	961
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	289	289	-	-	292	292

Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS -	2850						District: GREELEY	6 - 3120 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	734	70.3	45	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	737	72.59	56	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	734	49.73	39	
Science	2	4		Approaching	278	41.73	43	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	494	41	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	498	43	44	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	497	38	41	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	51	37	-	-
Total	6	14	42.9%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	124	38	35	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	170	42	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	28	80	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	39	48	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	141	46	62	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	126	47	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	174	47	55	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	39	45	77	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	33	65	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	117	45	78	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	127	34	47	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	172	38	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	39	35	85	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	49	61	No
English Learners								
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	38	61	No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS -	2850						District: GREELE	<u> </u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1041	68.49	43	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	1043	56.09	60	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1040	54.23	39	
Science	2	4		Approaching	342	44.15	40	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1016	44	29	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1017	51	63	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1015	42	47	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	25	48	-	_
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	265	41	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	359	45	37	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	76	52	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	90	46	59	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	298	48	66	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	266	46	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	360	48	78	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	37	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	90	44	88	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	405	46	90	No
Writing	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	264	33	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	358	41	57	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	32	94	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	90	43	69	No
-				A l- :	428	44		No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	428	44	78	INO

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS - 2850)						District: GREELEY	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	703	68.71	41	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	704	32.53	54	-
Writing	2	4		Approaching	703	49.08	48	
Science	2	4		Approaching	332	47.59	43	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	677	47	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	682	56	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	675	48	49	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	28	42	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
Academia Crouth Cons	Dainte Farmad	Dointe Flisible	W Daints	Datina	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching	156	45	27	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	156	45	37	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	225	45	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	47	42	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	65	43	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	F F 0/	Approaching	203	50	77	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching	150			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	158	54	99	No
Minority Students	2	4	-	Approaching	228	54	99	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	38	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	65	55	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4	F00/	Meets	407	55	99	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	454	47		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	154	47	69	No No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	223	50	66	No
Students with Disabilities English Learners	2	4		Approaching	47	47	99 84	No No
Students needing to catch up	2 2	4		Approaching Approaching	313	49 49	90	No No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching	313	45	30	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness		Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/ <i>Tyr</i>	4	4		Exceeds	368/279/187/ <i>99</i>		89.9/91/90.9/ 93.9 %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	3	83.3%	Meets		JO, 2, 3, 1077 JJ	03.3/31/30.3/ 33.3 /0	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	<u>3</u> 1		Exceeds		96/74/57/ 27	83.3/85.1/84.2/ <i>92.6</i> %	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	104/76/55/ 28		87.5/86.8/89.1/ <i>92.9</i> %	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.5	1		Approaching		7/ 26 /19/N<16	64.9/ <i>73.1</i> /68.4/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0	·	- -		/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		1418	0.1%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets		289	20.1	20.1
Total	13.5	15	90%	Exceeds				
	10.0		50.5					

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.2	92.1	93	93.9
Anticipated Year	2010	85.4	87.5	88.5	
of Graduation	2011	92.2	93.3		
	2012	93.1			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.3	89.3	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2010	73.3	76.7	76.7	
of Graduation	2011	87.5	93.8		
	2012	86.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.1	92.9	92.9	92.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79.3	82.1	85.2	
of Graduation	2011	80	85		
	2012	96.2			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.2	92.1	93	93.9
Anticipated Year	2010	85.4	87.5	88.5	
of Graduation	2011	92.2	93.3		
	2012	93.1			
	Aggregated	89.9	91	90.9	93.9

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	89.3	89.3	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year	2010	73.3	76.7	76.7	
of Graduation	2011	87.5	93.8		
	2012	86.4			
	Aggregated	83.3	85.1	84.2	92.6

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	93.1	92.9	92.9	92.9
Anticipated Year	2010	79.3	82.1	85.2	
of Graduation	2011	80	85		
	2012	96.2			
	Aggregated	87.5	86.8	89.1	92.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	64.9	73.1	68.4	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:		_			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the star	te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assign	School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

												•	
			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
_		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1