District: RANGELY RE-4 - 2720 (3 Year¹)

School: RANGELY JUNIOR/SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL - 7276

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	53.1%	(8.0 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(26.3 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	65.6%	(9.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	66.7%	(23.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		67.4%	(67.4 out of 100 points)	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Test Participation Rates																
	% of Students Tested Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students								
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.0%	99.5%	99.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	300	185	485	-	303	186	489
Mathematics	-	99.3%	98.9%	99.2%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	301	182	483	-	303	184	487
Writing	-	99.0%	98.9%	99.0%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	300	185	485	-	303	187	490
Science	-	99.0%	97.8%	98.4%	-	Meets	Meets	Meets	-	97	89	186	-	98	91	189
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.8%	98.8%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	79	79	-	-	80	80

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: RANGELY JUNIOR/SENIO	R HIGH SCHOO)L - 7276					District: RANGELY RE	-4 - 2720 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	285	69.82	46	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	284	45.07	36	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	285	55.44	42	
Science	2	4		Approaching	90	36.67	29	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u>% Points</u>	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	263	54	32	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	264	40	70	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	263	56	50	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
	5:15 1	D : . E!: "! !	~	D. //	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	71	47	37	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	41	50	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	40	81	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	81	44	70	No
Mathematics	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	70	42	72	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	34	77	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	32	33	98	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	118	44	91	No
Writing	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	71	53	64	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	34	55	70	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	30	55	91	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	120	55	79	No

Performance Indicators School: RANGELY JUNIOR/SENIOR HI	ביו גרייסטי ד	7276					District: RANGELY RE-	Level: High
Schoot: KANGELY JUNIUR/SENIUR FIL			W Doints	Dating	A/	% Proficient/Advanced		4 - 2/20 (3 fea
	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N 173	68.79	School's Percentile	
Reading		4		Approaching	173		41	
Mathematics	2	4		Meets		30.64	50 46	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	173 85	48.55 44.71	38	
Science	9		EC 20	Approaching	85	44.71	38	
Total	3	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	167	68	21	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	167	67	95	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	167	60	68	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
					/\	Growth rescentile	Growth rescentile	GIOWLII:
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets	41		22	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	41	60	32	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	23	57	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	23	69	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	59	69	86	No
Mathematics	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	41	61	94	No
Minority Students	2	4	-	Approaching	23	49	92	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	24	44	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20			<u>-</u>
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	102	61	99	No
Writing	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	41	55	75	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	23	73	71	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	23	73	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<u>-</u>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	92	65	91	No
Total	37	48	77.1%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets		136/114/ <i>72</i> /35	83.8/84.2/ <i>84.7</i> /80%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	3	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	30	0/ 24 /N<16/N<16	76.7/ <i>79.2</i> /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets	2	0 /18/N<16/N<16	80 /72.2/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	20	0/ <i>16</i> /N<16/N<16	80/ <i>81.3/-/-</i> %	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<10	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		444	2.7%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		79	18.5	20.1
Total	10	15	66.7%	Meets				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	80	80	80	80
Anticipated Year	2010	83.8	89.2	89.2	
of Graduation	2011	81.4	83.3		
	2012	95.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	80	80	80	80
Anticipated Year	2010	83.8	89.2	89.2	
of Graduation	2011	81.4	83.3		
	2012	95.2			
	Aggregated	83.8	84.2	84.7	80

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	76.7	79.2	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	80	72.2	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	80	81.3	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: MH

formance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					TCAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all scl	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all scl	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the st	rate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Th	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
		te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	Postsecondary Readiness • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% Approaching		Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assign	chool Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Reading Math				Writing			Science		
		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
I	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1