School Performance Framework 2013

School: PUEBLO CHARTER SCHOOL FOR THE ARTS & SCIENCES - 7209

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	59.4%	(14.9 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.7%	(33.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.9%	(14.2 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

TOTAL	62.5%	(62.5 out of 100 points)]

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	146	141	-	287	147	141	-	288
Mathematics	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	146	141	-	287	147	141	-	288
Writing	99.3%	100.0%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	146	141	-	287	147	141	-	288
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	51	45	-	96	51	45	-	96
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: EM

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: PUEBLO CHARTER SCHO	OL FOR THE AF	RTS & SCIENCES	5 - 7209				District: PUEBLO CITY	60 - 2690 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	146	70.55	47	·
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	146	57.53	24	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	146	45.21	33	
Science	2	4		Approaching	51	43.14	43	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	98	50	35	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	99	48	54	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	99	51	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets	-			
					Cub mayor	Subgroup Madien Crouth	Cubanaun Madian Adamusta	Alada Adamuata
And the in Council Council	Defecto Ferrard		(Deinte	Detter	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	-	Meets	60	48	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	72	55	40	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	29	47	61	No
Mathematics	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	61	42	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	73	52	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	34	42	79	No
Writing	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	61	47	54	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	73	51	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	44	66	No
Total	21	36	58.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: PUEBLO CHARTER SCHO	OL FOR THE AF	RTS & SCIENCES	5 - 7209				District: PUEBLO CITY (50 - 2690 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	141	75.89	59	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	141	46.1	38	
Writing	3	4		Meets	141	58.87	52	
Science	3	4		Meets	45	66.67	79	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	137	57	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	138	40	72	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	138	55	45	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	12	66.7%	Meets			Giomarrereentae	0/0/////
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.770	Meets	82	55	30	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	97	55	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		-	-	-
English Learners	0	0			N<20			
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	34	53	55	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	33.3%	Approaching	83	40	75	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	37	73	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	_
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	33	91	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	83	57	53	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	98	52	48	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	53	48	72	No
Total	20	36	55.6%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Perf	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report									
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points		
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced was	÷		Т	CAP				
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					16			
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
	below the 50th percentile but a	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all	low the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Does Not Meet				1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14			
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60			
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3			
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)			

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	nments	ichool Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description											
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of										
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five										
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.										

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)		
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1
	51,438