School Performance Framework 2013

School: BRANSON SCHOOL ONLINE - 0948

Level: EMH

District: BRANSON REORGANIZED 82 - 1750 (3 Year¹)

m	n	r	\sim	٧/	Δ	m	n	
	U		U	v	C			L.

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance AFramework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the – overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing – to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Test
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	тот
Turnaround	below 33%	² Scho

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	(10.3 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	58.3%	(20.4 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	51.9%	(7.8 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	39.3%	(13.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		52.3%	(52.3 out of 100 points)	

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of elig points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on ${}^{3}Sc$ all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Stude	ents Tested			Participa	tion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.9%	99.4%	97.2%	98.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	172	312	241	725	174	314	248	736
Mathematics	99.4%	99.7%	97.2%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	171	313	242	726	172	314	249	735
Writing	99.4%	99.7%	97.6%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	171	313	242	726	172	314	248	734
Science	100.0%	98.4%	100.0%	99.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	64	123	100	287	64	125	100	289
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	80	80	-	-	80	80

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: BRANSON SCHOOL ONL	INE - 0948					Distri	ct: BRANSON REORGANIZED	82 - 1750 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	166	74.1	54	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	164	72.56	56	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	165	44.85	30	
Science	3	4		Meets	62	51.61	59	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	98	40	24	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	95	45	44	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	96	42	43	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	29	39	31	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	31	30	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	22	46	65	No
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	39	49	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	30	43	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	29	38	53	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	30	50	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	50	61	No
Total	15	32	46.9%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: BRANSON SCHOOL ONL	INE - 0948					Distric	t: BRANSON REORGANIZED 8	32 - 1750 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	286	78.32	71	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	286	51.4	49	
Writing	3	4		Meets	287	63.41	61	
Science	2	4		Approaching	113	41.59	36	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	& Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	254	44	23	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	254	36	64	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	255	45	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching	11.20			
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	47	39	27	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	97	43	22	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	48	53	65	No
Mathematics	4	12	33.3%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	45	32	62	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	98	34	65	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	99	43	90	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	37	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	97	41	43	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	82	52	74	No
Total	15	36	41.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: BRANSON SCHOOL ONLINE	- 0948					District	: BRANSON REORGANIZED 8	0
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	211	78.67	73	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	212	33.02	55	
Writing	3	4		Meets	212	53.77	58	
Science	3	4		Meets	89	50.56	50	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	174	56	15	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	177	50	89	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	174	55	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	23	61	26	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	55	50	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	36	49	67	No
Mathematics	7	12	58.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	23	65	96	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	56	52	89	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	102	47	99	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	23	42	67	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	55	49	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	71	69	82	No
Total	24	36	66.7%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	26	51/ 228 /180/107	42.1/ 46.5 /43.3/40.2%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	0.5	2	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		65/ <i>63</i> /49/40	40/ 44.4 /38.8/42.5%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		51/ 44 /36/22	39.2/ 43.2 /36.1/27.3%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		882	8.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		80	19.8	20.1
Total	5.5	14	39.3%	Approaching				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	34.6	38	39.6	40.2
Anticipated Year	2010	45.1	48.6	48.6	
of Graduation	2011	57.4	62.5		
	2012	38.5			

					_
		4-year	5-year	6-year	
	2009	34.6	38	39.6	
Anticipated Year	2010	45.1	48.6	48.6	
of Graduation	2011	57.4	62.5		

7-year 40.2

40.2

43.3

46.5

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	40.9	41.7	43.5	42.5
Anticipated Year	2010	41.2	47.1	34.6	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	45.5		
	2012	35.3			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	22.7	27.3	27.3	27.3
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

2012

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	40.9	41.7	43.5	42.5
Anticipated Year	2010	41.2	47.1	34.6	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	45.5		
	2012	35.3			
	Aggregated	40	44.4	38.8	42.5

38.5

42.1

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	22.7	27.3	27.3	27.3
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	39.2	43.2	36.1	27.3

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Level: High

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the So	hool Performance Framewo	rk Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	ools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	ools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:</i>	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:					16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Th	e school's average Colorado ACT comp	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
		te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assign	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing		Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99		
Meets	Meets 45-59		50-64		
Approaching	Approaching 30-44		35-49		
Does Not Meet 1-29		1-39	1-34		

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1