# School Performance Framework 2013

## School: ESTES PARK HIGH SCHOOL - 2794

# District: ESTES PARK R-3 - 1570 (1 Year')

Level: F

<b>n</b> -	- <b>L</b> -	 	nc	-
РФ	<b>FT</b>			
	110			ļ

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance / Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Test
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	τοτ
Turnaround	below 33%	<sup>2</sup> Scho

all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for

Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for

Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	68.8%	( 10.3 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Approaching	41.7%	(14.6 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	33.3%	( 5.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	85.0%	( 29.8 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		59.7%	( 59.7 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points Framework points are calculated using the percentage of eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

Workforce Readiness.

% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested			Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	146	146	-	-	146	146
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	146	146	-	-	146	146
Writing	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	146	146	-	-	146	146
Science	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	78	78	-	-	78	78
Colorado ACT	-	-	6.2%	6.2%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	6	6	-	-	97	97

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: ESTES PARK HIGH SCHOOL -	2794						District: ESTES PARK R-3	3 - 1570 (1 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	144	69.44	39	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	144	40.97	69	
Writing	3	4		Meets	144	52.08	54	
Science	3	4		Meets	77	51.95	54	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	139	44	10	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	139	45	76	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	139	38	40	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Total	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
		-			Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	2010	Meets	52	52	49	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	37	48	60	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	28	51	73	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	38	36	80	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	39	98	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	36	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	37	99	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	66	39	99	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet	00			110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	2370	Does Not Meet	52		70	Ne
*	1	4			37	33	<u>73</u> 81	No
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	0		Does Not Meet		54	-	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	28	35	- 94	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4 4		Does Not Meet	57	38	93	No
Total	16	48	33.3%	Does Not Meet	57		55	110
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		90/93/ <b>97</b> /98	85.6/84.9/ <b>92.8</b> /86.7%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.5	2	75%	Meets		50, 55, 97, 50	03.0/07.3/ <b>32.0</b> /00.1/0	0070
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1	, , , , ,	Meets		26/29/ <b>29</b> /23	69.2/69/ <i>82.8</i> /56.5%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets		16/23/ <i>17</i> /N<16	-/60.9/ <b>82.4</b> /-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	0		-		/N<16/N<16/N<16	/-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-		/N<16/N<16/N<16	_/_/_%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	11-10/	420	1.4%	3.6%
				INICED			1.+/0	
Colorado ACT Composite Score	0	0		-		N<16	-	20.0

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

# **Graduation Rates**

## Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

**Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)** 

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	85.9	86.7	86.7	86.7
Anticipated Year	2010	88.4	93.7	92.8	
of Graduation	2011	83.9	84.9		
	2012	85.6			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	85.9	86.7	86.7	86.7
Anticipated Year	2010	88.4	93.7	92.8	
of Graduation	2011	83.9	84.9		
	2012	85.6			

86.7

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	56.5	59.1	59.1	56.5
Anticipated Year	2010	70.4	85.2	82.8	
of Graduation	2011	69	69		
	2012	69.2			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	56.3	81.3	82.4	
of Graduation	2011	60.9	60.9		
	2012	N<16			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	56.5	59.1	59.1	56.5
Anticipated Year	2010	70.4	85.2	82.8	
of Graduation	2011	69	69		
	2012	69.2			
	Aggregated	66.7	71.8	72.5	56.5

85.9

88.5

89 7

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	56.3	81.3	82.4	
of Graduation	2011	60.9	60.9		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	63.2	71.7	80.6	N<16

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	60.7	63.6	70.6	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	44.8	60.9	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced was	5.		T	CAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15	
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		subject area)		
	• below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching			subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	uation rate/disaggregated graduation	7	Overall	Disagar			
	rate was:				Overall	Disaggr.			
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1			
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75			
	<ul> <li>at or above 65% but below 80%.</li> </ul>			Approaching	2	0.5	_		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25			
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	ut rate was:		1	T		16		
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35	
Workforce Readiness		ut above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline)		Meets		3	indicator)		
	at or below 10% but above the s	state average (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Approaching		2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Tr	he school's average Colorado ACT co	mposite score was:		-				
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4			
	ŭ	ut below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3			
		ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1			

<b>Cut-Points for Each Perfor</b>	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

## Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-I	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-l	Points - 🛛	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

# Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1