Level: EMH

District: POUDRE R-1 - 1550 (3 Year¹)

School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHARTER SCHOOLS - 0146

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	(13.1 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	80.6%	(28.2 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	69.8%	(10.5 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	98.2%	(34.4 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

86.2%

(86.2 out of 100 points)

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Ra	tes															
% of Students Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	99.8%	99.4%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	541	570	335	1446	541	571	337	1449
Mathematics	100.0%	99.6%	99.4%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	540	569	335	1444	540	571	337	1448
Writing	100.0%	99.6%	99.4%	99.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	540	569	335	1444	540	571	337	1448
Science	100.0%	100.0%	99.3%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	180	191	149	520	180	191	150	521
Colorado ACT	-	-	65.9%	65.9%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	91	91	-	-	138	138

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL C	HARTER SCHO	OLS - 0146					District: POUDRE F	2-1 - 1550 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	528	80.49	71	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	527	63.57	36	
Writing	3	4		Meets	527	55.03	50	
Science	3	4		Meets	172	50.58	57	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	329	32	24	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	329	48	52	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	328	44	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	12	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	59	31	30	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	80	35	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	52	46	51	No
Mathematics	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	58	36	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	81	40	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	104	40	75	No
Writing	5	12	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	59	36	44	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	79	44	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	152	47	55	No
Total	16	36	44.4%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL C	HARTER SCHO	OLS - 0146					District: POUDRE I	R-1 - 1550 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	563	92.01	95	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	562	69.4	83	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	562	84.16	94	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	188	75.53	93	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	510	61	18	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	511	62	61	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	510	62	33	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	12	12	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	86	59	25	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	103	65	20	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	25	62	56	Yes
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	44	64	55	Yes
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	88	62	70	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	104	65	60	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	26	53	90	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	147	66	85	No
Writing	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	87	57	45	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	104	59	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	25	55	73	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	91	67	67	Yes
Total	40	48	83.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators		04.46						Level: High
School: RIDGEVIEW CLASSICAL CHAP							District: POUDRE R-	1 - 1550 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	325	93.23	97	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	325	60.62	95	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	325	86.15	98	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	144	85.42	98	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	278	62	3	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	278	69	46	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	278	51	12	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20			-
Total	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds	11 20			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets		<u> </u>	Growarr creenate	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	1270		44	52	5	Vos
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Meets				Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	68	55	5	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20	-	<u>-</u>	-
English Learners	0	0		<u>-</u>	N<20	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0	04 =0/		N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	11	12	91.7%	Exceeds				1
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	44	58	56	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	68	68	62	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	70	70	97	No
Writing	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	44	61	18	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	68	44	17	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	_
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	29	52	74	No
Total	25	32	78.1%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds		172/130/89/ 43	88.4/97.7/98.9/ <i>100</i> %	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.75	2	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	25/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	88 /-/-/%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	2	7/ <i>18</i> /N<16/N<16	85.2/ <i>100</i> /-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<1	6/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds		830	0.1%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	4	4		Exceeds		91	25.2	20.1
Total	13.75	14	98.2%	Exceeds				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84.1	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2010	89.1	97.8	97.8	
of Graduation	2011	90.2	95.1		
	2012	90.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	84.1	100	100	100
Anticipated Year	2010	89.1	97.8	97.8	
of Graduation	2011	90.2	95.1		
	2012	90.2			
	Aggregated	88.4	97.7	98.9	100

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	88	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	85.2	100	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
	The school's percentage of students	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:						
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	elow 60 but at or above 45. • below 70 but at or above 55.				3	(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:		_			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the star	te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.	
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• helow 37 5%	Does Not Meet		• helow 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1