

Performance

<i>Performance Indicators</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²</i>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	75.0%	(11.3 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Meets	67.9%	(23.8 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	(10.0 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	81.3%	(28.5 out of 35 points)
Test Participation³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		73.6%	(73.6 out of 100 points)

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

<u>Plan Assignment</u>	<u>Framework Points Earned</u>
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

<i>Content Area</i>	<i>% of Students Tested</i>				<i>Participation Rating</i>				<i>Students Tested</i>				<i>Total Students</i>			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	97.7%	97.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2137	2137	-	-	2188	2188
Mathematics	-	-	98.2%	98.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2151	2151	-	-	2191	2191
Writing	-	-	97.5%	97.5%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	2133	2133	-	-	2188	2188
Science	-	-	96.6%	96.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	1064	1064	-	-	1102	1102
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	995	995	-	-	1005	1005

¹Data in this report is based on results from: 2010-11,2011-12,2012-13

Performance Indicators

Level: High

School: STANDLEY LAKE HIGH SCHOOL - 8209

District: JEFFERSON COUNTY R-1 - 1420 (3 Year)

<i>Academic Achievement</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>% Proficient/Advanced</i>	<i>School's Percentile</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2085	74.87	58
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	2096	45.32	78
Writing	3	4		Meets	2083	59.63	73
Science	3	4		Meets	1040	57.98	66
Total	12	16	75%	Meets			

<i>Academic Growth</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	3	4		Meets	2000	49	12	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2012	53	71	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1995	56	41	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	80	60	-	-
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				

<i>Academic Growth Gaps</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>Subgroup N</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile</i>	<i>Made Adequate Growth?</i>
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	514	52	22	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	649	50	17	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	177	44	82	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	186	60	18	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	476	47	76	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	523	52	90	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	653	53	83	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	175	53	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	188	56	72	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	853	54	99	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	514	54	60	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	649	60	51	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	177	49	98	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	186	61	49	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	805	59	89	No
Total	40	60	66.7%	Meets				

<i>Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness</i>	<i>Points Earned</i>	<i>Points Eligible</i>	<i>% Points</i>	<i>Rating</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Rate/Score</i>	<i>Expectation</i>
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	1471/1100/740/393	84.6/89.3/90.1/90.8%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	3	4	75%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets	336/235/157/89	70.5/76.6/79/82%	80%
Minority Students	0.75	1		Meets	398/284/176/89	83.2/87.7/87.5/88.8%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets	110/73/45/32	68.2/86.3/84.4/84.4%	80%
English Learners	0.75	1		Meets	49/40/30/16	77.6/80/80/87.5%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	5152	1.2%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets	995	21	20.1
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	84.5	89.3	90.5	<i>90.8</i>
	2010	82.1	88.8	89.7	
	2011	86.4	89.7		
	2012	85.3			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	69.3	77	80	<i>82</i>
	2010	66.2	75	77.8	
	2011	70.5	77.5		
	2012	75			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	81.3	87.5	87.5	88.8
	2010	75.8	86.4	87.5	
	2011	87	<i>88.9</i>		
	2012	87.5			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	62.1	82.1	85.2	84.4
	2010	48	N<16	83.3	
	2011	93.1	<i>93.3</i>		
	2012	66.7			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	81.3	81.3	81.3	<i>87.5</i>
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	84.5	89.3	90.5	90.8
	2010	82.1	88.8	89.7	
	2011	86.4	89.7		
	2012	85.3			
	Aggregated	84.6	89.3	90.1	<i>90.8</i>

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	69.3	77	80	82
	2010	66.2	75	77.8	
	2011	70.5	77.5		
	2012	75			
	Aggregated	70.5	76.6	79	<i>82</i>

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	81.3	87.5	87.5	88.8
	2010	75.8	86.4	87.5	
	2011	87	88.9		
	2012	87.5			
	Aggregated	83.2	87.7	87.5	<i>88.8</i>

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	62.1	82.1	85.2	84.4
	2010	48	N<16	83.3	
	2011	93.1	93.3		
	2012	66.7			
	Aggregated	68.2	<i>86.3</i>	84.4	84.4

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	81.3	81.3	81.3	87.5
	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	77.6	80	80	<i>87.5</i>

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4-year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
Academic Achievement	<i>The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:</i>				TCAP	16 (4 for each content area)	15	
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2			
• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Does Not Meet	1				
Academic Growth	<i>Made AGP</i>	<i>Did Not Make AGP</i>	<i>No AGP</i>		TCAP	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35	
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4			2
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3			1.5
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2			1
• below 30.			• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	
Academic Growth Gaps	<i>Made AGP</i>	<i>Did Not Make AGP</i>			TCAP	60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15	
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2			
• below 30.			• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1		
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:</i>				Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	<i>Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:</i>							
	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1			
	<i>Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:</i>							
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4			
• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3				
• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2				
• below 17.			Does Not Meet	1				

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment		
	<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.</i>			<i>Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.</i>	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets		• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching		• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1