School Performance Framework 2013

School: RIFLE MIDDLE SCHOOL - 7356

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(12.5 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	64.3%	(32.2 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	59.2%	(14.8 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	Т
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2 2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fr
Turnaround	below 37%	³ S

 TOTAL
 59.5%
 (59.5 out of 100 points)

 ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	99.6%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1124	1130	-	2254	1128	1134	-	2262
Mathematics	99.7%	97.8%	-	98.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1125	1108	-	2233	1128	1133	-	2261
Writing	99.8%	99.6%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	1126	1130	-	2256	1128	1134	-	2262
Science	100.0%	99.5%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	574	570	-	1144	574	573	-	1147
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: EM

District: GARFIELD RE-2 - 1195 (3 Year¹)

Official plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: RIFLE MIDDLE SCHOOL -	7356						District: GARFIELD RE	-2 - 1195 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	· · ·
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1069	62.11	28	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1071	52.38	18	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1071	48.55	36	
Science	2	4		Approaching	539	38.4	37	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4	<i>x</i> i oints	Meets	1017	51	39	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1017	49	65	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1021	57	54	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	87	42	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets	07			
	J	17	07.370	1410003				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	551	52	47	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	474	51	49	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	43	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	349	52	55	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	411	52	69	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	553	49	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	477	51	72	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	45	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	352	53	73	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	383	52	84	No
Writing	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	551	54	62	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	476	57	65	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	69	49	88	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	351	59	68	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	602	57	71	No
Total	35	60	58.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: RIFLE MIDDLE SCHOOL -	7356						District: GARFIELD RE	-2 - 1195 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1054	59.2	26	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1034	35.78	19	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1055	46.35	25	
Science	2	4		Approaching	532	37.41	30	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	999	51	40	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	962	42	79	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	1000	56	63	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	49	48	-	-
Total	9	14	64.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	539	49	54	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	507	51	58	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	78	65	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	316	52	67	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	397	55	72	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	520	40	89	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	489	42	89	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	36	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	307	44	93	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	579	42	95	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	540	55	76	No
Minority Students	3	4	1. 1.	Meets	507	56	76	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4	n	Meets	78	56	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	316	56	84	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	537	57	84	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching				

Performance Indicator	formance Indicators on the So Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile c	Exceeds		4	16			
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	Approaching		2	content area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assigr	chool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading Math			Writing			Science					
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99		
Meets 45-59		55-69	50-64		
Approaching	Approaching 30-44		35-49		
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34		

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1