## School Performance Framework 2013

## School: BASALT HIGH SCHOOL - 0570

# District: ROARING FORK RE-1 - 1180 (3 Year')

<b>_</b>	<b>_</b>			
- 21	ert	∩rr	nar	nce
			nui	

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance / Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the – overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing – to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 60%	Test
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%	
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	τοτ
Turnaround	below 33%	<sup>2</sup> Scho

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	( 8.4 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	66.7%	( 10.0 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	65.6%	( 23.0 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

%	Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate	
%			7
%	TOTAL	67.7% ( 67.7 out of 100 points )	
%			

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of  $e^{-1}$  points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on  $e^{-1}$  all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for  $e^{-1}$  Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for  $e^{-1}$  Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and  $e^{-1}$  Workforce Readiness.

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

#### **Test Participation Rates**

	% of Students Tested Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students						
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	592	592	-	-	600	600
Mathematics	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	595	595	-	-	600	600
Writing	-	-	99.0%	99.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	594	594	-	-	600	600
Science	-	-	99.3%	99.3%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	289	289	-	-	291	291
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	250	250	-	-	252	252

Official plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: BASALT HIGH SCHOOL - 0570	0						District: ROARING FORK RE-	1 - 1180 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	565	69.38	41	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	567	41.8	74	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	566	46.29	41	
Science	2	4		Approaching	276	47.83	44	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	523	53	22	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	522	72	91	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	521	48	60	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	87	58	-	_
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	12	20	<u> </u>	-	/*	Growth recentile	Growinnercentile	Growin:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00/0	Approaching	236	51	54	No
Minority Students	3	4		Approaching Meets	323	56	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4			53	41	80	No
	2	4		Approaching	280	53	55	No
English Learners	3	4		Approaching Meets	164	53	82	
Students needing to catch up	18		0.097		104	50	02	No
Mathematics		20	90%	Exceeds	224	70		NI-
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	234	70	98	No
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	322	72	98	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	51	99	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	279 277	71 74	99 99	No
Students needing to catch up			F.0%	Exceeds	277	/4	33	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching	225			<u>.</u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	235	47	85	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	321	47	81	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	53	46	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	280	48	<u>84</u> 92	No
Students needing to catch up Total	2 <b>40</b>	<u> </u>	66.7%	Approaching Meets	249	49	92	No
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/ <i>5yr</i> /6yr/7yr	3	4		Meets	4	24/ <i>318</i> /217/103	82.8/ <b>89.6</b> /89.4/88.3%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.5	4	62.5%	Meets				0.00
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.75	1		Meets		115/ <b>87</b> /52/25	61.7/ <b>82.8</b> /82.7/80%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching		194/ <b>139</b> /81/40	66/ <b>79.9</b> /75.3/75%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.75	1		Meets		<b>34</b> /25/17/N<16	<b>82.4</b> /76/82.4/-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		97/ <b>79</b> /50/33	54.6/ <b>77.2</b> /76/75.8%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		1536	2.6%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		250	19.1	20.1
Total	10.5	16	65.6%	Meets				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

## **Graduation Rates**

## Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

**Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)** 

#### This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

**Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)** 

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	78.5	86.7	87.5	88.3
Anticipated Year	2010	86.8	91.2	91.2	
of Graduation	2011	84.2	91		
	2012	81.4			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	
	2009	78.5	86.7	87.5	
Anticipated Year	2010	86.8	91.2	91.2	
of Graduation	2011	84.2	91		

7-year 88.3

88.3

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	48.1	80	80	80
Anticipated Year	2010	69.2	84.6	85.2	
of Graduation	2011	72.7	83.3		
	2012	55.2			

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	53.5	73.2	75	75
Anticipated Year	2010	64.3	75.6	75.6	
of Graduation	2011	75.9	87.7		
	2012	66.7			

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.3	72.7	75	75.8
Anticipated Year	2010	57.9	77.8	77.8	
of Graduation	2011	69.6	82.1		
	2012	35			

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

2012

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	48.1	80	80	80
Anticipated Year	2010	69.2	84.6	85.2	
of Graduation	2011	72.7	83.3		
	2012	55.2			
	Aggregated	61.7	82.8	82.7	80

81.4

82.8

89.6

89.4

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	53.5	73.2	75	75
Anticipated Year	2010	64.3	75.6	75.6	
of Graduation	2011	75.9	87.7		
	2012	66.7			
	Aggregated	66	<i>79.9</i>	75.3	75

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	82.4	76	82.4	N<16

#### English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	54.3	72.7	75	75.8
Anticipated Year	2010	57.9	77.8	77.8	
of Graduation	2011	69.6	82.1		
	2012	35			
	Aggregated	54.6	77.2	76	75.8

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

# **Scoring Guide**

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the Sc	hool Performance Framewo	ork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile o	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	<ul> <li>below 60 but at or above 45.</li> </ul>	• below 70 but at or above 55.	<ul> <li>below 65 but at or above 50.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	• below 55 but at or above 40.	<ul> <li>below 50 but at or above 35.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	<ul> <li>below 45 but at or above 30.</li> </ul>	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	ation rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	rate was:			I	Overall	Disaggi.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	rate was:		Ĭ	T		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).					3		
	• at or above 17 but below the state	te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator				Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment			
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 60%</li> </ul>	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 33% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assigr	School Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description							
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of						
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five						
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the						
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.						

## Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

## **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

## Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing		Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

## Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

## State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

### State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1