Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible[2]	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	(7.5 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	50.0%	(17.5 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	41.7%	(6.3 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	68.3%	(23.9 out of 35 points)
Test Participation[3]	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		55.2%	(55.2 out of 100 points)

[2]Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

[3]Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	233	233	-	-	234	234
Mathematics	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	234	234	-	-	234	234
Writing	-	-	99.6%	99.6%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	233	233	-	-	234	234
Science	-	-	99.2%	99.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	128	128	-	-	129	129
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	100.0%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	120	120	-	-	120	120

COLORADO DEPARTMENT *of* EDUCATION

[1]Data in this report is based on results from: 2012-13

Official plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report

School: FLORENCE HIGH SCHOOL – 3002   **District: FREMONT RE-2 – 1150 (1 Year)**

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	220	68.18	36
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	221	21.72	24
Writing	2	4		Approaching	220	40.91	30
Science	2	4		Approaching	121	40.5	30
Total	**8**	**16**	**50%**	**Approaching**			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	204	47	21	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	205	39	98	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	204	50	75	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	**6**	**12**	**50%**	**Approaching**				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	**8**	**16**	**50%**	**Approaching**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	89	43	30	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	39	17	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	46	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	75	47	76	No
Mathematics	**4**	**16**	**25%**	**Does Not Meet**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	31	99	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	38	87	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	37	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	146	39	99	No
Writing	**8**	**16**	**50%**	**Approaching**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	89	44	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	34	47	56	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	45	99	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	123	50	93	No
Total	**20**	**48**	**41.7%**	**Approaching**				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/*7yr*	3	4		Meets	115/132/131/*125*	83.5/81.8/84/*89.6*%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2.25	3	75%	Meets			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	57/57/57/*61*	82.5/80.7/78.9/*90.2*%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	18/29/25/*23*	94.4/86.2/80/*100*%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	N<16/N<16/*16*/16	-/-/*62.5*/62.5%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets	613	2.6%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching	120	18.1	20.0
Total	**10.25**	**15**	**68.3%**	**Meets**			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

SPF 2013 – 1150 – 3002, 1-Year

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	88	88.8	89.6	*89.6*
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.7	83.8	84	
	2011	77.5	81.8		
	2012	83.5			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	88	88.8	89.6	89.6
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83.7	83.8	84	
	2011	77.5	81.8		
	2012	83.5			
Aggregated		83	84.8	86.7	*89.6*

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	87.9	88.1	89.8	*90.2*
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83	83	78.9	
	2011	73.1	80.7		
	2012	82.5			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	87.9	88.1	89.8	90.2
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	83	83	78.9	
	2011	73.1	80.7		
	2012	82.5			
Aggregated		81.8	84	84.5	*90.2*

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	95.7	95.7	100	*100*
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	79.2	80	80	
	2011	80	86.2		
	2012	94.4			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	95.7	95.7	100	100
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	79.2	80	80	
	2011	80	86.2		
	2012	94.4			
Aggregated		86.3	87	89.6	*100*

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	62.5
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	56.3	56.3	*62.5*	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	62.5
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	56.3	56.3	62.5	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
Aggregated		66	63.4	*66.7*	62.5

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
Aggregated		N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4-year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				TCAP		16 (4 for each subject area)	15
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Exceeds	4			
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3			
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2			
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS	14 (4 for each subject area and 2 for English language proficiency)	35
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2		
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TCAP		60 (4 for each of 5 subgroups in 3 subject areas)	15
	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2			
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:				Overall	Disaggr.	16 (4 for each sub-indicator)	35
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:							
	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds	4			
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3			
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:							
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).			Approaching	2			
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Growth Gaps; Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment

	Cut Point: The school earned ... of the total framework points eligible.	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 33%	Turnaround

School Plan Type Assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	

SPF 2013 - 1150 - 3002, 1-Year

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1