School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COMMUNITY SCHOOL - 6162

District: HARRISON 2 - 0980 (3 Year¹)

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	66.1%	(33.1 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	71.3%	(17.8 out of 25 points)	

Test Participation Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL	65.0%	(65.0 out of 100 points)		
-------	-------	----------------------------	--	--

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Test Participation Rate	es .															
% of Students Tested						Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	440	567	-	1007	440	567	-	1007
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	439	568	-	1007	439	568	-	1007
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	439	567	-	1006	439	567	-	1006
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	160	169	-	329	160	169	-	329
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COMI	MUNITY SCHO	OL - 6162					District: HARRISON	l 2 - 0980 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	422	63.03	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	422	65.4	40	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	421	49.17	38	
Science	2	4		Approaching	152	34.21	31	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	264	52	39	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	264	39	52	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	264	44	42	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	210	52	-	-
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	00.070	Meets	218	53	43	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	198	53	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	103	53	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	110	54	60	No
Mathematics	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	217	40	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	199	42	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	42	59	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	88	58	80	No
Writing	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	218	44	46	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	198	45	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	103	47	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	146	48	61	No
Total	29	48	60.4%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: MOUNTAIN VISTA COM	MUNITY SCHO	OL - 6162					District: HARRISOI	N 2 - 0980 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	553	66	37	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	552	57.07	62	
Writing	3	4		Meets	553	60.76	54	
Science	2	4		Approaching	165	41.21	35	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	533	55	39	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	535	65	71	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	533	62	52	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	108	47	-	-
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	441	54	41	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	421	54	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	55	47	78	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	173	58	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	186	58	67	No
Mathematics	16	20	80%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	441	65	71	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	424	65	72	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	57	57	92	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	176	63	73	No
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	219	70	93	No
Writing	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	441	65	54	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	421	64	54	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	55	57	87	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	173	69	56	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	223	66	78	No

Scoring Guide Level: EM

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of student	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP			
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all:	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.			
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assign	School Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

									<u> </u>			
		Reading Math			Writing			Science				
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1