School Performance Framework 2013

School: CALHAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 1210

Level: E

District: CALHAN RJ-1 - 0970 (1 Year¹)

Priority Improvement

Entering Year 1* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² S
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fre
Turnaround	below 37%	³ S

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(12.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(6.3 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

e 59%	TOTAL 32.	9% (32.9 out of 100 points)			
v 59%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator du	ue to insuff	icient numbers of students.	n these cases,	the points are removed	
v 47%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.					

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2014

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	_	_	Meets	107	-	_	107	107	-	-	107
Mathematics	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	108	-	-	108	108	-	-	108
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	108	-	-	108	108	-	-	108
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	41	-	-	41	41	-	-	41
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: CALHAN ELEMENTARY S	CHOOL - 1210						District: CALHAN RJ	-1 - 0970 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	99	61.62	30	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	100	61	29	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	99	41.41	27	
Science	3	4		Meets	38	50	56	
Total	9	16	56.3%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	32	33	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	72	30	48	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	70	30	42	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Total	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	28	36	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	20	28	59	No
Mathematics	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	37	23	54	No
Minority Students	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	32	74	No
Writing	2	8	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	35	29	51	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	28	64	No
Total	6	24	25%	Does Not Meet				

Scoring Guide

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report									
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide				Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced was		T	CAP				
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25	
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	 below 55 but at or above 40. 	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1