## School Performance Framework 2013

## School: ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0011

# Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	87.5%	(21.9 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	79.2%	( 39.6 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	82.5%	( 20.6 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	<sup>2</sup> Schools
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	from the
Turnaround	below 37%	<sup>3</sup> Schools

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

# <sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple lowels (clementary middle and high school grades or a 6.12 school) meet at least a 05% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving

(82.1 out of 100 points)

not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serv multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

82.1%

#### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	197	208	-	405	197	208	-	405
Mathematics	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	197	208	-	405	197	208	-	405
Writing	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	197	208	-	405	197	208	-	405
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	66	66	-	132	66	66	-	132
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE 1 - 0900 (1 Year')

#### Official plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: ACADEMY CHARTER SCH	100L - 0011						District: DOUGLAS COUNTY RE	1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	196	87.76	87	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	196	89.8	90	
Writing	3	4		Meets	196	73.47	86	
Science	3	4		Meets	66	75.76	89	
Total	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	130	56	24	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	130	55	38	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	130	61	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	27	53	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Mathematics	3	4	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	27	46	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	8	8	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	27	74	38	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	47	65	54	Yes
Total	14	16	87.5%	Exceeds				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: ACADEMY CHARTER SCH	IOOL - 0011						District: DOUGLAS COUNTY R	E 1 - 0900 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	207	88.89	90	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	207	85.51	96	
Writing	3	4		Meets	207	78.74	88	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	66	75.76	90	
Total	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	204	46	16	Yes
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	204	60	37	Yes
Writing	2	4		Approaching	204	42	27	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				0.01111
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0	15/0	-	N<20	_	_	
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	50	45	17	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	23	63	66	No
Mathematics	7	8	87.5%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	50	60	44	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	33	67	89	No
Writing	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	50	46	30	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	43	57	75	No
Total	19	24	79.2%	Meets				

# **Scoring Guide**

<b>Scoring Guide for Perf</b>	Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report										
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Scoring Guide				t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points			
	The school's percentage of studen	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:				CAP					
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	2).	Exceeds		4	16				
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					(4 for each	25			
	below the 50th percentile but a	below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).					subject area)				
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	:hools (using 2009-10 baseline).			1					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14				
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 65 but at or above 50.</li> </ul>	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>below 50 but at or above 35.</li> </ul>	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English				
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP					
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60				
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	<ul> <li>below 70 but at or above 55.</li> </ul>		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25			
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	<ul> <li>below 55 but at or above 40.</li> </ul>		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3				
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)				

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	<ul> <li>at or above 47% - below 59%</li> </ul>	Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	<ul> <li>at or above 37% - below 47%</li> </ul>	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assig	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

#### Reference

#### 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

#### **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - I	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

#### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

#### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

of Students	Mean Score
51,438	20.0
151,439	20.1