School Performance Framework 2013

School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9739

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year')

Level: EM

Turnaround (*Revised*) Will enter Year 2* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2014

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²					
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	28.1%	(7.0 out of 25 points)				
Academic Growth	Approaching	42.9%	(21.5 out of 50 points)				
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	44.2%	(11.1 out of 25 points)				
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate						

TOTAL 39.6% (39.6 out of 100 points)	
--	--

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area area value area school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
		% of Studen	ts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.9%	99.8%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	671	509	-	1180	672	510	-	1182
Mathematics	99.1%	99.8%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	664	509	-	1173	670	510	-	1180
Writing	99.7%	100.0%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	669	510	-	1179	671	510	-	1181
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	214	157	-	371	214	157	-	371
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTE		SCHOOL - 973	39				District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	656	42.23	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	650	48.15	14	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	654	28.75	10	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	209	12.92	8	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	416	34	49	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	417	37	62	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	416	34	59	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	506	47	-	-
Total	4	14	28.6%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	319	34	50	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	409	34	49	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	24	77	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	195	39	47	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	239	34	66	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	318	37	63	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	410	36	63	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	21	90	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	198	39	59	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	205	35	82	No
Writing	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	318	36	61	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	409	34	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	34	23	79	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	195	35	54	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	310	34	67	No
Total	15	60	25%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: WYATT-EDISON CHARTE	R ELEMENTAR	(SCHOOL - 973	89				District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	502	39.64	7	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	502	31.47	16	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	503	34.19	13	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	157	14.65	6	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	492	54	60	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	486	52	85	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	493	57	73	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	190	48	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	385	49	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	489	54	60	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	35	50	92	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	286	54	62	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	276	57	73	No
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	381	56	86	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	483	52	85	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	35	59	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	282	54	86	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	329	56	94	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	385	54	73	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	490	57	73	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	35	61	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	286	57	75	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	316	60	83	No
Total	38	60	63.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicator	formance Indicators on the So Scoring Guide	Scoring Guide					Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	hool's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:						
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile c	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assigr	chool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading		Math			Writing			Science			
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1