Level: H

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year)

School Performance Framework 2013

School: SUMMIT ACADEMY - 8145

AEC: Turnaround (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

Entering Year 3* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. _____ The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

Performance Indicators	Rating 9		Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	-	-	(0.0 out of 0 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(8.8 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Not Most OFY Participation P	Into		

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned				
Performance	at or above 60%	Test Participation ³	Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate		
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%				
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%	TOTAL	25.2%	(12.6 out of 50 points)	
Turnaround	below 33%	² Cohoola may not be aligible for all pa	scible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students	In these space, the points are removed	ad fra

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

* on July 1, 2014

Test Participation Rates

rest ranticipation Rate																
% of Students Tested Partic			Participation Rating	Participation Rating Students Tested			ts Tested	Total Students								
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	-	69.3%	69.3%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	61	61	-	-	88	88
Mathematics	-	-	76.1%	76.1%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	67	67	-	-	88	88
Writing	-	-	69.3%	69.3%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	61	61	-	-	88	88
Science	-	-	70.7%	70.7%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	29	29	-	-	41	41
Colorado ACT	-	-	49.2%	49.2%	-	-	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	-	32	32	-	-	65	65

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: SUMMIT ACADEMY - 8145							District: DENVER COUNTY	0
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	23.26	0	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	2.17	1	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	4.65	0	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	4.55	0	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-		-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	_	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	_				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	_
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet		88/64/ 41 /26	5.7/20.3/ 29.3 /15.4%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	4	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		79/54/ 35 /23	5.1/14.8/ 34.3 /17.4%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		78/58/ 38 /24	5.1/20.7/ 28.9 /16.7%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	<i>16</i> /N	I<16/N<16/N<16	<i>6.3</i> /-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.25	1		Does Not Meet	28/	/ <i>18</i> /N<16/N<16	3.6/ 22.2 /-/ - %	80%
Dropout Rate	1	4		Does Not Meet		439	20.5%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		32	14.5	20.0
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	10.3	15.4
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	17.5	29.3	
of Graduation	2011	5.9	20.3		
	2012	5.7			

		4-year	5-year	6-year		
	2009	N<16	N<16	10.3		
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	17.5	29.3		
of Graduation	2011	5.9	20.3			
	2012	5.7				

7-year 15.4

15.4

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	15	17.4
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	16.7	34.3	
of Graduation	2011	2.6	14.8		
	2012	5.1			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	11.1	16.7
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	15.8	28.9	
of Graduation	2011	6.5	20.7		
	2012	5.1			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	6.3			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	22.2		
	2012	3.6			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	15	17.4
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	16.7	34.3	
of Graduation	2011	2.6	14.8		
	2012	5.1			
	Aggregated	4.3	15.5	27.3	17.4

5.8

19.2

21.4

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	11.1	16.7
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	15.8	28.9	
of Graduation	2011	6.5	20.7		
	2012	5.1			
	Aggregated	5.6	18.8	21.5	16.7

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	6.3			
	Aggregated	4.3	<i>5.9</i>	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	22.2		
	2012	3.6			
	Aggregated	5.9	20.8	31.8	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide

erformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced wa	<i>IS:</i>		TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).			4		16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TC	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregate	d Graduation Rate: The school's grad	duation rate/disaggregated graduation	7	Overall	Disaggr.		
	rate was:			1	Overall	Disaggi.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	ut rate was:		T	1		16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	3		indicator)	
		state average (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet	1			
		he school's average Colorado ACT co	omposite score was:	1	1			
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).			Meets	-	3		
		ate average (using 2009-10 baseline)).	Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator			Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.			Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement		
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assignments					
	Plan description				
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of			
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five			
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the			
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.			

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students I	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 🛛	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1