School Performance Framework 2013

School: HIGHLINE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 3987

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

est Participation Rates

				,	
is required to adopt and ear School Performance a plan type based on the	Academic Growth	Meets	80.4%	(40.2 out of 50 points)	
for the official year. The is matched to the scoring type. Additionally, failing	Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	83.3%	(20.8 out of 25 points)	
nd/or test participation n type category.	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
Farmer and Definite Farmer d					

75.0%

Rating

Meets

ed 9%	TOTAL		79.8%	(79.8 out of 100 points)	
9%	201	 			

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

(18.8 out of 25 points)

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rat																
		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	99.6%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	512	456	-	968	513	458	-	971
Mathematics	99.6%	99.8%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	511	457	-	968	513	458	-	971
Writing	99.8%	99.6%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	512	456	-	968	513	458	-	971
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	163	145	-	308	163	145	-	308
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Level: EM

School: HIGHLINE ACADEMY CH		- 3987					District: DENVER COUNT	V 1 - 0880 (3 Vea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	11-0000 (51ca
Reading	3	4	<i>N</i> 1 On 10	Meets	511	84.15	80	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	510	78.43	70	
Writing	3	4		Meets	511	67.51	76	
Science	3	4		Meets	162	57.41	69	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	317	58	22	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	317	50	41	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	318	65	37	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	115	64	-	-
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	16	81.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	01.5%	Meets	87	50	39	Yes
Minority Students	3	4	1	Meets	158	57	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	61	65	32	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	58	59	65	No
Mathematics	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	86	50	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	159	49	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	_	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	61	64	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	66	58	76	No
Writing	15	16	93.8%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	87	58	48	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	159	61	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	61	69	39	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	124	67	56	Yes
Total	39	48	81.3%	Meets				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: HIGHLINE ACADEMY CH	ARTER SCHOOI						District: DENVER COUNT	Y 1 - 0880 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	456	82.89	82	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	457	63.02	73	
Writing	3	4		Meets	456	77.85	88	
Science	3	4		Meets	145	56.55	66	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	441	65	21	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	441	54	61	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	440	67	36	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	36	37	-	-
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	20	20	100%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	117	68	39	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	208	69	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	41	71	76	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	81	75	39	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	89	71	70	Yes
Mathematics	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	117	60	70	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	208	53	68	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	41	51	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	81	63	64	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	140	61	90	No
Writing	18	20	90%	Exceeds				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Exceeds	118	72	49	Yes
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	207	67	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	41	59	86	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	81	66	48	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	110	68	77	No
Total	51	60	85%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	noot Performance Framewo	огк керогс					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
							EMH Level	Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	ls (using 2009-10 baseline).					
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assigr	School Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing		Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math		Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons													

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1