# School Performance Framework 2013

# School: CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCHOOL - 1400

Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (1 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Turnaround (Revised)	
----------------------	--

Entering Year 2\* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	28.1%	(7.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	44.6%	( 22.3 out of 50 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	35.4%	( 8.9 out of 25 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	T
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2 2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fr
Turnaround	below 37%	<sup>3</sup> S

<b>ed</b> 9%	TOTAL	38.2%	( 38.2 out of 100 points )		
9%	<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicate	or due to ins	ufficient numbers of students. I	n these cases, th	ne points are removed
7%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.				

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

\* on July 1, 2014

### **Test Participation Rates**

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	
Reading	99.4%	99.3%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	167	152	-	319	168	153	-	321	
Mathematics	100.0%	99.3%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	168	152	-	320	168	153	-	321	
Writing	99.4%	99.3%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	167	152	-	319	168	153	-	321	
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	52	54	-	106	52	54	-	106	
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCH	OOL - 1400						District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	42.59	9	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	38.89	5	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	162	31.48	13	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	7.69	3	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	31	47	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	23	62	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	88	31	55	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	48	53	-	-
Total	4.5	14	32.1%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	31	47	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	31	48	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	48	31	70	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	76	22	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	79	22	63	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	47	25	80	No
Writing	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	75	31	57	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	77	30	56	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	32	67	No
Total	9	36	25%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCHO	OOL - 1400						District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (1 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	42.67	8	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	24	9	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	30	10	
Science	2	4		Approaching	53	30.19	23	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4	<i><i>N i O i i i</i></i>	Approaching	149	43	55	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	149	40	91	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	149	47	73	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	23	80	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					<u> </u>			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	128	45	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	135	43	55	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	37	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	34	51	56	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	87	43	75	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	129	37	92	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	134	39	91	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	25	99	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	39	89	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	107	41	96	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	128	47	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	135	47	74	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	25	29	95	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	34	56	71	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	99	48	84	No
Total	25	60	41.7%	Approaching				

# **Scoring Guide**

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the S	chool Performance Framew	vork Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced wa	s:		Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baselin	e).	Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	• below the 90th percentile but a	t or above the 50th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	subject area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	25	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

<b>Cut-Points for Each Per</b>	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments											
	Plan description											
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of										
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five										
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the										
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.										

### Reference

# 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

#### Academic Achievement

Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline	)		
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points - 🛛	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
		Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	N of Schools 15th percentile 50th percentile 90th percentile Percent of Students I N of Schools	N of Schools100815th percentile49.1850th percentile71.6590th percentile89.10Percent of Students ProficienElemN of Schools1032	ReadingElemMiddleN of Schools100847915th percentile49.1850.4450th percentile71.6571.4390th percentile89.1088.24Percent of Students Proficient or Adv.Reading ElemElemMiddleN of Schools1032507	ReadingElemMiddleHighN of Schools100847932715th percentile49.1850.4454.9250th percentile71.6571.4373.3390th percentile89.1088.2487.23Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Reading ElemN of Schools1032507362	Reading   Elem Middle High Elem   N of Schools 1008 479 327 1007   15th percentile 49.18 50.44 54.92 48.60   50th percentile 71.65 71.43 73.33 70.89   90th percentile 89.10 88.24 87.23 89.34   Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percent Reading   Elem Middle High Elem   N of Schools 1032 507 362 1032	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Reading & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Reading    Elem Middle High Elem Middle High   N of Schools 1008 479 327 1007 480 327   15th percentile 49.18 50.44 54.92 48.60 29.72 15.97   50th percentile 71.65 71.43 73.33 70.89 52.48 33.52   90th percentile 89.10 88.24 87.23 89.34 75.00 54.79   Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points – Telem   Reading Math   Elem Middle High Elem Middle High   N of Schools 1032 507 362 1032 507 361	Reading Math   Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem   N of Schools 1008 479 327 1007 480 327 1007   15th percentile 49.18 50.44 54.92 48.60 29.72 15.97 32.48   50th percentile 71.65 71.43 73.33 70.89 52.48 33.52 53.52   90th percentile 89.10 88.24 87.23 89.34 75.00 54.79 76.83   Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year age   Reading Math Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem   N of Schools 1032 507 362 1032 507 361 1032	Reading Math Writing   Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle   N of Schools 1008 479 327 1007 480 327 1007 480   15th percentile 49.18 50.44 54.92 48.60 29.72 15.97 32.48 34.96   50th percentile 71.65 71.43 73.33 70.89 52.48 33.52 53.52 57.77   90th percentile 89.10 88.24 87.23 89.34 75.00 54.79 76.83 79.67   Reading Math Writing   Reading Math Writing Writing   Elem Middle High Elem Writing   Elem Middle High Elem Math Writing   N of Schools 1032 507 362 1032 507 361 1032 507	Reading Writing   Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High   N of Schools 1008 479 327 1007 480 327 1007 480 327   15th percentile 49.18 50.44 54.92 48.60 29.72 15.97 32.48 34.96 30.95   50th percentile 71.65 71.43 73.33 70.89 52.48 33.52 53.52 57.77 50.00   90th percentile 89.10 88.24 87.23 89.34 75.00 54.79 76.83 79.67 72.24   Reading Math Writing   Reading Math Writing   Elem Middle High Elem Middle High Elem Middle High   N of Schools 1032 507 362 1032 507 361 <td>Elem Middle High Elem Mid Middle High Start &lt;</td> <td>Reading Math Writing Science   Elem Middle High Ele</td>	Elem Middle High Elem Mid Middle High Start <	Reading Math Writing Science   Elem Middle High Ele

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.63

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

72.05

88.21

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

50th percentile

90th percentile

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator. measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

### Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

58.34

79.17

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

49.57

71.00

45.36

72.65

48.72

71.26

50.00

71.45

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1