School Performance Framework 2013

School: CESAR CHAVEZ ACADEMY DENVER - 1345

Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year¹)

r	n	۱	p	r	0	V	'e	n	1	e	n	it	
		1	г	Ξ.	×		<u> </u>			<u> </u>			

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Point	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Approaching	40.6%	(10.2 out of 25 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	51.8%	(25.9 out of 50 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	52.5%	(13.1 out of 25 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		

TOTAL	49.2%	(49.2 out of 100 points)	
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	or due to in	sufficient numbers of students. In	n these cases, the points are removed
from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.			

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

lest Participation Rate	25															
		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating	,		Studen	ts Tested			Total	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.8%	100.0%	-	99.9%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	453	420	-	873	454	420	-	874
Mathematics	99.6%	100.0%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	452	420	-	872	454	420	-	874
Writing	100.0%	99.3%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	454	417	-	871	454	420	-	874
Science	100.0%	100.0%	-	100.0%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	155	122	-	277	155	122	-	277
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: CESAR CHAVEZ ACADEM	IY DENVER - 13	45					District: DENVER COUNTY	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	449	53.45	18	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	448	59.6	28	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	450	42.89	27	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	154	16.88	11	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	288	43	45	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	292	36	56	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	288	42	46	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	247	59	-	-
Total	6.5	14	46.4%	Approaching				_
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	243	42	45	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	264	43	46	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	23	28	76	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	128	46	48	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	144	47	62	No
Mathematics	7	20	35%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	246	36	56	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	268	36	57	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	33	79	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	133	43	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	113	53	78	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	243	42	46	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	264	42	46	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	23	49	75	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	127	48	49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	175	49	59	No
Total	26	60	43.3%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: CESAR CHAVEZ ACADEM	IY DENVER - 13	45					District: DENVER COUNT	(1 - 0880 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	413	44.07	10	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	413	26.63	11	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	410	37.8	16	
Science	2	4		Approaching	119	28.57	18	
Total	6	16	37.5%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	386	52	57	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	386	50	86	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	384	57	69	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	69	42	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	323	52	58	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	368	52	58	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	36	57	81	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	191	53	62	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	203	54	71	No
Mathematics	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	323	49	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	368	51	86	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	36	58	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	191	56	88	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	262	53	96	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	322	56	69	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	366	56	70	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	36	52	91	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	190	59	76	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	244	56	80	No
Total	37	60	61.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicator	formance Indicators on the So Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile c	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assigr	School Plan Type Assignments										
	Plan description										
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.									

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)			
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50	
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)		
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1