School Performance Framework 2013

School: RIDGE VIEW ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL - 0040

AEC: Performance (See Alternative Education Campus SPF) (Revised)

All schools designated as an Alternative Education Campus (AEC) receive an AEC-specific SPF report that determines the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. The plan type is based on the overall AEC framework score, which is a percentage of the total points earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type.

| Plan Assignment | Framework Points Earned |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Performance | at or above 60% |
| Improvement | at or above 47% - below 60% |
| Priority Improvement | at or above 33% - below 47% |
| Turnaround | below 33% |

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

| Performance Indicators | Rating | % of Points | Earned out of Points Eligible ² | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| Academic Achievement | Does Not Meet | 25.0% | (3.8 out of 15 points) | |
| Academic Growth | Meets | 75.0% | (26.3 out of 35 points) | |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Meets | 75.0% | (11.3 out of 15 points) | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Does Not Meet | 25.0% | (8.8 out of 35 points) | |
| Test Participation ³ | Meets 95% Participation Rate | | | |
| TOTAL | | 50.2% | (50.2 out of 100 points) | |

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

| | | % of Stud | dents Tested | | | Particip | ation Rating | | | Studen | ts Tested | | | Total | Students | |
|--------------|------|-----------|--------------|---------|------|----------|--------------|---------|------|--------|-----------|---------|------|--------|----------|---------|
| Content Area | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall | Elem | Middle | High | Overall |
| Reading | - | - | 98.1% | 98.1% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 463 | 463 | - | - | 472 | 472 |
| Mathematics | - | - | 98.3% | 98.3% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 466 | 466 | - | - | 474 | 474 |
| Writing | - | - | 98.5% | 98.5% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 465 | 465 | - | - | 472 | 472 |
| Science | - | - | 99.2% | 99.2% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 235 | 235 | - | - | 237 | 237 |
| Colorado ACT | - | - | 98.7% | 98.7% | - | - | Meets | Meets | - | - | 77 | 77 | - | - | 78 | 78 |

Level: H

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year¹)

| Performance Indicators | | | | | | | | Level: High |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|----------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| School: RIDGE VIEW ACADEMY CHAR | RTER SCHOOL - | 0040 | | | | | District: DENVER COUNTY | - 0880 (3 Year) |
| Academic Achievement | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | % Proficient/Advanced | School's Percentile | |
| Reading | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 208 | 32.69 | 3 | |
| Mathematics | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 207 | 3.86 | 3 | |
| Writing | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 209 | 13.4 | 2 | |
| Science | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 135 | 6.67 | 1 | |
| Total | 4 | 16 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| | | | | | | Median Growth | Median Adequate Growth | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Percentile | Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 44 | 64 | 86 | No |
| Mathematics | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 46 | 67 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 45 | 59 | 99 | No |
| English Language Proficiency (ACCESS) | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Total | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| | | | | | Subgroup | Subgroup Median | Subgroup Median Adequate | Made Adequate |
| Academic Growth Gaps | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | N | Growth Percentile | Growth Percentile | Growth? |
| Reading | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 44 | 64 | 86 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 36 | 55 | 92 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | - | - | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 32 | 69 | 96 | No |
| Mathematics | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 46 | 67 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 36 | 66 | 99 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | _ | _ | - |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | _ | N<20 | _ | _ | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 45 | 67 | 99 | No |
| Writing | 9 | 12 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 3 | 4 | 1010 | Meets | 45 | 59 | 99 | No |
| Minority Students | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 36 | 61 | 99 | No |
| Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | | - | N<20 | | | - |
| English Learners | 0 | 0 | | _ | N<20 | | _ | - |
| Students needing to catch up | 3 | 4 | | Meets | 42 | 61 | 99 | No |
| Total | 27 | 36 | 75% | Meets | | | | |
| Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness | Points Earned | Points Eligible | % Points | Rating | | N | Rate/Score | Expectation |
| Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | 91 | 2/753/ 544 /289 | 4.1/7.8/ 9 /9% | 80% |
| Disaggregated Graduation Rate | 1 | 4 | 25% | Does Not Meet | | | | |
| Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | 90 | 4/753/ <i>543</i> /288 | 4.1/8/ <i>9.2</i> /9% | 80% |
| Minority Students | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | 56 | 6/454/329/ <i>169</i> | 3.7/7.9/8.8/ <i>9.5</i>% | 80% |
| Students with Disabilities | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | | 50/204/152/ 94 | 4.6/8.8/10.5/ <i>11.7</i> % | 80% |
| English Learners | 0.25 | 1 | | Does Not Meet | | 14/82/60/ <i>36</i> | 0.9/2.4/5/ 8.3 % | 80% |
| Dropout Rate | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | | 3922 | 11.2% | 3.9% |
| Colorado ACT Composite Score | 1 | 4 | | Does Not Meet | | 77 | 15.1 | 20.1 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 9 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 5.1 | 9.5 | <i>9.6</i> | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 4.6 | 6.6 | | |
| | 2012 | 2.9 | | | |

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-уе |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| | 2009 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 9 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 9.6 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 4.6 | 6.6 | | |
| | 2012 | 2.9 | | | |
| | | | | | |

4.1

7.8

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 9 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 9.7 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 4.6 | 6.6 | | |
| | 2012 | 3 | | | |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 9.5 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 4.2 | 9 | 9 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 3.3 | 6.8 | | |
| | 2012 | 3.8 | | | |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.7 | 9 | 12.2 | 11.7 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 8.6 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 4 | 6.3 | | |
| | 2012 | 5.6 | | | |

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 8.3 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | 2012 | 0 | | | |

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.4 | 7.6 | 8.8 | 9 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 5.1 | 9.5 | 9.7 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 4.6 | 6.6 | | |
| | 2012 | 3 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 4.1 | 8 | <i>9.2</i> | 9 |

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| | 2009 | 3.4 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 9.5 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 4.2 | 9 | 9 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 3.3 | 6.8 | | |
| | 2012 | 3.8 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 3.7 | 7.9 | 8.8 | <i>9.5</i> |

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.7 | 9 | 12.2 | 11.7 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 5.4 | 10.4 | 8.6 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 4 | 6.3 | | |
| | 2012 | 5.6 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 4.6 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 11.7 |

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

| | | 4-year | 5-year | 6-year | 7-year |
|------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 2009 | 3.3 | 6.5 | 9.7 | 8.3 |
| Anticipated Year | 2010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| of Graduation | 2011 | 0 | 0 | | |
| | 2012 | 0 | | | |
| | Aggregated | 0.9 | 2.4 | 5 | 8.3 |

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Level: High

Scoring Guide

| Scoring Guide for Perf | ormance Indicators on the Sc | hool Performance Framewo | ork Report | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---|--|---------------|-------------|----------|--|---------------------|
| Performance Indicator | Scoring Guide | | | | Point Value | | Total Possible Points per EMH Level | Framework Points |
| | The school's percentage of students | The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was: | | | TCAP | | | |
| Academic | at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). | | | | | 4 | 16 | |
| Achievement | • below the 90th percentile but at | or above the 50th percentile of all sc | hools (using 2009-10 baseline). | Meets | | 3 | (4 for each | 15 |
| | • below the 50th percentile but at | or above the 15th percentile of all sc | hools (using 2009-10 baseline). | Approaching | | 2 | content area) | |
| | below the 15th percentile of all s | chools (using 2009-10 baseline). | | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | |
| | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP | No AGP | | TCAP | ACCESS | | |
| Academic | • at or above 60. | • at or above 70. | • at or above 65. | Exceeds | 4 | 2 | 14 | |
| Growth | • below 60 but at or above 45. | • below 70 but at or above 55. | below 65 but at or above 50. | Meets | 3 | 1.5 | (4 for each subject | 35 |
| | • below 45 but at or above 30. | • below 55 but at or above 40. | below 50 but at or above 35. | Approaching | 2 | 1 | area and 2 for English | |
| | • below 30. | • below 40. | • below 35. | Does Not Meet | 1 | 0.5 | language proficiency) | |
| | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP | | | T | CAP | | |
| Academic | • at or above 60. | • at or above 70. | | Exceeds | | 4 | 60 | |
| Growth Gaps | • below 60 but at or above 45. | • below 70 but at or above 55. | | Meets | | 3 | (4 for each of 5 | 15 |
| | • below 45 but at or above 30. | • below 55 but at or above 40. | | Approaching | | 2 | subgroups in 3 | |
| | • below 30. | • below 40. | | Does Not Meet | | 1 | subject areas) | |
| | Graduation Rate and Disaggregated | Graduation Rate: The school's gradua | ation rate/disaggregated graduation | | Overall | Disaggr. | | |
| | rate was: | | | - | Overall | Disaggi. | | |
| | • at or above 90%. | | | Exceeds | 4 | 1 | | |
| | • at or above 80% but below 90%. | | | Meets | 3 | 0.75 | | |
| | • at or above 65% but below 80%. | | | Approaching | 2 | 0.5 | | |
| | • below 65%. | | | Does Not Meet | 1 | 0.25 | | |
| | Dropout Rate: The school's dropout | rate was: | | 1 | T | | 16 | |
| Postsecondary and | • at or below 1%. | | | Exceeds | | 4 | (4 for each sub- | 35 |
| Workforce Readiness | at or below the state average but | above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline). | | Meets | | 3 | indicator) | |
| | • at or below 10% but above the sta | ate average (using 2009-10 baseline). | | Approaching | | 2 | | |
| | • above 10%. | | | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | |
| | Colorado ACT Composite Score: The | e school's average Colorado ACT com | posite score was: | 1 | | | | |
| | • at or above 22. | | | | | 4 | | |
| | • at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline). | | | | | 3 | | |
| | | e average (using 2009-10 baseline). | | Approaching | | 2 | | |
| | • below 17. | | | Does Not Meet | | 1 | | |

| Cut-Points for Each Performance Indicator | | | | Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------|-----------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator. | | | | Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible. | | | |
| Achievement; | • at or above 87.5% | Exceeds | Total | • at or above 60% | Performance | | |
| Growth; Growth Gaps; | • at or above 62.5% - below 87.5% | Meets | Framework | at or above 47% - below 60% | Improvement | | |
| Postsecondary Readiness | • at or above 37.5% - below 62.5% | Approaching | Points | at or above 33% - below 47% | Priority Improvement | | |
| | • below 37.5% | Does Not Meet | | • below 33% | Turnaround | | |

| School Plan Type Assignments | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Plan description | | | | | |
| Performance Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan. | A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of | | | | |
| Improvement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan. | five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five | | | | |
| Priority Improvement Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan. | consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the | | | | |
| Turnaround Plan | The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan. | school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan. | | | | |

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

| | Percent of Students | Proficien | t or Adva | anced b | y Percen | tile Cut- | Points - | 1-year (2 | 2009-10 | baseline |) | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|-------|
| The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's | | Reading | | Math | | Writing | | | Science | | | | |
| proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This | N of Schools | 1008 | 479 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 1007 | 480 | 327 | 912 | 407 | 286 |
| includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in | 15th percentile | 49.18 | 50.44 | 54.92 | 48.60 | 29.72 | 15.97 | 32.48 | 34.96 | 30.95 | 19.67 | 23.85 | 27.50 |
| reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results | 50th percentile | 71.65 | 71.43 | 73.33 | 70.89 | 52.48 | 33.52 | 53.52 | 57.77 | 50.00 | 47.53 | 48.00 | 50.00 |
| from Lectura and Escritura. | 90th percentile | 89.10 | 88.24 | 87.23 | 89.34 | 75.00 | 54.79 | 76.83 | 79.67 | 72.24 | 75.96 | 75.11 | 72.41 |
| | Percent of Students | Proficien | t or Adv | anced by | y Percen | tile Cut- | Points - | 3-year a | ggregate | (2008-1 | 0 baseli | ne) | |
| Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from | | Reading Math | | Writing Science | | | | | | | | | |
| the first year the performance framework reports were | | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High | Elem | Middle | High |
| released. | N of Schools | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 1032 | 507 | 361 | 1032 | 507 | 362 | 972 | 469 | 347 |
| | 15th percentile | 50.00 | 50.56 | 53.34 | 48.73 | 29.69 | 13.49 | 32.56 | 36.84 | 30.00 | 20.46 | 25.00 | 27.93 |
| | 50th percentile | 72.05 | 71.35 | 72.21 | 70.11 | 51.63 | 30.53 | 54.84 | 58.34 | 49.57 | 45.36 | 48.72 | 50.00 |
| | 90th percentile | 88.21 | 87.40 | 86.17 | 87.48 | 74.41 | 52.19 | 76.51 | 79.17 | 71.00 | 72.65 | 71.26 | 71.45 |
| Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons | | | | | | | | | n dan san | | | | |

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

| | Made AGP | Did Not Make AGP | No AGP |
|---------------|----------|------------------|--------|
| Exceeds | 60-99 | 70-99 | 65-99 |
| Meets | 45-59 | 55-69 | 50-64 |
| Approaching | 30-44 | 40-54 | 35-49 |
| Does Not Meet | 1-29 | 1-39 | 1-34 |

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Mean Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-year (2009) | 416,953 | 3.6 |
| 3-year (2007-09) | 1,238,096 | 3.9 |

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

| | N of Students | Mean Rate |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1-year (2010) | 51,438 | 20.0 |
| 3-year (2008-10) | 151,439 | 20.1 |