District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (1 Year¹)

School: YALE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 9756

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Academic Achievement Approaching 43.8% (11.0 out of 25 points) Academic Growth Meets 78.6% (39.3 out of 50 points)	Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
	Academic Achievement	Approaching	43.8%	(11.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth Cape Approaching 56.29 (14.1 out of 25 points.)	Academic Growth	Meets	78.6%	(39.3 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps Approaching 50.5% (14.1 out of 25 points)	Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	56.3%	(14.1 out of 25 points)	

Test Participation ³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

TOTAL 64.4% (64.4 out	of 100 points)

^{* 2}Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

3 Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates																
% of Students Tested					Participati	on Rating			Studen	ts Tested		Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	227	-	-	227	228	-	-	228
Mathematics	99.6%	-	-	99.6%	Meets	-	-	Meets	228	-	-	228	229	-	-	229
Writing	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	228	-	-	228	228	-	_	228
Science	100.0%	-	-	100.0%	Meets	-	-	Meets	77	-	-	77	77	-	-	77
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-		-		-	-	-

Calarata WALE FLENDENTA BY COLLE	0756							vel: Elementary
School: YALE ELEMENTARY SCHO							District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	<u> 18J - 0180 (1 Year</u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u> % Points</u>	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	207	52.17	17	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	208	45.67	11	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	208	37.02	21	
Science	2	4		Approaching	68	22.06	17	
Total	7	16	43.8%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	<u>% Points</u>	Rating	<i>N</i>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	145	49	46	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	145	63	70	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	145	56	56	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	115	66	<u>-</u>	
Total	11	14	78.6%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	7	16	43.8%	Approaching		<u> </u>	oroman rerectione	<u> </u>
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	-13.070	Approaching	100	46	51	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	111	46	47	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Approaching	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	39	 49	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	70	44	70	No
Mathematics	12	16	75%	Meets	70		7.0	140
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	100	66	75	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	111	63	75	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	3	4		Meets	51	68	70	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	92	59	85	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching	-			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	100	54	59	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	111	53	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	51	52	54	No
_ •	2	4		Approaching	99	53	68	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	22	23	00	INU

Scoring Guide Level: E

Scoring Galac for Feri	ormance Indicators on the S	choot remormance trainew	оп пероге						
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points	
	The school's percentage of studen	ts scoring proficient or advanced was	s:		TO	CAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline	e).	Exceeds		4	16		
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but a	below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline). Meets 3							
	below the 50th percentile but a	t or above the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		subject area)		
	below the 15th percentile of all	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS			
Academic	at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14		
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50	
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English		
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			TO	CAP			
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60		
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25	
•	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3		
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)		

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator		Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicate		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assig	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

	Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

							- J						
		Reading	eading Math			Writing		Science					
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1