School Performance Framework 2013

School: VISTA PEAK P-8 EXPLORATORY - 9083

Improvement

Performance Indicators

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Academic Achievement	Approaching	40.6%	(10.2 out of 25 points)	
e Academic Growth	Approaching	48.2%	(24.1 out of 50 points)	
e Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	41.7%	(10.4 out of 25 points)	
ⁿ Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
4				

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	Т
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	2 2
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	fr
Turnaround	below 37%	³ S

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of

points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on

all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for

 TOTAL
 44.7%
 (44.7 out of 100 points)

 ²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Academic Growth Gaps.

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participati	ion Rating			Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	98.6%	99.8%	-	99.3%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	781	963	-	1744	792	965	-	1757
Mathematics	99.2%	99.7%	-	99.5%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	787	959	-	1746	793	962	-	1755
Writing	99.2%	99.9%	-	99.6%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	789	964	-	1753	795	965	-	1760
Science	100.0%	99.6%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	256	254	-	510	256	255	-	511
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rating

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year¹)

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: VISTA PEAK P-8 EXPLORA	ATORY - 9083					D	District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	8J - 0180 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	714	48.88	14	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	719	40.75	7	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	723	33.2	15	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	241	19.92	14	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	419	40	45	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	420	36	68	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	420	37	55	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	322	43	-	_
Total	5	14	35.7%	Does Not Meet				
	/			.	Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	20	40%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	235	40	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	265	40	47	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	27	70	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	150	45	62	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	213	35	68	No
Mathematics	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	234	39	74	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	265	39	72	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	33	81	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	150	38	77	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	230	36	82	No
Writing	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	235	37	60	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	266	37	59	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	50	32	73	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	151	43	66	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	284	38	69	No
Total	19	60	31.7%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: VISTA PEAK P-8 EXPLOR/	ATORY - 9083						District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE	28J - 0180 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	868	52.42	17	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	866	32.91	17	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	869	40.28	18	
Science	2	4		Approaching	232	26.29	16	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	798	46	46	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	798	45	83	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	800	47	66	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	156	55	-	-
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	11	20	55%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	443	45	60	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	508	45	57	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	76	55	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	277	46	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	370	51	77	No
Mathematics	10	20	50%	Approaching				·
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	444	46	92	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	506	46	89	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	75	50	98	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	279	44	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	476	47	96	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				·
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	446	48	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	510	49	77	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	76	48	89	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	279	53	84	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	442	52	87	No
Total	31	60	51.7%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	noot Performance Framewo	огк керогс					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per	Framework
							EMH Level	Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:		ТСАР				
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	Exceeds		4	16			
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	elow the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).				3	(4 for each	25
	• below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	Approaching		2	content area)		
	• below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	ols (using 2009-10 baseline).			1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment					
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance		
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement		
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement		
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround		

School Plan Type Assigr	chool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading	Reading Math			Writing			Science			
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99		
Meets 45-59		55-69	50-64		
Approaching	Approaching 30-44		35-49		
Does Not Meet 1-29		1-39	1-34		

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1