School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXCELLENCE - 5298

District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28J - 0180 (3 Year¹)

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

TOTAL

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²
Academic Achievement	Approaching	41.7%	(6.3 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(20.0 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	54.8%	(8.2 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Approaching	57.1%	(20.0 out of 35 points)
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

54.5%

(54.5 out of 100 points)

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating		Students Tested				Total Students					
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.5%	99.2%	98.6%	99.1%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	550	584	358	1492	553	589	363	1505
Mathematics	99.8%	99.7%	98.9%	99.5%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	552	587	359	1498	553	589	363	1505
Writing	99.8%	98.8%	98.1%	99.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	551	583	356	1490	552	590	363	1505
Science	100.0%	99.4%	99.4%	99.6%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	188	180	155	523	188	181	156	525
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.2%	98.2%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	108	108	-	-	110	110

Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXC	CELLENCE - 529	8				D	Pistrict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	8J - 0180 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	532	45.86	11	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	534	46.25	12	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	533	26.45	7	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	182	19.78	14	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
Anadamia Casuth	Dointo Formed	Dainta Fliaibla	O' Dointe	Datin	A/	Madian County Barrantile	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% POINTS	Rating	<u>N</u>	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	350	32	44	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	354	33	61	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	352	26	56	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	379	44	<u>-</u>	-
Total	4	14	28.6%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet		Growth rescentile	GIOWIII FEICEIIIIE	GIOWLII:
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	23%	Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	241	32	47	No
Minority Students	I	4			277	32 31	48	No No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		Does Not Meet	N<20	31	48	
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	208		<u>-</u> 52	 No
Students needing to catch up	1	4	-	Does Not Meet	170	34	71	No
Mathematics	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet	170	34	71	INO
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	23/0	Does Not Meet	242	36	64	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	279	33	64	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		- Does Not Meet	N<20		-	-
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	208	34	67	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	168	37	81	No
Writing	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet	100	3,	<u> </u>	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4	2570	Does Not Meet	242	24	56	No
	1	4		Does Not Meet	278	22	58	No
Minority Students	<u> </u>	·		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	0	()						
Students with Disabilities	1	<u> </u>		Does Not Meet		26	60	No
	0 1 1	4 4		Does Not Meet Does Not Meet	207 235	26 28	60 68	No No

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXC	CELLENCE - 529	8					District: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 2	28J - 0180 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	555	59.28	26	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	558	37.63	22	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	555	47.21	27	
Science	2	4		Approaching	169	43.2	39	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	523	55	43	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	527	42	78	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	524	52	58	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	133	58	-	_
Total	8.5	14	60.7%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	323	55	46	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	429	56	46	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	33	40	86	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	276	59	47	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	211	64	70	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	328	42	80	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	430	42	80	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	33	97	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	277	42	80	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	268	47	94	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	324	53	61	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	430	52	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	33	39	88	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	276	54	64	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	281	61	80	No
Total	33	60	55%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: LOTUS SCHOOL FOR EXCELL	ENCE - 5298					Di:	strict: ADAMS-ARAPAHOE 28	3J - 0180 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ν	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	328	63.11	27	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	328	23.48	33	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	326	38.96	27	
Science	2	4		Approaching	148	42.57	35	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	307	67	36	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	307	57	98	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	306	58	78	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	92	64	-	-
Total	11.5	14	82.1%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	18	20	90%	Exceeds	/4	GIOWAITTEICEITARE	Growth rescentific	GIOWEII:
	4	4	30/0		163	70	51	Yes
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				Exceeds				
Minority Students Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	238	69	47	Yes
	3	4		Meets	20	63	92	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	176	72	50	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4	750/	Meets	130	67	81	No
Mathematics	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	163	62	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	238	60	99	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	20	68	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	177	64	99	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	210	58	99	No
Writing	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	163	62	86	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	237	62	82	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	20	46	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	176	63	86	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	188	62	93	No
Total	47	60	78.3%	Meets				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr	2	4		Approaching	26/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	76.9 /-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1	2	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	18/	N<16/N<16/N<16	<i>66.7</i> /-/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.5	1		Approaching	18/	/N<16/N<16/N<16	77.8 /-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<10	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<10	5/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		640	2.5%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	2	4		Approaching		108	17.2	20.1
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				

Graduation Rates Level: High

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	80			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	70.6			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	82.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	80			
	Aggregated	76.9	N<16	N<16	N<16

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	70.6			
	Aggregated	66.7	N<16	N<16	N<16

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	82.4			
	Aggregated	77.8	N<16	N<16	N<16

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009. 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

rformance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framewori Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	15
	below 45 but at or above 30.	below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:		_			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The	e school's average Colorado ACT com	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds		4		
	at or above the state average but	t below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3		
	at or above 17 but below the star	te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indica		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework	points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assign	School Plan Type Assignments						
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released.

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading Math		Writing			Science						
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency therefore English language proficiency growth is determined only by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1