School Performance Framework 2013

School: COYOTE HILLS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL - 0243

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 59%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 37%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Exceeds	100.0%	(25.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	75.0%	(37.5 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	71.7%	(17.9 out of 25 points)	

Test Participation³ Meets 95% Participation Rate

meets 55% Fulleputon Rate

TOTAL	80.4%	(80.4 out of 100 points)		
² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicat	tor due to in	sufficient numbers of students. In	n these cases, the points are removed	

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates % of Students Tested Participation Rating Students Tested Total Students Content Area Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Elem Middle High Overall Reading 99.2% 99.2% 1172 1181 1181 _ Meets -Meets -1172 -----1174 Mathematics 99.3% 99.3% Meets 1174 1182 1182 --Meets --_ -_ -1171 1182 Writing 99.1% --99.1% Meets --Meets 1171 ----1182 Science 99.3% 99.3% Meets Meets 403 403 406 406 --------_ Colorado ACT -_ ----_ _ -_ _ _ _ -_

District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (3 Year¹)

Level: E

Performance Indicators							Lev	vel: Elementary
School: COYOTE HILLS ELEMENT,	ARY SCHOOL -	0243					District: CHERRY CREEK	(5 - 0130 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	4	4		Exceeds	1142	91.94	95	
Mathematics	4	4		Exceeds	1143	92.39	96	
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	1140	82.72	95	
Science	4	4		Exceeds	393	79.9	95	
Total	16	16	100%	Exceeds				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	694	52	17	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	695	55	22	Yes
Writing	3	4		Meets	693	53	24	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	37	57	-	-
Total	10.5	14	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Mada Adaguat
Acadomic Crowth Cons	Deinte Ferned	Dointe Flisible	« Deinte	Dating	Subgroup	Growth Percentile		Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible		Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	25	57	26	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	186	55	19	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	45	47	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	30	34	27	Yes
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	46	66	62	Yes
Mathematics	14	20	70%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	25	67	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	186	56	25	Yes
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	44	34	47	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	30	66	27	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	33	53	70	No
Writing	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	25	62	31	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	184	56	27	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	44	45	52	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	30	55	36	Yes
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	122	59	48	Yes
Total	43	60	71.7%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	nool Performance Framewo	гк керогс				Tatal Daarik la Dainta nan	F
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Rating Point Value		Total Possible Points per	Framework		
		-			EMH Level	Points		
	· · · · · ·	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			10	CAP		
Academic	 at or above the 90th percentile of 	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	nools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Per	formance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

ichool Plan Type Assignments							
	Plan description						
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of					
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five					
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the					
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.					

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math		Writing			Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading		Math		Writing		Science					
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	Meets 45-59		50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet 1-29		1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1