School Performance Framework 2013

School: LIBERTY MIDDLE SCHOOL - 0018

Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and the 1 Year School Performance Academic Growth implement, based on Framework, Schools overall percent of po official percent of poi guide below to detern to meet test admi assurances will result in

on the r fear school Performance		meets	7 1. 170	
are assigned a plan type based on the oints earned for the official year. The oints earned is matched to the scoring mine the plan type. Additionally, failing		Approaching	56.7%	
	Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
Framework Points Earned				
at or above 59%	TOTAL		68.7%	(
at or above 47% - below 59%	² Schools may not be eligible	for all possible points on an indica	tor due to i	incur

Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

(68.7 out of 100 points)

% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible²

(18.8 out of 25 points)

(35.7 out of 50 points)

(14.2 out of 25 points)

Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed at or above 37% - below 47% from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted. ³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do

75.0%

71.4%

not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving Framework points are calculated using the percentage of multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades). all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

below 37%

Test Participation Rates

Plan Assignment

Priority Improvement

Performance Improvement

Turnaround

		% of Stud	ents Teste	d		Participa	tion Rating	7		Studen	ts Tested			Total S	Students	
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3204	-	3204	-	3224	-	3224
Mathematics	-	99.4%	-	99.4%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3205	-	3205	-	3225	-	3225
Writing	-	99.3%	-	99.3%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	3202	-	3202	-	3224	-	3224
Science	-	99.2%	-	99.2%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1060	-	1060	-	1069	-	1069
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Rating

Meets

Meets

Official plan type based on: 1 Year SPF report

District: CHERRY CREEK 5 - 0130 (3 Year')

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: LIBERTY MIDDLE SCHOO	L - 0018						District: CHERRY CREEK	(5 - 0130 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	3073	78.52	71	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	3073	65.15	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	3072	70.28	78	
Science	3	4		Meets	1028	63.62	79	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	2908	53	22	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	2907	43	53	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	2903	55	35	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	43	71	-	-
Total	10	14	71.4%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Ň	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	13	20	65%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	566	43	38	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1174	53	28	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	250	50	75	No
English Learners	4	4		Exceeds	253	63	37	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	545	50	65	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	565	38	73	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	1174	43	61	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	248	41	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	254	54	64	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	825	45	89	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	564	46	58	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	1171	55	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	248	42	85	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	251	59	53	Yes
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	789	49	76	No
Total	34	60	56.7%	Approaching				

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report										
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide				Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points		
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP				
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16			
Achievement	 below the 90th percentile but at 	or above the 50th percentile of all sci	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25		
	 below the 50th percentile but at 	or above the 15th percentile of all scl	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)			
	 below the 15th percentile of all s 	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1				
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14			
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	 below 65 but at or above 50. 	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English			
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР				
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60			
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25		
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching	2		subgroups in 3			
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)			

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator	Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.				
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	• at or above 47% - below 59%	Improvement	
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or above 37% - below 47%	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assign	chool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	nts Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)											
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		
Exceeds 60-99		70-99	65-99		
Meets 45-59		55-69	50-64		
Approaching	Approaching 30-44		35-49		
Does Not Meet 1-29		1-39	1-34		

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1