School Performance Framework 2013

School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 9431

Level: EM

District: ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOOLS - 0020 (3 Year¹)

Improvement

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category. Academic Growth Test Participation³

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Meets	71.9% (18.0 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Meets	70.8% (35.4 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Meets	70.8% (17.7 out of 25 points)	

Plan AssignmentFramework Points EarnedTOTALPerformanceat or above 59%2Improvementat or above 47% - below 59%2Priority Improvementat or above 37% - below 47%points endTurnaroundbelow 37%3

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

			_
Tect	Partici	pation	Pates
LC3L	וטוע מונועו	valion	

ve 59%	
w 59%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the
w 47%	points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

71.1%

(71.1 out of 100 points)

³ Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

rest Participation																
% of Students Tested					Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.7%	86.4%	-	93.9%	Meets	Does Not Meet	-	Does Not Meet	298	197	-	495	299	228	-	527
Mathematics	99.7%	98.7%	-	99.2%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	298	225	-	523	299	228	-	527
Writing	94.0%	86.0%	-	90.5%	Does Not Meet	Does Not Meet	-	Does Not Meet	280	196	-	476	298	228	-	526
Science	98.9%	100.0%	-	99.3%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	93	46	-	139	94	46	-	140
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Does Not Meet 95% Participation Rate

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 94	431					District: A	DAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO	LS - 0020 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	298	76.17	60	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	298	71.48	53	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	280	48.93	37	
Science	3	4		Meets	93	58.06	70	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	180	48	26	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	180	34	42	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	163	51	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	7	12	58.3%	Approaching	-			
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	30	47	34	Yes
Students with Disabilities	4	4		Exceeds	21	63	54	Yes
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	35	59	67	No
Mathematics	3	12	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	30	27	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	21	30	54	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	41	38	76	No
Writing	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	26	51	41	Yes
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	21	50	75	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	4	4		Exceeds	78	61	61	Yes
Total	22	36	61.1%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: WESTGATE CHARTER - 94	431					District:	ADAMS 12 FIVE STAR SCHOO)LS - 0020 (3 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	197	79.7	74	
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	225	65.33	77	
Writing	3	4		Meets	196	68.37	75	
Science	3	4		Meets	46	69.57	86	
Total	12	16	75%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	185	52	19	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	211	51	48	Yes
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	184	60	40	Yes
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
				D <i>i</i> i	Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	<u>N</u>	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	12	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	38	65	23	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	23	58	66	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20		-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	40	53	69	No
Mathematics	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	42	62	45	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	22	60	88	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	61	57	89	No
Writing	10	12	83.3%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	4	4		Exceeds	38	70	43	Yes
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	23	65	87	No
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	64	66	78	No
Total	29	36	80.6%	Meets				

Scoring Guide for Per	formance Indicators on the So	noot Performance Framewo	огк керогс					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per	Framework
							EMH Level	Points
	The school's percentage of students	scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet		1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment						
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assigr	ichool Plan Type Assignments									
	Plan description									
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of								
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five								
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the								
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.								

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading				Math		Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1