School Performance Framework 2013

School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMY - 0263

Level: EMH

District: MAPLETON 1 - 0010 (3 Year')

n	า	n	r		v	ρ	m	16	rد	h	Ł
		μ		U	v	C		14			L

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 1 Year School Performance / Framework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

	Framework Points Earned	Plan Assignment
Test	at or above 60%	Performance
ó	at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
и тот	at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
2 [°] Scho	below 33%	Turnaround

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	25.0%	(3.8 out of 15 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	(20.0 out of 35 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	53.6%	(8.0 out of 15 points)	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Does Not Meet	35.0%	(12.3 out of 35 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			
TOTAL		44.1%	(44.1 out of 100 points)	

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

²Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating			Students Tested				Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.3%	98.4%	95.9%	97.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	265	379	280	924	267	385	292	944
Mathematics	99.6%	99.5%	97.3%	98.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	267	382	284	933	268	384	292	944
Writing	99.3%	98.4%	95.5%	97.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	266	379	279	924	268	385	292	945
Science	98.9%	96.1%	97.5%	97.3%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	92	147	115	354	93	153	118	364
Colorado ACT	-	-	98.7%	98.7%	-	-	Meets	Meets	-	-	77	77	-	-	78	78

Performance Indicators								el: Elementary
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP AC	ADEMY - 0263						District: MAPLETON	<u>1 - 0010 (3 Year</u>
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	255	39.22	7	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	257	43.97	9	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	255	27.06	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	90	10	5	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	148	47	53	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	152	43	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	148	43	56	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1	2		Approaching	272	41	-	-
Total	7	14	50%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	127	47	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	137	47	53	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	115	47	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	88	46	69	No
Mathematics	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	131	44	65	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	141	42	66	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	_	-	-
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	119	44	66	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	84	42	82	No
Writing	8	16	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	127	43	55	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	137	43	56	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		_	N<20	_	-	_
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	115	44	53	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	106	43	68	No
Total	24	48	50%	Approaching				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP AC	ADEMY - 0263						District: MAPLETON	1 - 0010 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	. · ·
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	358	26.82	1	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	360	17.5	3	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	358	21.51	4	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	137	7.3	1	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	339	45	68	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	340	48	94	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	339	51	81	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	152	53	-	-
Total	7.5	14	53.6%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	9	20	45%	Approaching		Giowannencentale	<i>Growin creenine</i>	Growin
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	40/0	Approaching	287	45	69	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	309	45	68	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	303	31	90	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	234	51	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	243	46	75	No
Mathematics	11	20	55%	Approaching	245		75	110
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	33%	Approaching	288	50	95	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	310	49	94	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	30	55	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	236	55	95	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	250	49	98	No
Writing	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	30%	Approaching	287	50	81	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	309	50	81	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	30	43	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	234	54	81	No
English Eculiers	<u> </u>				237	J-1	01	110
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	260	52	87	No

Performance Indicators								Level: High
School: GLOBAL LEADERSHIP ACADE	MY - 0263						District: MAPLETON 1	- 0010 (3 Year)
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	253	35.97	4	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	258	8.14	9	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	252	21.83	8	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	106	14.15	4	
Total	4	16	25%	Does Not Meet				
						Median Growth	Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	224	61	82	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	225	51	99	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	225	55	96	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	154	55	-	-
Total	9.5	14	67.9%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	15	20	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	187	60	82	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	205	61	82	No
Students with Disabilities	3	4		Meets	24	66	98	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	149	64	88	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	153	61	94	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	188	53	99	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	206	52	99	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4	1	Does Not Meet	23	39	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	151	52	99	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4	Ū.	Approaching	193	51	99	No
Writing	12	20	60%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4	00%	Approaching	188		95	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	206	55	95	No
Students with Disabilities		4		Does Not Meet	200	37	99	No
English Learners	3	4		Meets	149	56	97	No
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	176	56	99	No
Total	36	60	60%	Approaching	170			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/ <i>5yr</i> /6yr/7yr	1	4		Does Not Meet	1	08/ 86 /55/23	54.6/ <i>61.6</i> /58.2/56.5%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	1.25	3	41.7%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0.5	1		Approaching	8	I/ 65 /40/N<16	60.5/ <i>66.2</i> /62.5/-%	80%
Minority Students	0.25	1		Does Not Meet		94/ 72 /43/17	55.3/ <i>63.9</i> /60.5/52.9%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-		N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0.5	1		Approaching		4/ 49 /29/N<16	62.5/ <i>69.4</i> /62.1/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	2	4		Approaching		628	6.1%	3.9%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	1	4		Does Not Meet		77	15.2	20.1
Total	5.25	15	35%	Does Not Meet				
10(4)	5.23		55%					

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

Graduation Rates

Graduation and Disaggregated Graduation Rates

The School Performance Framework reports use the 4-, 5-, 6- and 7-year graduation rates for the school and disaggregated student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities and English learners).

Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:

Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.2	56.5	56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year	2010	48.6	57.6	59.4	
of Graduation	2011	54.8	70		
	2012	68.4			

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	52.2	56.5	56.5	56.5
Anticipated Year	2010	48.6	57.6	59.4	
of Graduation	2011	54.8	70		
	2012	68.4			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

_		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	50	58.3	61.5	
of Graduation	2011	61.5	74.1		
	2012	75			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.1	52.9	52.9	52.9
Anticipated Year	2010	51.7	63	65.4	
of Graduation	2011	55.2	71.4		
	2012	68.4			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	52.6	57.9	57.9	
of Graduation	2011	60	80		
	2012	N<16			
	2012	N<16			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

Aggregated

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	50	58.3	61.5	
of Graduation	2011	61.5	74.1		
	2012	75			
	Aggregated	60.5	66.2	62.5	N<16

54.6

61.6

58.2

56.5

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	47.1	52.9	52.9	52.9
Anticipated Year	2010	51.7	63	65.4	
of Graduation	2011	55.2	71.4		
	2012	68.4			
	Aggregated	55.3	63.9	60.5	52.9

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	N<16	N<16	N<16	
of Graduation	2011	N<16	N<16		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2009	N<16 N<16		N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year	2010	52.6	57.9	57.9	
of Graduation	2011	60	80		
	2012	N<16			
	Aggregated	62.5	69.4	62.1	N<16

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2012 4year graduation rate, 2011 5-year graduation rate, 2010 6-year graduation rate and 2009 7year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009, 2010 and 2011 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2009 and 2010 6-year graduation rate, or 2009 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized here and on the Performance Indicators detail page.

Scoring Guide for Perf	ormance Indicators on the So	hool Performance Framewo	rk Report					
Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide			Rating	Point Value		Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			TCAP			
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile of	of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sch	ools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	15
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sch	ools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	schools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet		1		
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	35
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	 below 50 but at or above 35. 	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			Т	CAP		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	 below 60 but at or above 45. 	 below 70 but at or above 55. 		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	15
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	 below 55 but at or above 40. 		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	
	<i>Graduation Rate and Disaggregated rate was:</i>	Graduation Rate: The school's gradua	tion rate/disaggregated graduation		Overall	Disaggr.		
	• at or above 90%.			Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.			Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.			Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.			Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout	t rate was:		-			16	
Postsecondary and	• at or below 1%.			Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
Workforce Readiness	• at or below the state average but	t above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).		Meets		3	indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the st	ate average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• above 10%.			Does Not Meet		1		
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: Th	e school's average Colorado ACT comp	posite score was:					
	• at or above 22.			Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).					3		
		te average (using 2009-10 baseline).		Approaching		2		
	• below 17.			Does Not Meet		1		

Cut-Points for Each Perfor	mance Indicator	Cut-Points for Plan Type Assignment				
Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator.				Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.		
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 60%	Performance	
Growth; Growth Gaps;	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 60% 	Improvement	
Postsecondary Readiness	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 33% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement	
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 33%	Turnaround	

School Plan Type Assigr	School Plan Type Assignments								
	Plan description								
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of							
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five							
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the							
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.							

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing		Science			
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
from Lectura and Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students	Proficien	t or Adv	anced by	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	3-year a	ggregate	(2008-1	0 baseli	ne)	
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from			Reading			Math			Writing			Science	
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	Meets 45-59		50-64
Approaching	Approaching 30-44		35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1