School Performance Framework 2013

School: CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCHOOL - 1400

Level: EM

District: DENVER COUNTY 1 - 0880 (3 Year¹)

	Turnaround	(Revised)
--	------------	-----------

Will enter Year 2* of Priority Improvement or Turnaround

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement, based on the 3 Year School Performance AFramework. Schools are assigned a plan type based on the – overall percent of points earned for the official year. The official percent of points earned is matched to the scoring guide below to determine the plan type. Additionally, failing – to meet test administration and/or test participation assurances will result in a lower plan type category.

to meet test administratio assurances will result in a lowe	n and/or test participation r plan type category.	Test Participation ³
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	
Performance	at or above 59%	TOTAL
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	² Schools may not be eli
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%	from the points eligible
Turnaround	below 37%	³ Schools do not receive

ŀ

Performance Indicators	Rating	% of Points	s Earned out of Points Eligible ²	
Academic Achievement	Does Not Meet	31.3%	(7.8 out of 25 points)	
Academic Growth	Approaching	44.6%	(22.3 out of 50 points)	
Academic Growth Gaps	Does Not Meet	36.7%	(9.2 out of 25 points)	
Test Participation ³	Meets 95% Participation Rate			

%	101AL 39.3%	(39.3 out of 100 points)		
%	² Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to i	nsufficient numbers of students.	n these cases, the points are removed	
%	from the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.			

³Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan type category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

* on July 1, 2014

Test Participation Rates

		% of Studer	nts Tested			Participation Rating			Students Tested					Total Students				
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall		
Reading	99.8%	99.6%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	514	449	-	963	515	451	-	966		
Mathematics	100.0%	99.6%	-	99.8%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	512	449	-	961	512	451	-	963		
Writing	99.2%	99.6%	-	99.4%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	510	449	-	959	514	451	-	965		
Science	100.0%	99.3%	-	99.7%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	181	151	-	332	181	152	-	333		
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Performance Indicators							Lev	el: Elementary
School: CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCHO	DOL - 1400						District: DENVER COUNTY	1 - 0880 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	493	45.64	11	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	490	46.33	12	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	490	35.92	18	
Science	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	17.82	12	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	307	30	44	No
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	307	32	60	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	305	32	50	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	1.5	2		Meets	149	54	-	-
Total	4.5	14	32.1%	Does Not Meet				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequat
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N N	Growth Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet		- I		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	250	29	46	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	266	29	45	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	52	30	82	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	64	31	44	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	151	30	68	No
Mathematics	6	20	30%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	250	31	62	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	267	31	61	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	53	21	83	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	65	40	58	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	143	27	79	No
Writing	5	20	25%	Does Not Meet				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	249	32	54	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	264	32	52	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	51	23	84	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	65	35	49	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	194	31	67	No
Total	16	60	26.7%	Does Not Meet				

Performance Indicators								Level: Middle
School: CENTENNIAL ECE-8 SCHO	DOL - 1400						District: DENVER COUNTY	[°] 1 - 0880 (3 Year
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	48.97	13	
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	28.05	13	
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	435	34.48	13	
Science	2	4		Approaching	144	25.69	15	
Total	5	16	31.3%	Does Not Meet				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	424	48	52	No
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	424	45	86	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	423	49	71	No
English Language Proficiency (ACCESS)	2	2		Exceeds	53	68	-	-
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequat Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	348	48	57	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	376	47	52	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	59	42	87	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	85	50	54	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	227	47	71	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	349	41	87	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	375	44	86	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	59	35	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	84	41	85	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	286	46	95	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	347	49	72	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	376	50	71	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	59	36	93	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	85	53	70	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	273	54	80	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				

Performance Indicator	formance Indicators on the So Scoring Guide			Rating	Poin	t Value	Total Possible Points per EMH Level	Framework Points
	The school's percentage of students	s scoring proficient or advanced was:			T	CAP		
Academic	• at or above the 90th percentile c	f all schools (using 2009-10 baseline)		Exceeds		4	16	
Achievement	below the 90th percentile but at	or above the 50th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets		3	(4 for each	25
	below the 50th percentile but at	or above the 15th percentile of all sc	hools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching		2	content area)	
	below the 15th percentile of all s	chools (using 2009-10 baseline).		Does Not Meet	1			
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP		TCAP	ACCESS		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.	• at or above 65.	Exceeds	4	2	14	
Growth	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.	• below 65 but at or above 50.	Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each subject	50
	 below 45 but at or above 30. 	• below 55 but at or above 40.	• below 50 but at or above 35.	Approaching	2	1	area and 2 for English	
	• below 30.	• below 40.	• below 35.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	language proficiency)	
	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP			T	САР		
Academic	• at or above 60.	• at or above 70.		Exceeds		4	60	
Growth Gaps	• below 60 but at or above 45.	• below 70 but at or above 55.		Meets		3	(4 for each of 5	25
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	• below 55 but at or above 40.		Approaching		2	subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	• below 40.		Does Not Meet		1	subject areas)	

Cut-Points for Each Perf	ormance Indicator		Cut-Points	for Plan Type Assignment				
	Cut Point: The school earned of the points eligible on this Indicator		Cut Point: The school earned of the total framework points eligible.					
Achievement;	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds	Total	• at or above 59%	Performance			
Growth; Growth Gaps	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Framework	 at or above 47% - below 59% 	Improvement			
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	 at or above 37% - below 47% 	Priority Improvement			
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet		• below 37%	Turnaround			

School Plan Type Assigr	nments	
	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type category for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a greater number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it earned a higher total percent of points. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students	Proficier	t or Adv	anced b	y Percen	tile Cut-	Points -	1-year (2	2009-10	baseline)		
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's			Reading		Math			Writing			Science		
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.96	30.95	19.67	23.85	27.50
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)												
Data for all indicators are compared to baselines from		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
the first year the performance framework reports were		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
released.	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
	50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.63	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
	90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45
Andomia Crowth and Anodomia Crowth Cons									n dame an				

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (ACCESS) score history, and 2) criterion referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. The median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth (AGP). For 2012-13, Adequate Growth cannot be calculated for English language proficiency by the median growth percentile.

	Made AGP	Did Not Make AGP	No AGP
Exceeds	60-99	70-99	65-99
Meets	45-59	55-69	50-64
Approaching	30-44	40-54	35-49
Does Not Meet	1-29	1-39	1-34

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator disaggregates the results of the Academic Growth Indicator, measuring the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners) and students needing to catch up.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates, dropout rates, and mean Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Mean Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Mean COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1