

# **Notice of Coding Error**

A coding error by the Charter School Institute resulted in the calculation and publication of inaccurate figures across CSI schools. In working closely with the Colorado Department of Education, as well as the testing vendor and the Center for Assessment, the Institute generated the corrected figures and framework reports for each school. These revised reports can be found at the CSI website via the following link: www.csi.state.co.us

School: CAPROCK ACADEMY - 1279

Level: EMH

District: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITUTE - 8001 (1 Year¹)

# **Performance**

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>	
Academic Achievement	Meets	66.7%	( 10.0 out of 15 points )	
Academic Growth	Meets	69.4%	( 24.3 out of 35 points )	
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	60.4%	( 9.1 out of 15 points )	
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Meets	75.0%	( 26.3 out of 35 points )	
Test Participation <sup>3</sup>	Meets 95% Participation Rate		-	
TOTAL		69.7%	( 69.7 out of 100 points )	

<sup>2</sup>Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates	s															
	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested					Total Students		
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.0%	99.3%	95.3%	98.7%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	191	138	41	370	193	139	43	375
Mathematics	99.5%	100.0%	95.3%	99.2%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	192	139	41	372	193	139	43	375
Writing	99.5%	99.3%	95.3%	98.9%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	192	138	41	371	193	139	43	375
Science	98.2%	100.0%	88.9%	97.1%	Meets	Meets	-	Meets	55	28	16	99	56	28	18	102
Colorado ACT	-	-	100.0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	6	-





Performance Indicators							Level: E	lementary Scho
School: CAPROCK ACADEMY						Dis	trict: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTIT	UTE - 8001 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	185	72.97	53	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	186	57.53	24	
Writing	3	4		Meets	186	55.38	53	
Science	2	4		Approaching	54	40.74	39	
Total	10	16	62.5%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	99	52	27	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	99	46	58	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	100	45	38	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	22	38	36	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	=	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	24	59	60	No
Mathematics	3	8	37.5%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	29	54	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	40	42	79	No
Writing	4	8	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	22	39	48	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	<del>-</del>	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	37	55	61	No
oradents needing to eaten up								

2

Performance Indicators								: Middle Scho
School: CAPROCK ACADEMY							<b>District: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITU</b>	ITE - 8001 (1 Yea
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	3	4		Meets	134	76.12	59	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	135	48.89	44	
Writing	3	4		Meets	134	70.15	75	
Science	3	4		Meets	27	51.85	56	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	128	54	25	Yes
Mathematics	2	4	-	Approaching	129	52	72	No
Writing	3	4		Meets	128	58	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	8	12	66.7%	Meets				
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	6	8	75%	Meets				0.00.000
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4	70,0	Meets	26	52	22	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	=	=	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4	-1	Meets	24	56	61	No
Mathematics .	5	8	62.5%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	26	57	65	No
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	<del>-</del>	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	<del>-</del>	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	55	51	88	No
	6	8	75%	Meets				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	4	4		Exceeds	26	72	41	Yes
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0	- 1	-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4	-1	Approaching	39	48	81	No
Total	17	24	70.8%	Meets				

3

Performance Indicators							Le	vel: High Schoo
School: CAPROCK ACADEMY						Distr	ict: CHARTER SCHOOL INSTITU	
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	712 0002 (2 100.
Reading	3	4	70101110	Meets	40	77.5	67	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	40	30	43	
Writing	3	4		Meets	40	62.5	78	
Science	3	4		Meets	16	50	50	
Total	11	16	68.8%	Meets				
		5 · · -: · · · ·	~ 5	- ··			Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N as	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	36	49	11	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	36	45	94	No
Writing	4	4		Exceeds	36	61	43	Yes
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)			750/	-				
Total	9	12	75%	Meets				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	0	0	 %	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Mathematics	0	0	%	-				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Writing	0	0	%	-		·		
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Minority Students	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	0	0	%	-				
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating		N	Rate/Score	Expectation
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/6yr/7yr						-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate			%		1		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Minority Students				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Students with Disabilities				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
English Learners				-		-/-/-	-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	3	4		Meets		48	2.1%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	0	0		-		N<16	-	20.0
Total	3	4	75%	Meets				

Scoring Guide Level: EMH

formanco India	catorScoring Guid	cators on the School Performance Frame					Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Level	Framowork Do
jorniunce maic							Kuting	Point	vuiue	rotai rossible per Elvin Level	riumework PO
		ercentage of students scoring proficient or advar				ı	E d .		4	16	
A a a da unia		the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-1		anceline)			Exceeds		3	16	15
Academic		90th percentile but at or above the 50th percen					Meets			(4 for each	15
Achievement		50th percentile but at or above the 15th percen		oaseiine).			Approaching		2	content area)	
		15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 bas		.1			Does Not Meet		1		
		eets the median adequate student growth perce	entile and its median student grov	vtn percentiie was:			E	TCAP	CELA	1.4	
	• at or abov						Exceeds	4	2	14	
		out at or above 45.					Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each	
<b>A !</b> • .		out at or above 30.					Approaching	2	1	content area	25
Academic	• below 30.						Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	35
Growth		oes not meet the median adequate student grow	th percentile and its median stud	ent growth percentile was:	:	ĭ		TCAP	CELA	English	
	• at or abov						Exceeds	4	2	language	
		out at or above 55.					Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)	
		out at or above 40.					Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.						Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
		subgroup meets the median adequate student gi	rowth percentile and its median st	tudent growth percentile w	vas:						
	• at or abov						Exceeds		4		
		out at or above 45.					Meets		3		
		out at or above 30.					Approaching		2	60	
Academic	• below 30.						Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5	
Growth Gaps	If the student :	ubgroup does not meet the median adequate st	udent growth percentile and its n	nedian student growth pero	centile	e was:				subgroups in 3	15
	at or abov						Exceeds		4	subject areas)	
		out at or above 55.					Meets		3		
	• below 55 l	out at or above 40.					Approaching		2		
	• below 40.					[	Does Not Meet		1		
	Graduation I	Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate:	The school's graduation rate/	<sup></sup> disaggregated graduat	tion r	ate was:		Overall	Disaggr.		
	at or abov	e 90%.					Exceeds	4	1		
	at or abov	e 80% but below 90%.					Meets	3	0.75		
	at or abov	e 65% but below 80%.					Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%	).				[	Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rat	e: The school's dropout rate was:								16	
ostsecondary a	nd • at or belov	v 1%.				Ĭ	Exceeds		4	(4 for each sub-	35
orkforce Readir		v the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10	) haseline)				Meets		3	indicator)	
orkioice neadii		v 10% but above the state average (using 2009-					Approaching		2	indicator,	
	• above 10%		to baseline).				Does Not Meet		1		
		 T Composite Score: The school's average C	alarada ACT camposita scara	wac:			DOCS NOT WICE		1		
	• at or abov	, -	biorduo ACT composite score	wus.		T	Evenede	l	4	-	
		e the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10	hasalina)				Exceeds Meets		3	1	
		e 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Approaching		2	1	
	• below 17.	e 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10	baseline).				Does Not Meet		1		
									1		
-Points for eacl	h performance in	dicator		Cut-Points for pla	n typ	oe assign	ment				
	Cut Point: The so	hool earned of the points eligible on th	is Indicator.		C	Cut Point	: The school e	earned	of the to	tal Framework points eligible	) <b>.</b>
chievement;	• at or above 87.	5%	Exceeds			• at or al	ove 60%				Performance
rowth; Gaps	at or above 62.	5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framewor	rk	• at or al	ove 47% - belo	w 60%			Improvement
	at or above 37.	5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points		• at or al	ove 33% - belo	ow 47%		Pr	ority Improveme
	• below 37.5%		Does Not Meet			• below 3	33%				Turnaround
ool plan type a											
		description									
formance Dis-			formance Plan	A sahaal marria at it	.ma e := '	n Delis	Imamenus	and /= - T		lan far langurther	tal of
formance Plan		chool is required to adopt and implement a Per		⊣ : :						lan for longer than a combined to	lai Oī
provement Plar		chool is required to adopt and implement an Im	•	<b>-</b>						ture or close the school. The five	
	nent Plan The s	chool is required to adopt and implement a Price								iately following the fall in which th	_

5

SPF 2012 - 8001 - 1279

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

#### **Academic Achievement**

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	


# Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

		Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00	
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45	

### Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

6



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

SPF 2012 - 8001 - 1279

## **Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness**

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

#### State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

#### Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

#### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

#### **English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)**

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				

#### State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

# This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

# Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

#### Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

### Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

## English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

7

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008				
Anticipated Year	2009				
of Graduation	2010				
	2011				
	Aggregated				

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.