# School Performance Framework 2012

#### School: FORT LUPTON MIDDLE SCHOOL 2000

District: WELD COUNTY S/D RE-8 - 3140 (3 Year<sup>1</sup>)

Level: N

School: FORT LUPTON MIDDLE SCHOOL - 3066					District:	WELD COUNTY S/D RE-8 - 3140 (3 Year							
		Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points	Earned out of Points Eligible <sup>2</sup>								
Impr	ovement	Academic Achievement	Approaching	50.0%	( 12.5 out of 25 points )								
implement. Schools are	school is required to adopt and assigned a plan based on their e, which is a percentage of the	Academic Growth	Approaching	57.1%	( 28.6 out of 50 points )								
in each performance ind	otal points they earned out of the total points eligible n each performance indicator. The overall score is ther natched to the score ranges below to determine the		Approaching	46.7%	( 11.7 out of 25 points )								
plan type.		<b>—</b> . <b>—</b> . <b>.</b> . 3											
Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned	Test Participation	Meets 95% Participation Rate										
Performance	at or above 59%												
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 59%	TOTAL		52.8%	( 52.8 out of 100 points )								
Priority Improvement	at or above 37% - below 47%												
Turnaround			<sup>2</sup> Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from										
		both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.											

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 25 points for Academic Achievement, 50 for Academic Growth, and 25 for Academic Growth Gaps.

Improving

Academic

Achievement

SCHOOLVIeW.org

<sup>3</sup>Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

## **Test Participation Rates**

	% of Students Tested					Participation Rating				Student	ts Tested		Total Students			
Content Area	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	-	98.9%	-	98.9%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1495	-	1495	-	1511	-	1511
Mathematics	-	99.1%	-	99.1%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1497	-	1497	-	1510	-	1510
Writing	-	98.8%	-	98.8%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	1494	-	1494	-	1512	-	1512
Science	-	98.5%	-	98.5%	-	Meets	-	Meets	-	468	-	468	-	475	-	475
Colorado ACT	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Final plan type based on: 3 Year SPF report.

Performance Indicators							Leve	el: Middle Schoo
School: FORT LUPTON MIDDLE SC	CHOOL						District: WELD COUNTY S/D	RE-8 - 3140 (3 Yeaı
Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile	
Reading	2	4		Approaching	1427	52.77	17	
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1430	43.71	32	
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1426	41.65	20	
Science	2	4		Approaching	455	29.67	19	
Total	8	16	50%	Approaching				
							Median Adequate Growth	Made Adequate
Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Percentile	Growth?
Reading	3	4		Meets	1365	46	44	Yes
Mathematics	2	4		Approaching	1368	41	73	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	1363	46	66	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	1	2		Approaching	341	49	52	No
Total	8	14	57.1%	Approaching				
					Subgroup	Subgroup Median Growth	Subgroup Median Adequate	Made Adequate
Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Percentile	Growth Percentile	Growth?
Reading	10	20	50%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	922	45	53	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	973	45	52	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	62	43	92	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	566	45	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	627	47	71	No
Mathematics	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	926	40	79	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	975	40	76	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	60	33	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	565	41	81	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	652	44	95	No
Writing	9	20	45%	Approaching				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	920	46	75	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	972	46	71	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	39	96	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	566	47	76	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	749	46	84	No
Total	28	60	46.7%	Approaching				

coring Guide										Level: N			
coring Guide for	Performance	e Indicators on the School Performance Fran	nework Report										
erformance Indi	cator Scoring	Guide	·			Rating	Point	Value	Total Possible per EMH Lev	elFramework Poin			
	The scho	ol's percentage of students scoring proficient or adv	vanced was:	5									
		above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 200				Exceeds	I .	4	16				
Academic	• belo	w the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	) baseline).		Meets		3	(4 for each	25			
Achievemen	t • belo	w the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th perc	entile of all schools (using 2009-10	Approaching		2	content area)						
	• belo	w the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 l	paseline).	Does Not Meet		1	1 1						
		nool meets the median adequate student growth pe		TCAP	CELA								
	• at or	above 60.		Exceeds	4	2	14						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.				Meets	3	1.5	(4 for each				
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2	1	content area					
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet	1	0.5	and 2 for	50			
Growth	If the sch	nool does not meet the median adequate student gr	owth percentile and its median stu	ident growth percentile w	vas:		TCAP		English				
	• at or	above 70.	•		1	Exceeds	4	2	language				
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.				Meets	3	1.5	proficiency)				
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching	2	1						
	• belo	w 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	-							
	If the stu	dent subgroup meets the median adequate student											
	• at or	above 60.			Exceeds		4						
	• belo	w 60 but at or above 45.			Meets		3						
	• belo	w 45 but at or above 30.			Approaching	2		60					
Academic	• belo	w 30.				Does Not Meet		1	(4 for each of 5				
Growth Gaps	s If the stu	dent subgroup does not meet the median adequate	student growth percentile and its				subgroups in 3	25					
-	• at or	above 70.		Exceeds	4		subject areas)						
	• belo	w 70 but at or above 55.			Meets		3	1					
	• belo	w 55 but at or above 40.			Approaching		2	-					
	• belo	w 40.		Does Not Meet		1	-						
-Points for eac	ch performan	ice indicator		Cut-Points for plan	type assign	ment							
	Cut Point: Tl	ne school earned of the points eligible on	this Indicator.		Cut Point: T	he school ear	rned	of the	total Framework points elig	ible.			
chievement;	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 87.5%	Exceeds		• at or abov	/e 59%				Performance			
rowth; Gaps	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets	Total Framework	• at or abov	/e 47% - below	59%			Improvement			
	<ul> <li>at or abov</li> </ul>	e 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching	Points	• at or abov	/e 37% - below	47%			Priority Improvemen			
below 37.5%     Does Not Meet		• below 37%						Turnaround					
ool plan type	assignments												
		Plan description											
formance Plar	ו <u>ו</u>	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pe	rformance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of									
provement Pla	n	The school is required to adopt and implement an li	mprovement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five									
ority Improver	nent Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Pr	iority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the									
									· · · · ·				

Turnaround Plan

The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.

school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

# Reference

## 1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

# **Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators**

### Academic Achievement

	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)													
The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's		Reading			Math			Writing						
proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286	
	15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50	
	50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00	
Escritura.	90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41	
	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)													
All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first		Reading			Math			Writing			Science			
year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).		Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	
	N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347	
	15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93	

71.35

87.40

72.21

86.17

70.11

87.48

51.53

74.41

30.53

52.19

54.84

76.51

58.34

79.17

49.57

71.00

48.72

71.26

45.36

72.65

50.00

71.45

## Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

72.05

88.21

50th percentile

90th percentile



The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.