Performance

This is the plan type the school is required to adopt and implement. Schools are assigned a plan based on their overall framework score, which is a percentage of the total points they earned out of the total points eligible in each performance indicator. The overall score is then matched to the score ranges below to determine the plan type.

Plan Assignment	Framework Points Earned
Performance	at or above 60%
Improvement	at or above 47% - below 60%
Priority Improvement	at or above 33% - below 47%
Turnaround	below 33%

Framework points are calculated using the percentage of points earned out of points eligible. For schools with data on all indicators, the total points possible are: 15 points for Academic Achievement, 35 for Academic Growth, 15 for Academic Growth Gaps, and 35 for Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness.

Performance Indicators	Rating/Plan	% of Points Earned out of Points Eligible[2]	
Academic Achievement	Approaching	58.3%	(8.7 out of 15 points)
Academic Growth	Approaching	52.8%	(18.5 out of 35 points)
Academic Growth Gaps	Approaching	44.7%	(6.7 out of 15 points)
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Exceeds	92.9%	(32.5 out of 35 points)
Test Participation[3]	Meets 95% Participation Rate		
TOTAL		66.4%	(66.4 out of 100 points)

[2]Schools may not be eligible for all possible points on an indicator due to insufficient numbers of students. In these cases, the points are removed from both the points earned and the points eligible, so scores are not negatively impacted.

[3]Schools do not receive points for test participation. However, schools are assigned one plan category lower than their points indicate if they do not (1) meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area (reading, writing, math, science and COACT), or (2) for schools serving multiple levels (elementary, middle and high school grades, e.g., a 6-12 school), meet at least a 95% participation rate in all or all but one content area when individual content area rates are rolled up across school levels (elementary, middle and high school grades).

Test Participation Rates

Content Area	% of Students Tested				Participation Rating				Students Tested				Total Students			
	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall	Elem	Middle	High	Overall
Reading	99.2%	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	253	353	236	842	255	353	236	844
Mathematics	99.6%	99.7%	100.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	254	352	236	842	255	353	236	844
Writing	99.6%	99.7%	100.0%	99.8%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	254	352	236	842	255	353	236	844
Science	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Meets	Meets	Meets	Meets	99	114	109	322	99	114	109	322
Colorado ACT	-	-	99.1%	-	-	-	Meets	-	-	-	105	-	-	-	106	-

School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS   **District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (1 Year)**

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	253	71.54	49
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	254	71.26	50
Writing	2	4		Approaching	253	43.48	30
Science	2	4		Approaching	99	40.4	39
Total	**9**	**16**	**56.3%**	**Approaching**			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	172	38	25	Yes
Mathematics	1	4		Does Not Meet	174	36	44	No
Writing	1	4		Does Not Meet	173	30	43	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	**4**	**12**	**33.3%**	**Does Not Meet**				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	**5**	**12**	**41.7%**	**Approaching**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	45	33	25	Yes
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	59	40	36	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	43	39	63	No
Mathematics	**4**	**12**	**33.3%**	**Does Not Meet**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	36	53	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	62	37	57	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	40	43	79	No
Writing	**3**	**12**	**25%**	**Does Not Meet**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	46	23	48	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	61	35	56	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	78	31	63	No
Total	**12**	**36**	**33.3%**	**Does Not Meet**				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

SPF 2012 - 3120 - 2850

Academic Achievement	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	% Proficient/Advanced	School's Percentile
Reading	2	4		Approaching	353	68.84	42
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	352	56.53	59
Writing	2	4		Approaching	352	54.55	41
Science	2	4		Approaching	114	34.21	26
Total	**9**	**16**	**56.3%**	**Approaching**			

Academic Growth	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	N	Median Growth Percentile	Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	2	4		Approaching	342	42	29	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	341	56	65	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	341	43	48	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	**7**	**12**	**58.3%**	**Approaching**				

Academic Growth Gaps	Points Earned	Points Eligible	% Points	Rating	Subgroup N	Subgroup Median Growth Percentile	Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile	Made Adequate Growth?
Reading	**7**	**20**	**35%**	**Does Not Meet**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	95	31	38	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	116	36	37	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	51	93	No
English Learners	1	4		Does Not Meet	31	29	61	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	100	42	64	No
Mathematics	**10**	**20**	**50%**	**Approaching**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	95	52	78	No
Minority Students	2	4		Approaching	116	54	80	No
Students with Disabilities	2	4		Approaching	27	48	99	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	44	94	No
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	139	54	92	No
Writing	**6**	**20**	**30%**	**Does Not Meet**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	4		Does Not Meet	94	34	61	No
Minority Students	1	4		Does Not Meet	115	39	60	No
Students with Disabilities	1	4		Does Not Meet	27	32	95	No
English Learners	2	4		Approaching	31	46	73	No
Students needing to catch up	1	4		Does Not Meet	135	36	80	No
Total	**23**	**60**	**38.3%**	**Approaching**				

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

School: UNIVERSITY SCHOOLS   **District: GREELEY 6 - 3120 (1 Year)**

Academic Achievement	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*N*	*% Proficient/Advanced*	*School's Percentile*
Reading	2	4		Approaching	234	70.94	42
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	234	34.19	51
Writing	3	4		Meets	234	50	50
Science	2	4		Approaching	107	49.53	48
Total	**10**	**16**	**62.5%**	**Meets**			

Academic Growth	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*N*	*Median Growth Percentile*	*Median Adequate Growth Percentile*	*Made Adequate Growth?*
Reading	3	4		Meets	220	50	18	Yes
Mathematics	3	4		Meets	224	58	92	No
Writing	2	4		Approaching	220	48	50	No
English Language Proficiency (CELApro)	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Total	**8**	**12**	**66.7%**	**Meets**				

Academic Growth Gaps	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*Subgroup N*	*Subgroup Median Growth Percentile*	*Subgroup Median Adequate Growth Percentile*	*Made Adequate Growth?*
Reading	**8**	**12**	**66.7%**	**Meets**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	48	49	40	Yes
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	71	57	29	Yes
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	68	44	71	No
Mathematics	**9**	**12**	**75%**	**Meets**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	3	4		Meets	49	62	99	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	73	58	99	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	3	4		Meets	126	56	99	No
Writing	**7**	**12**	**58.3%**	**Approaching**				
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	2	4		Approaching	48	50	78	No
Minority Students	3	4		Meets	71	55	68	No
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
English Learners	0	0		-	N<20	-	-	-
Students needing to catch up	2	4		Approaching	103	49	87	No
Total	**24**	**36**	**66.7%**	**Meets**				

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	*Points Earned*	*Points Eligible*	*% Points*	*Rating*	*N*	*Rate/Score*	*Expectation*
Graduation Rate: 4yr/5yr/*6yr*/7yr	4	4		Exceeds	90/88/***100***/95	92.2/87.5/***93***/92.6%	80%
Disaggregated Graduation Rate	2	2	100%	Exceeds			
Free/Reduced Lunch Eligible	1	1		Exceeds	16/30/27/***18***	87.5/76.7/92.6/***100***%	80%
Minority Students	1	1		Exceeds	20/28/28/***22***	80/82.1/92.9/***95.5***%	80%
Students with Disabilities	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
English Learners	0	0		-	N<16/N<16/N<16/N<16	-/-/-/-%	80%
Dropout Rate	4	4		Exceeds	480	0.2%	3.6%
Colorado ACT Composite Score	3	4		Meets	105	20.2	20.0
Total	**13**	**14**	**92.9%**	**Exceeds**			

Counts and ratings are not reported for metrics when the school does not meet the minimum student counts required for reportable data.

SPF 2012 - 3120 - 2850

Scoring Guide for Performance Indicators on the School Performance Framework Report

Performance Indicator	Scoring Guide	Rating	Point Value		Total Possible per EMH Level	Framework Points
Academic Achievement	The school's percentage of students scoring proficient or advanced was:					
	• at or above the 90th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Exceeds	4		16	15
	• below the 90th percentile but at or above the 50th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		(4 for each	
	• below the 50th percentile but at or above the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2		content area)	
	• below the 15th percentile of all schools (using 2009-10 baseline).	Does Not Meet	1			
Academic Growth	If the school meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	14	35
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4	2	(4 for each	
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3	1.5	content area	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2	1	and 2 for	
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5	English	
	If the school does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:		TCAP	CELA	language	
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4	2	proficiency)	
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3	1.5		
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2	1		
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1	0.5		
Academic Growth Gaps	If the student subgroup meets the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:				60	15
	• at or above 60.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 60 but at or above 45.	Meets	3		(4 for each of 5	
	• below 45 but at or above 30.	Approaching	2		subgroups in 3	
	• below 30.	Does Not Meet	1		subject areas)	
	If the student subgroup does not meet the median adequate student growth percentile and its median student growth percentile was:					
	• at or above 70.	Exceeds	4			
	• below 70 but at or above 55.	Meets	3			
	• below 55 but at or above 40.	Approaching	2			
	• below 40.	Does Not Meet	1			
Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness	Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate: The school's graduation rate/disaggregated graduation rate was:		Overall	Disaggr.	16	35
	• at or above 90%.	Exceeds	4	1		
	• at or above 80% but below 90%.	Meets	3	0.75		
	• at or above 65% but below 80%.	Approaching	2	0.5		
	• below 65%.	Does Not Meet	1	0.25		
	Dropout Rate: The school's dropout rate was:				16	
	• at or below 1%.	Exceeds	4		(4 for each sub-	
	• at or below the state average but above 1% (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3		indicator)	
	• at or below 10% but above the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2			
	• above 10%.	Does Not Meet	1			
	Colorado ACT Composite Score: The school's average Colorado ACT composite score was:					
	• at or above 22.	Exceeds	4			
	• at or above the state average but below 22 (using 2009-10 baseline).	Meets	3			
	• at or above 17 but below the state average (using 2009-10 baseline).	Approaching	2			
	• below 17.	Does Not Meet	1			

Cut-Points for each performance indicator

	Cut Point: The school earned … of the points eligible on this Indicator.	
Achievement; Growth; Gaps	• at or above 87.5%	Exceeds
	• at or above 62.5% - below 87.5%	Meets
	• at or above 37.5% - below 62.5%	Approaching
	• below 37.5%	Does Not Meet

Cut-Points for plan type assignment

	Cut Point: The school earned … of the total Framework points eligible.	
Total Framework Points	• at or above 60%	Performance
	• at or above 47% - below 60%	Improvement
	• at or above 33% - below 47%	Priority Improvement
	• below 33%	Turnaround

School plan type assignments

	Plan description	
Performance Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Performance Plan.	A school may not implement a Priority Improvement and/or Turnaround Plan for longer than a combined total of
Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement an Improvement Plan.	five consecutive years before the District or Institute is required to restructure or close the school. The five
Priority Improvement Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Priority Improvement Plan.	consecutive school years commences on July 1 during the summer immediately following the fall in which the
Turnaround Plan	The school is required to adopt and implement a Turnaround Plan.	school is notified that it is required to implement a Priority Improvement or Turnaround Plan.

Reference

1-year vs. 3-year Report

Schools receive a 1-year and a 3-year aggregated School Performance Framework report. CDE produces a report on the basis of three years of data to enable more schools to be considered within the same performance framework. Some small schools may not have public data on the basis of a single year because of small N counts for some performance indicator metrics, but a report on the basis of three years of data increases the N count. Only one of the two sets of results (1-year or 3-year) will be the official plan type assignment for the school: the one under which the school has ratings on a higher number of the performance indicators, or, if it has ratings for an equal number of indicators, the one under which it received a higher total number of points and plan assignment. Note that some 3-year reports may be based on only two years of data if that is the only data available.

Reference Data for Key Performance Indicators

Academic Achievement

The Academic Achievement Indicator reflects a school's proficiency rate: the percentage of students proficient or advanced on Colorado's standardized assessments. This includes results from CSAP/TCAP and CSAPA/CoAlt in reading, mathematics, writing, and science, and results from Lectura and Escritura.

All achievement data is compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

**Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 1-year (2009-10 baseline)**

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1008	479	327	1007	480	327	1007	480	327	912	407	286
15th percentile	49.18	50.44	54.92	48.60	29.72	15.97	32.48	34.95	30.96	19.67	23.85	27.50
50th percentile	71.65	71.43	73.33	70.89	52.48	33.52	53.52	57.77	50.00	47.53	48.00	50.00
90th percentile	89.10	88.24	87.23	89.34	75.00	54.79	76.83	79.67	72.24	75.96	75.11	72.41

Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced by Percentile Cut-Points - 3-year aggregate (2008-10 baseline)

	Reading			Math			Writing			Science		
	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High	Elem	Middle	High
N of Schools	1032	507	362	1032	507	361	1032	507	362	972	469	347
15th percentile	50.00	50.56	53.34	48.73	29.69	13.49	32.56	36.84	30.00	20.46	25.00	27.93
50th percentile	72.05	71.35	72.21	70.11	51.53	30.53	54.84	58.34	49.57	45.36	48.72	50.00
90th percentile	88.21	87.40	86.17	87.48	74.41	52.19	76.51	79.17	71.00	72.65	71.26	71.45

Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps

The Academic Growth Indicator measures academic progress using the Colorado Growth Model. This Indicator reflects 1) normative (median) growth: how the academic progress of the students in this school compared to that of other students statewide with a similar content proficiency (CSAP/TCAP) score history or a similar English language proficiency (CELApro) score history, and 2) criterion-referenced (adequate) growth: whether this level of growth was sufficient for the typical (median) student in the school to reach or maintain a specified level of proficiency within a given length of time. For CSAP/TCAP, students are expected to score proficient or advanced within three years or by 10th grade, whichever comes first. Students classified as English learners are expected to reach the next level of language proficiency on CELApro in either 1 or 2 years, depending upon the proficiency target.

Did my school meet adequate growth?

YES, met adequate growth

Exceeds	60-99
Meets	45-59
Approaching	30-44
Does not meet	1-29

NO, did not meet adequate growth

Exceeds	70-99
Meets	55-69
Approaching	40-54
Does not meet	1-39

The Academic Growth Gaps Indicator measures the academic progress of historically disadvantaged student groups and students needing to catch up. It disaggregates the Growth Indicator into student groups, and reflects their normative and adequate growth. The student groups include students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, English learners, and students needing to catch up.

For Academic Growth and Academic Growth Gaps, the median growth percentile required to earn each rating depends on whether or not the school met adequate growth. Schools that met adequate growth use the rubric on the left; schools that did not meet adequate growth use the rubric on the right.

Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness

The Postsecondary and Workforce Readiness Indicator measures the preparedness of students for college or careers upon completing high school. This Indicator reflects student graduation rates, disaggregated graduation rates for student groups (students eligible for free/reduced lunch, minority students, students with disabilities, and English learners), dropout rates, and average Colorado ACT (COACT) composite scores.

State Average (Mean) Dropout Rate (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Mean Rate
1-year (2009)	416,953	3.6
3-year (2007-09)	1,238,096	3.9

State Average (Mean) COACT Composite Score (2009-10 baseline)

	N of Students	Average Score
1-year (2010)	51,438	20.0
3-year (2008-10)	151,439	20.1

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate
Overall Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	92.6
	2009	89.2	92.1	*93*	
	2010	85.4	87.5		
	2011	92.2			

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	100	100	100	*100*
	2009	89.3	89.3	92.6	
	2010	73.3	76.7		
	2011	87.5			

Minority Student Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	95.5	95.5	95.5	*95.5*
	2009	93.1	92.9	92.9	
	2010	79.3	82.1		
	2011	80			

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

English Learners Graduation Rate (1-year)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			

This School's Graduation Rate and Disaggregated Graduation Rate
Overall Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	92.6	92.6	92.6	92.6
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	89.2	92.1	93	
	2010	85.4	87.5		
	2011	92.2			
	Aggregated	89.9	90.8	*92.8*	92.6

Free/Reduced Lunch Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	100	100	100	100
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	89.3	89.3	92.6	
	2010	73.3	76.7		
	2011	87.5			
	Aggregated	85.9	86.8	95.6	*100*

Minority Student Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	95.5	95.5	95.5	95.5
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	93.1	92.9	92.9	
	2010	79.3	82.1		
	2011	80			
	Aggregated	87	89.7	94	*95.5*

Students with Disabilities Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	75.6	78.8	*87.5*	N<16

English Learners Graduation Rate (3-year aggregate)

		4-year	5-year	6-year	7-year
	2008	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16
Anticipated Year of Graduation	2009	N<16	N<16	N<16	
	2010	N<16	N<16		
	2011	N<16			
	Aggregated	N<16	N<16	N<16	N<16

All averages are compared to baselines from the first year the performance framework reports were released (2009-10 for 1-year reports and 2008-10 for 3-year reports).

Colorado calculates "on-time" graduation as the percent of students who graduate from high school four years after entering ninth grade. A student is assigned a graduating class when they enter ninth grade by adding four years to the year the student enters ninth grade. The formula anticipates, for example, that a student who entered ninth grade in fall 2006 would graduate with the Class of 2010.

For the 1-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: 2011 4-year graduation rate, 2010 5-year graduation rate, 2009 6-year graduation rate and 2008 7-year graduation rate (the shaded cells in the tables on the left). For the 3-year SPF, schools earn points based on the highest value among the following: aggregated 2008, 2009, 2010 and 2011 4-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008, 2009 and 2010 5-year graduation rate, aggregated 2008 and 2009 6-year graduation rate, or 2008 7-year graduation rate. For each of these rates, the aggregation is the result of adding the graduation totals for all available years and dividing by the sum of the graduation bases across all available years. For both 1-year and 3-year SPFs, the "best of" graduation rate is bolded and italicized on the Performance Indicators detail page.